## First Report and Comparative Study of *Steinernema surkhetense* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) and its Symbiont Bacteria from Subcontinental India

Aashiq Hussain Bhat,<sup>1</sup> Istkhar,<sup>1</sup> Ashok Kumar Chaubey,<sup>1</sup> Vladimir Půža,<sup>2</sup> and Ernesto San-Blas<sup>3</sup>

Abstract: Two populations (CS19 and CS20) of entomopathogenic nematodes were isolated from the soils of vegetable fields from Bijnor district, India. Based on morphological, morphometrical, and molecular studies, the nematodes were identified as *Steinernema surkhetense*. This work represents the first report of this species in India. The infective juveniles (IJs) showed morphometrical and morphological differences, with the original description based on longer IJs size. The IJs of the Indian isolates possess six ridges in their lateral field instead of eight reported in the original description. The analysis of ITS-rDNA sequences revealed nucleotide differences at 345, 608, and 920 positions in aligned data. No difference was observed in D2-D3 domain. The *S. surkhetense* COI gene was studied for the first time as well as the molecular characterization of their *Xenorhabdus* symbiont using the sequences of recA and gyrB genes revealing *Xenorhabdus stockiae* as its symbiont. These data, together with the finding of *X. stockiae*, suggest that this bacterium is widespread among South Asian nematodes from the "*carpocapsae*" group. Virulence of both isolates was tested on *Spodoptera litura*. The strain CS19 was capable to kill the larvae with 31.78 IJs at 72 hr, whereas CS20 needed 67.7 IJs. *Key words*: D2-D3 domain, entomopathogenic nematode, ITS-rDNA, mt COI gene, *Xenorhabdus stockiae*.

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) of the genus Steinernema Travassos, 1927, and Heterorhabditis Poinar, 1976, are effectual biological control agents for a wide variety of soil-dwelling insect pests (Kaya and Gaugler, 1993; Kaya et al., 2006) and in many cases have shown better performance over chemical and microbial insecticides in their ability to locate and kill even deepseated insects (Bedding and Miller, 1981; Lewis et al., 1992, 1993; Alsaiyah et al., 2009). For a high efficiency as biological control agents against insect pests, EPN should be adapted to local environmental conditions (Gal et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2009). However, the usage of EPN in controlling pests sometimes has been limited due to the lack of information on their behavior, environmental interactions, and biology (San-Blas, 2013). Therefore, the isolation and the proper recognition of EPN species are decisive for the success of their use as biopesticide.

In India, research on EPN have been conducted since the mid-1960's (Kaya et al., 2006), and many sampling programs have been done looking for indigenous populations to be introduced as biological control agents in different crops (Divya and Sankar, 2009). Until now, six *Steinernema* species have been reported from different localities in India, *Steinernema abbasi* Elawad, Ahmad and Reid (Ganguly and Singh, 2000, 2003), which was described wrongly as *Steinernema thermophilum* (Hunt, 2007), *Steinernema bicornutum* 

E-mail: esanblas@yahoo.com or esanblas@ivic.gob.ve.

Tallosi, Peters and Ehlers (Hussaini et al., 2001), *Steinernema riobrave* Cabanillas et al. (Ganguly et al., 2002), *Steinernema glaseri* Steiner (Kadav and Lalramliana, 2012), *Steinernema carpocapsae* Weiser (Hussaini et al., 2001), and *Steinernema siamkayai* Stock, Somsook and Reid (Ganguly et al., 2002).

During the survey of EPNs in Uttar Pradesh, India, two nematode isolates belonging to the genus *Steinernema* Travassos, 1927, were recovered from soil samples of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L. (Solanales: Solanaceae)) and cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. (Brassicales: Brassicaceae)) fields of district Bijnor. Morphological, mophometric, and molecular studies showed that these nematodes are conspecific to *Steinernema surkhetense* Khatri-Chhetri, Waeyenberge, Spiridonov, Manandhar and Moens, with larger IJs and some other differences; hence is the first report of this species in India. Furthermore, we tested virulence of this nematode and for the first time and we performed a molecular characterization of their bacterial symbiont.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode isolation: Entomopathogenic nematodes were isolated from soil samples taken during the month of June in 2013 from eggplant and cauliflower fields of Bijnor district of Western part of Uttar Pradesh, India, located in between 29° 2′ and 29° 58′ North and 78° 0′ to 78° 57′ East at an altitude of 115 m using the *Galleria mellonella* L. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) baiting technique (Bedding and Akhurst, 1975). Cadavers of *G. mellonella* recovered from the trap were disinfected in 0.1% NaOCI solution, washed in ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and transferred onto White trap (White, 1927). The IJs were isolated from White traps, washed twice with ddH<sub>2</sub>O, disinfected with 0.1% NaOCl, and finally stored into tissue culture flask at 15°C  $\pm$  1°C.

*Bacteria isolation and molecular characterization:* The symbiotic bacterium was obtained from the hemolymph

Received for publication October 12, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nematology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut-250004, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institute of Entomology, Branišovská 31, 37005, České Budějovice, Czech Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Centro de Estudios Botánicos y Agroforestales, Laboratorio de Protección Vegetal, Calle 79 con Av. 8 (Santa Rita), Maracaibo, Venezuela C.P. 4001.

The authors thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, India, for providing the financial assistance through DST INSPIRE Fellowship/2014/74. To the anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions.

This paper was edited by David Shapiro-Ilan.

of *G. mellonella* 1 d after infection with *S. surkhetense* CS19 following Akhurst (1980) methodology. The hemolymph was streaked on nutrient agar supplemented with 0.004% (w/v) triphenyltetrazolium chloride and 0.0025% (w/v) bromothymol blue (NBTA medium) and left overnight at 28°C (Akhurst, 1980). Single colonies were transferred with a sterile toothpick to YS broth (Akhurst, 1980) and cultivated on an orbital shaker (180 rpm) at 25°C.

Bacterial DNA was extracted from a 2-d-old culture using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) according to manufacturer's instructions. The 16S RNA was amplified using primers 10F: 5'-AGTTTGATCATGGCT CAGATTG-3' (forward) and 1507R: 5'-TACCTTGTTAC GACTTCACCCCAG-3' (reverse) (Sandström et al., 2001). The recombinase A gene (recA) was amplified using primers RecA1F: 5'-GCTATTGATGAAAATAAACA-3' (forward) and RecA2R: 5'-RATTTTRTCWCCRTTRT AGCT-3' (reverse) (Tailliez et al., 2010). The gyrase B gene (gyrB) was amplified using primers 8SF gyrB: 5'-TACACGAAGAAGAAGGTGTTTCAG-3' (forward) and 9Rev gyrB: 5'-TACTCATCCATTGCTTCATCATCT-3' (reverse) (Tailliez et al., 2010). The PCR was performed as described by Půža et al. (2017). All PCR products were sequenced and deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: KY489779 (16S sequence), KX826948 (recA sequence), KX826949 (gyrB sequence).

Morphology and morphometry: For light microscopy, nematodes were reared on *G. mellonella*. A total of 20 larvae of *G. mellonella* were infected with sterilized IJs in sterile petri plates, which were killed within 24 to 36 hr. Adults of the first and second generation and freshly emerged third-stage juveniles were recovered and killed in hot water (60°C), fixed in TAF (7ml formalin, 2 ml triethanolamine, 91 ml distilled water) (Courtney et al., 1955), processed to glycerin (Seinhorst, 1959), and mounted into a small drop of glycerin. The cover slip was placed onto the glass slide with some extra amount of paraffin wax to prevent flatting of nematodes. Morphological observations were made using light compound microscope (Magnus MLX) and phase contrast microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i). Morphometry was done with the help of inbuilt software of phase contrast microscope (Nikon DS-L1).

Scanning electron microscopy: For the scanning electron microscope, lukewarm water killed IJs were washed three times with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) followed by fixing in 4% glutaraldehyde buffered with phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) at 4°C overnight and then washed with 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Each specimen was then postfixed with a 2% osmium tetroxide solution for 12 hr at room temperature, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series 30% to 100% (20 min each), and finally washed three times in 100% ethanol, critical point dried with liquid CO<sub>2</sub>, mounted on SEM stubs, and coated with gold (Nguyen and Smart, 1995, 1997). A total 30 IJs (15 from each isolates) were studied for the lateral field. The mounts were examined with a Neo Scope JEOL 5000 FE scanning electron microscope (JEOL, Eching, Germany).

Genomic DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing: For phylogenetic analysis, three molecular markers were used: internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of rDNA; partial sequence of 28S, D2-D3 domain; and mitochondrial gene encoding cytochrome C oxidase subunit I (COI). The DNA extraction and amplification of the ITS and D2-D3 regions of the rRNA were performed according to San-Blas et al. (2016). For the COI mtDNA region, the PCR protocol included denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 37 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 45 sec, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The products were analyzed on 1% agarose gels with TAE buffer. The amplified PCR products were purified and sequenced in both directions by Bioserve Pvt. Ltd. Hyderabad (India). Sequences were deposited under the accession numbers KP219886, KU187262, and KU721841 for ITS, D2-D3, and COI genes, respectively, for CS19 and for CS20, and KR029844, KU187263, and KU721840 for the same respective regions.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses: The sequences were edited and compared with those deposited



FIG. 1. Scanning electron microscope photography of infective juvenile of the Steinernema surkhetense. A. Lateral field at midbody level showing six ridges. B. Lateral field at the end of the body showing conspicuous sublateral ridges (arrows).

	First go	meration	Second	generation	
Characters	Male	Female	Male	Female	Juvenile (IJs)
u u	15	15	15	15	20
L	$1,380 \pm 220 \ (1,054-1,638)$	$5,511 \pm 1,410 \ (3,066-8,608)$	$951 \pm 111 \ (787-1,124)$	$2,230 \pm 324 \ (1,553-2,795)$	$497 \pm 17 \ (460-523)$
a	$17 \pm 6.3 (10{-}27)$	$22 \pm 3.1 \ (19-28)$	$15 \pm 0.9 \; (14 - 17)$	$15 \pm 3 \ (12 - 19)$	$19 \pm 1$ (17–21)
b	$9 \pm 1.1$ (7–11)	$26 \pm 5 (17 - 38)$	$7 \pm 0.5 \ (6.7 - 8.2)$	$13 \pm 1.4 \ (11 - 15)$	$6 \pm 0.7$ (5–7)
c	$44 \pm 5 \ (36-51)$	$124 \pm 35 \ (68-202)$	$36 \pm 3 (29-40)$	$46 \pm 7 \ (35-57)$	$11 \pm 1.2 \ (10-16)$
-2	$1 \pm 0.2 \ (0.6-1.3)$	$0.7 \pm 0.1 \ (0.5 - 1.0)$	$0.84 \pm 0.08 \ (0.7-0.9)$	$0.34 \pm 0.1 \ (0.2-0.5)$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \ (2.6-4.4)$
Λ		$53 \pm 2.8 (48-58)$	1	$55 \pm 1.2 (54-58)$	I
Maximum body diameter	$92 \pm 32 \ (60-159)$	$245 \pm 54 \ (140 - 345)$	$63 \pm 7 (50 - 81)$	$150 \pm 32 \ (109-204)$	$26 \pm 1.1 \ (25-28)$
Excretory pore	$68 \pm 9 \ (55-84)$	$87 \pm 9 (73-100)$	$63 \pm 7 \ (53-80)$	$81 \pm 11 \ (51-99)$	$38 \pm 3.4 \ (35-50)$
Nerve	$111 \pm 12 \ (81 - 125)$	$160 \pm 19 \; (121 - 186)$	$104 \pm 8 \ (89-116)$	$129 \pm 10 \ (113 - 155)$	$68 \pm 8 \ (57 - 85)$
Stoma length	$146 \pm 13 \ (120 - 161)$	$210 \pm 20 (170 - 238)$	$130 \pm 10 \ (107 - 145)$	$168 \pm 16 \ (145-198)$	$91 \pm 10$ (72–106)
Tail length	$32 \pm 5 \ (23-41)$	$45 \pm 6 \ (35-58)$	$25 \pm 2 \ (21 - 28)$	$49 \pm 4.4 \ (42-57)$	$47 \pm 4 \ (33-51)$
Hyaline portion					$23 \pm 2.4 \ (19-26)$
Anal body width	$32 \pm 4 \ (26-41)$	$62 \pm 13 \ (44-90)$	$30 \pm 2 \ (26-33)$	$43 \pm 7.9 \ (34-55)$	$13 \pm 1$ (11–16)
Spicule length	$70 \pm 4 (63-75)$		$65 \pm 5 \ (57-73)$		
Spicule width	$8 \pm 1.7 (5-11)$		$7 \pm 1.1 \ (5-8)$		ı
Gubernaculum length	$44 \pm 5 \ (35-53)$		$38 \pm 6(31 - 47)$		
Gubernaculum width	$5 \pm 0.6 (4-6)$		$4 \pm 1$ (3-7)		
D%	$46 \pm 6 \ (34-59)$	$41 \pm 5 \ (34-49)$	$48 \pm 4 \ (42-59)$	$48 \pm 5.6 \ (36-56)$	$42 \pm 6 \ (36-52)$
E%	$215 \pm 20 \ (168-257)$	$196 \pm 32 \ (139-242)$	$253 \pm 54 \ (197 - 344)$	$168 \pm 24 \ (141-220)$	$82 \pm 17$ (70–102)
SW%	$220 \pm 25$ (175–252)	, I	$223 \pm 24 \ (185-276)$		
GS%	$63 \pm 7$ (49–73)		$58 \pm 7 (49-70)$		·
Н%					$49 \pm 5.6 \ (37-59)$
Mucron	$3.4 \pm 0.1 \ (2.4-4.4)$	$8.7 \pm 0.4 \ (6.8-11.4)$	$3.9 \pm 0.1 \; (3.4 - 4.8)$	$4.9 \pm 0.3 \ (3.9-7.0)$	
SW = spicule length, GS = gub	ernaculum length/spicule width.				

TABLE 1. Morphometrics of *Steinernema surhhetense* CS19. All measurements are in micrometers (except n, ratio, and percentage) and in the form: mean  $\pm$  SD (range).

	First ge	eneration	Second	generation	
Characters	Male	Female	Male	Female	Juvenile (IJs)
u	15	15	15	15	20
L	$1,224 \pm 128 \ (1,061-1,437)$	$5,563 \pm 1,262 \ (2,944-7,630)$	$915 \pm 91$ (740–1,010)	$1,900 \pm 324 \ (1,502-2,453)$	$494 \pm 23 \ (448-525)$
r	$12 \pm 2$ (9–15)	$26 \pm 4 \ (19-33)$	$15 \pm 1$ (14–18)	$17 \pm 2 \ (14-20)$	$18 \pm 1$ (15–21)
þ	$9 \pm 0.9 (7-12)$	$28 \pm 5 \ (18-36)$	$7 \pm 0.5 (6-8)$	$12 \pm 1 \ (10-14)$	$5 \pm 1$ (4-10)
	$46 \pm 5 (39 - 57)$	$123 \pm 21$ (78–160)	$37 \pm 5 \ (27-45)$	$39 \pm 6 (32 - 57)$	$10 \pm 0.8 \ (8-11)$
ς,	$0.8 \pm 0.1 \ (0.6 - 1.0)$	$0.8 \pm 0.1 \ (0.6-1.0)$	$09 \pm 0.1 \ (0.6-1.1)$	$1.3 \pm 0.2 \ (0.9 - 1.5)$	$4 \pm 0.3 \ (3.3-4.4)$
Λ		$53 \pm 1.5 (50-565)$	. 1	$57 \pm 2.2 \ (54-61)$	
Maximum body diameter	$104 \pm 20 \ (70 - 142)$	$213 \pm 45 \ (156-306)$	$61 \pm 7 \ (51-73)$	$114 \pm 20 \ (86-151)$	$27 \pm 2 \ (23 - 32)$
Excretory pore	$59 \pm 8 (35-71)$	$82 \pm 12$ (56–98)	$60 \pm 4 \ (52-66)$	$74 \pm 13 \ (31-85)$	$39 \pm 3 \ (35-44)$
Nerve ring	$98 \pm 16 \ (82 - 145)$	$150 \pm 13 \ (128 - 170)$	$98 \pm 5 \ (90-106)$	$123 \pm 13 \ (97-143)$	$70 \pm 10$ (39–91)
Stoma length	$132 \pm 15 \ (115-176)$	$199 \pm 14 \ (165-216)$	$129 \pm 8 \ (115-143)$	$157 \pm 14 \ (133 - 178)$	$98 \pm 16 \; (47 - 112)$
Tail length	$27 \pm 3 (22 - 32)$	$45 \pm 7 \ (26-59)$	$25 \pm 2 \ (22 - 30)$	$49 \pm 4 (43-57)$	$50 \pm 5$ (42–63)
Hyaline portion	1	I	. 1	1	$22 \pm 2.6 \ (18-26)$
Anal body width	$35 \pm 5 \ (27-44)$	$59 \pm 12 \ (41-78)$	$29 \pm 3 \ (25-37)$	$39 \pm 6 \ (31 - 48)$	$13 \pm 1$ (12–17)
Spicule length	$73 \pm 4 \ (66-80)$	I	$64 \pm 6 \ (51-71)$	I	I
Spicule width	$6 \pm 2 \ (4-9)$	I	$6 \pm 2 \ (4-10)$	I	I
Gubernaculum length	$47 \pm 6 (38-55)$	I	$37 \pm 3$ $(33-43)$	I	I
Gubernaculum width	$5 \pm 0.7 (3-6)$	I	$5 \pm 0.7 (3-6)$	I	I
D%	$45 \pm 8 \ (20-54)$	$41 \pm 5 \ (32-48)$	$47 \pm 3 (42 - 52)$	$48 \pm 9 \ (41-60)$	$41 \pm 9 \ (32-76)$
E%	$221 \pm 34 \ (125 - 281)$	$183 \pm 19 \ (149-213)$	$244 \pm 27 \ (195-288)$	$151 \pm 27 \ (68-185)$	$78 \pm 11 \ (57 - 102)$
SW%	$214 \pm 37 \ (160-299)$	I	$220 \pm 27 (178 - 275)$	1	1
GS%	$65 \pm 8 (50-77)$	I	$59 \pm 7 (50 - 73)$	I	I
H%	I	I	I	I	$45 \pm 5.6 \ (34-60)$
Mucron	$3.5 \pm 0.1 \ (2.8-5.8)$	$9.5 \pm 0.3 \ (7.9-12.4)$	$4.0 \pm 0.1 \ (3.3-4.6)$	$5.1 \pm 0.2 \ (4.1-6.8)$	1
SW = spicule width, GS = guber	naculum length/spicule width.				

TABLE 2. Morphometrics of *Steinemena surhhetense* CS20. All measurements are in micrometers (except n, ratio, and percentage) and in the form: mean  $\pm$  SD (range).

TABLE 3. (range).	Comparative	morphomet	rics of all gene	rations of CS19	) and CS20 wit	th <i>Steinernem</i>	ı surkhetense	². All measure	ments are in mi	crometers (ex	ccept percentag	ge) and in the	form of mean
Species		L	MBD	EP	NR	ES		Tail	а	р	c	D%	E%
Infective juve CS19 CS20 S. surkhetense	miles 497 494 415	(460-523) (448-525) (393-450)	$\begin{array}{c} 26 & (25-28) \\ 27 & (23-32) \\ 21 & (18-25) \end{array}$	38 (35–50) 39 (34–44) 32 (28–34)	68 (57–85) 70 (39–91) 63 (57–70)	91 (72–1 98 (74–1 92 (84–1	06) 47 [13) 50 01) 45	$\begin{array}{ccc} (33-51) & 1 \\ (42-63) & 1 \\ (38-53) & 1 \\ (38-53) & 1 \end{array}$	(9 (17–21) 6 (8 (15–21) 5 (9 (16–24) 5	(5-7) (4-10) (4.3-4.8)	$\begin{array}{c} 11 & (10-16) \\ 10 & (8-11) \\ 9 & (8.3-10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42 & (36-52) \\ 41 & (32-76) \\ 35 & (31-40) \end{array}$	82 (70–102) 78 (57–102) 72 (54–84)
Species	EP		NR	ES	Tail		e	р	С	%N	D%		3%
Female I CS19 CS20 S. surkhetense	87 (73–1 82 (56–9 53 (33–8	00) 160 8) 150 6) 93	$\begin{array}{cccc} (121-186) & \\ (129-170) & 1 \\ (64-114) & 1 \end{array}$	210 (170–238) 199 (165–216) 132 (52–190)	45 (35–5) 45 (26–5) 19 (12–3)	8) 22 (1 9) 26 (1 0) 19 (1	9–28) 9–33) 2	26 (17–38) 28 (18–36) 24 (14–49)	$\begin{array}{c} 124 \ (68-202) \\ 123 \ (78-160) \\ 161 \ (91-309) \end{array}$	53 (48–5 53 (50–5 54 (45–5	<ul> <li>41 (34-</li> <li>41 (32-</li> <li>41 (32-</li> <li>44 (28-</li> </ul>	49) 196 (] 48) 183 (] 117) 292 (]	39–242) 49–213) 56–453)
CS19 CS19 CS20 S. surkhetense	81 (51–5 74 (31–5 137 (96–1	9) 129 85) 123 95) 159	$\begin{array}{c} (113-155) \\ (97-143) \\ (142-198) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 168 & (145-198) \\ 157 & (133-178) \\ 193 & (173-236) \end{array}$	49 (42–5′ 49 (43–5′ 103 (65–1'	7) 15 (1 7) 17 (1 35) 13 (1	2-19) 4-20) 1-16) 5	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \ (11-15) \\ 12 \ (10-14) \\ 6 \ (4.6-6.7) \end{array}$	46 (35–57) 39 (32–57) 11 (8.7–15)	55 (54–5 57 (54–6 50 (42–5	<ul> <li>48 (36</li> <li>51) 48 (41</li> <li>54) 71 (50</li> </ul>	56) 168 (] 60) 151 (6 87) 137 (8	41–220) 8–185) 5–189)
Species	EP	NR	ES	Tail	SL	GL	A	q	C	D%	E%	SW%	GS%
Male I CS19 CS20 S. surkhetense Male II	68 (55–84) 59 (35–71) 55 (43–78)	111 (81–125 98 (82–145 92 (59–140	) 146 (120–16) ) 132 (115–17( ) 115 (86–146)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	70 (63–75) 72 (66–80) 70 (58–78)	$\begin{array}{c} 45 \ (35-53) \\ 47 \ (38-55) \\ 52 \ (42-63) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \ (10{-}27) \\ 12 \ (9{-}15) \\ 12 \ (10{-}14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & (7-11) \\ 9 & (7-12) \\ 11 & (8.0-15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44 & (36-51) \\ 46 & (39-57) \\ 65 & (43-85) \end{array}$	46 (34–59) 45 (20–54) 48 (37–64)	$\begin{array}{c} 215 & (168-257) \\ 221 & (125-281) \\ 295 & (205-429) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220 \ (175-252 \\ 214 \ (160-299 \\ 230 \ (168-330 \end{array})$	) 63 (49–73) ) 65 (50–77) ) 75 (66–84)
CS19 CS20 S. surkhetense	$\begin{array}{c} 63 & (53-80) \\ 60 & (52-66) \\ 44 & (35-50) \end{array}$	104 (89–116 98 (90–106 90 (73–109)	) 130 (107–14) ) 129 (115–14) ) 122 (106–14)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{c} 65 & (57-73) \\ 64 & (51-71) \\ 64 & (55-76) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38 & (31 - 47) \\ 37 & (33 - 43) \\ 44 & (35 - 53) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \ (14{-}17) \\ 15 \ (14{-}18) \\ 19 \ (13{-}35) \end{array}$	7 (6.7–8.2) 7 (6–8) 24 (14–49)	) 36 (29–40) 37 (27–45) 161 (91–309)	48 (42–59) 47 (42–52) 36 (31–42)	253 (197–344) 244 (195–288) 237 (167–317)	223 (185–276 220 (178–275 239 (191–292	) 58 (49–70) ) 59 (50–73) ) 68 (57–79)
MBD = maxi spicule width, 4	mum body diar. 3S = GL/SL.	neter, EP = dist	ance from the an	terior end to exc.	retory pore, NR	= distance fror	n the anterio	or end to nerve	ring, ES = esophag	gus length, SL =	spicule length, C	3L = gubernacult	m length, SW =

96 Journal of Nematology, Volume 49, No. 1, March 2017

in GenBank by means of a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. All alignments with other relevant sequences were produced by default ClustalW parameters in MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013). Highly variable regions of the multiple alignments were removed, and conserved regions were selected with Gblocks program (Castresana, 2000). Alignments of the bacterial recA and gyrB genes were concatenated into one dataset. Pairwise distances were computed using MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013). Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Noncoding.

The phylogenetic trees were obtained by Bayesian inference using MrBayes 3.1.1. (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The best-fit model was identified as the GTR + G model test using the MrModeltest 2.0 program (Nylander, 2004). Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo generations were run for 10,000,000 cycles and one tree was retained every 1,000 generations and a burn-in of 3,000,000 generations (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001).

All characters were treated as equally weighted and gaps as missing data. For the nematode and bacteria sequences, *Steinernema affine* Wouts, Mracek, Gerdin and Bedding and *S. abbasi* and *Xenorhabdus poinari* Akhurst and Boemare and *Xenorhabdus bovienii* Akhurst and Boemare, respectively, were used as outgroup taxa and to root the trees.

*Virulence tests on* Spodoptera litura: Virulence and reproductive potential of both *Steinernema* isolates (CS19 and CS20) against *S. litura* Fab. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

were performed. IJs (1 wk old from emergence) were used for larval mortality against *S. litura* in six well plates (3.5 cm diameter) lined by double Whatman filter paper No. 1. Randomly 0, 25, 50, 100, and 200 IJs were placed over the filter paper using 450  $\mu$ l with distilled water. Ten insect larvae of same size and weight were used for each concentration and a single larva was set per well (repeated twice). The plates were incubated at 28°C ± 2°C. Mortality was recorded every 12-hr interval till 100% mortality was achieved. Larvae infected with 100 IJs/larva were transferred after 7 d to a modified White trap (White, 1927) to measure progeny production (18–20 d).

The insect larval mortality assay was analyzed statistically through probit analysis, and  $LC_{50}$  and  $LT_{50}$  values were calculated at 95% confidence limit. Differences between percentages of mortality depending on the isolates were assessed using analysis of variance. Data were presented as percentage  $\pm$  SD. Total number of IJs/larva of the studied nematode was analyzed by *t* test analysis and presented in number of IJs  $\pm$  SD (range).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results obtained through morphology, morphometry, and molecular analysis identify both isolated nematodes (CS10 and CS20) as *S. surkhetense* (Khatri-Chetri et al., 2011). Being the first report from India, three slides of the first-generation female bearing one female on each slide, two slides of first-generation males bearing

TABLE 4. Sequence lengths and composition of ITS-rDNA, D2-D3 region, and COI of some closely related described *Steinernema* species from the *carpocapsae* group and the present isolate CS19 and CS20.

		Sequence length						
Species	ITS1 (bp)	5.8S (bp)	ITS2 (bp)	A (%)	C (%)	G (%)	T (%)	(bp)
ITS region								
S. surkhetense CS19	277	157	306	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.38	740
S. surkhetense CS20	277	157	306	0.24	0.17	0.22	0.38	740
S. surkhetense	277	157	306	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.38	740
S. nepalense	277	157	302	0.22	0.17	0.22	0.38	734
S. backanense	272	157	307	0.24	0.17	0.22	0.38	736
S. sasonense	278	157	307	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.39	742
S. carpocapsae	279	157	295	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.39	731
S. scapterisci	246	157	376	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.38	779
S. siamkayai	266	155	307	0.23	0.15	0.22	0.4	728
S. cumgarense	269	155	306	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.39	730
S. eapokense	262	155	306	0.23	0.15	0.23	0.39	723
D2-D3 region								
S. surkhetense CS19				0.25	0.17	0.30	0.28	880
S. surkhetense CS20				0.25	0.17	0.30	0.28	881
S. surkhetense				0.25	0.17	0.31	0.27	614
S. nepalense				0.25	0.17	0.31	0.27	614
S. carpocapsae				0.25	0.17	0.3	0.28	820
S. scapterisci				0.26	0.18	0.29	0.27	807
COX1								
S. surkhetense CS19				0.23	0.14	0.17	0.46	634
S. surkhetense CS20				0.25	0.14	0.17	0.45	665
S. carpocapsae				0.24	0.13	0.17	0.46	568
S. scapterisci				0.24	0.14	0.17	0.45	568

TABLE 5. Pairwise distances of the ITS region between Steinernema species from the "carpocapsae" group.

	ITS region	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	S. surkhetense CS19 KP219886		0	5	57	35	67	50	55	66	63	40	44	51	91
2	S. surkhetense CS20 KR029844	100		5	57	35	67	50	55	66	63	40	44	51	91
3	S. surkhetense HQ190042	99.3	99.4		60	40	70	53	58	69	66	43	45	54	93
4	S. siamkayai AF331917	92.3	92.3	91.9		49	28	26	15	25	25	59	53	56	90
5	S. nepalense HQ190044	95.3	95.5	95.0	93.3		60	43	52	58	59	38	35	45	89
6	S. huense KF857581	91.0	91.5	91.2	96.2	92.4		30	30	31	29	59	59	64	98
7	S. eapokense AY487921	93.1	93.1	92.7	96.4	94.0	95.8		27	33	35	41	45	45	90
8	S. minutum GU647156	92.6	92.9	92.7	98.0	93.4	96.3	96.2		17	27	59	53	60	94
9	S. tami AY171280	91.0	91.0	90.7	96.6	92.1	95.8	95.4	97.7		34	62	58	65	99
10	S. cumgarense AY487920	91.4	91.3	90.9	96.5	91.9	96.0	95.2	96.3	95.3		62	60	61	98
11	S. backanense AY487918	94.5	94.5	94.1	91.8	94.8	91.8	94.3	91.8	91.4	91.4		38	38	88
12	S. sasonense AY487919	94.0	94.0	93.9	92.7	95.2	91.9	93.8	92.7	92.0	91.8	94.8		34	86
13	S. carpocapsae GU395621	93.2	93.5	93.2	92.4	94.4	92.7	93.7	92.6	91.1	91.6	94.8	95.4		92
14	S. scapterisci AY230183	87.4	87.8	87.9	87.3	88.4	88.4	86.9	88.0	86.0	85.9	87.4	87.8	89.2	

Below diagonal: percentage similarity; above diagonal: total character differences.

three males on each slide, two slides of each secondgeneration females and males bearing two and three specimens, respectively, on each slide were deposited in National Nematode Collection of India, IARI, New Delhi, India.

Morphology and morphometry: The present two Steinernema isolates (CS19 and CS20) were identified as S. surkhetense; however, some differences with the original description can be notated. Postanal swellings in the first- and second-generation females are present (rarely seen in original description) and the number of lateral ridges observed in IJs was six (in all studied specimens) instead of eight in the original description (Fig. 1). Different number of lateral ridges from the same species has been described in the "carpocapsae" group, however, specimens came from the same studied populations (Stock et al., 1998; Hazir et al., 2003); the rest of the species within the group have either six or eight ridges (but not both). The variation of the number of lateral ridges in the Indian populations compared with the Nepali can be attributed to differences in their geographical origin or by an inaccuracy in the original description.

Morphometrically, body size of IJs S. surkhetense CS19 (Table 1) was comparatively longer than the original description 497 (460-523) vs. 415 (393-450) µm. Apart from this, distance from anterior end to excretory pore 38 (35-50) vs. 32 (28-34) µm showed variation. The first-generation males of both the specimens showed difference in gubernaculum length 45 (35-53) vs. 52 (42-63) µm, and GS% 63 (50-73) vs. 75 (66-84); however, the spicule length was in near vicinity 70 (63-75) vs. 70 (58-78) µm. Abovementioned character differences were also notified in isolate CS20 (Table 2) when compared with original species showing also longer IJs, but the ranges were slightly overlapping with the original description (494  $\pm$  23 [448–525] vs. 415 [393-450] µm). Nevertheless, the IJ size could be sufficient to distinguish the Nepalese and Indian populations. Males of the second generation also showed variations in the morphometry. Highly large body sizes were observed in females of both generations of Indian isolates and were almost double to the Nepali isolates and highly varied with other morphometrical parameters such as pharynx length, excretory pore, and nerve ring position in first generation and vice versa in second-generation females. Tail lengths were also found varied in both generations in Indian and Nepali isolates. A comparison in morphometrical parameters in all generations is shown in Table 3.

TABLE 6. Pairwise distances of the D2-D3 regions between Steinernema species of the "carpocapsae" group.

	D2-D3 region	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	S. surkethense CS19		0	0	6	4	9	7	9	7	42
2	S. surkethense CS20	100		0	6	4	9	7	9	7	42
3	S. surkhetense HQ190043	100	100		3	4	5	4	6	4	27
4	S. simakayai CS33 KX871218	99.3	99.3	99.5		7	8	10	10	10	44
5	S. nepalense HQ190045	99.3	99.3	99.3	98.7		7	8	10	8	29
6	S. huense KF857582	98.9	98.9	99.1	99.0	98.8		12	14	12	45
7	S. websteri AY841762	99.1	99.1	99.3	98.8	98.6	98.5		2	0	44
8	S. anatoliense AY841761	98.9	98.9	98.9	98.7	98.2	98.3	99.8		2	46
9	S. carpocapsae HM140688	99.1	99.1	99.3	98.8	98.6	98.5	100.0	99.8		44
10	S. scapterisci GU395646	94.8	94.8	95.2	94.5	94.8	94.4	94.5	94.3	94.5	

Below diagonal: percentage similarity; above diagonal: total character differences.

*Molecular characterization:* From the original ITS sequence of *S. surkhetense*, both Indian strains differ by 5 bp, while differ from each other by 2 bp. In the present study, we sequenced for the first time the full-length D2-D3 region of the 28S rDNA of *S. surkhetense*. The only D2-D3 sequence of *S. surkhetense* (HQ190043) available so far has only 614 bp (Tables 4-6). No variation in the D2-D3 sequence was found between CS19 and CS20 strains and the original *S. surkhetense*. For the first time, we sequenced the COI gene of *S surkhetense* and the two Indian strains differ from each other by 7 bp.

*Phylogenetic analysis:* Phylogenetic analyses of the "*carpocapsae*" group based on ITS region showed a clear monophyly of the group formed by the isolates CS19 and CS20 and original *S. surkhetense* and several other, probably conspecific isolates (Fig. 2). Sequences of *S. surkhetense* formed a monophyletic group with *S. nepalense* Khatri-Chhetri, Waeyenberge, Spiridonov,





FIG. 2. Phylogenetic relationships in the "*carpocapsae*" group and other closely related species of *Steinernema* based on analysis of ITS rDNA regions. *Steinernema abbasi* and *Steinernema affine* were used as the outgroup taxon. The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Branch lengths indicate evolutionary distances and are expressed in units of number of base differences per site.

Manandhar and Moens, and this pair was sister to the pair of *Steinernema backanense* Phan, Spiridonov, Subbotin and Moens and *S. carpocapsae*.

In the D2-D3 tree, *S. surkhetense* formed a monophyletic group with *S. carpocapsae, Steinernema anatoliense* Hazir, Stock and Keskin and *Steinernema websteri* Cutler and Stock (Fig. 3). However, the D2-D3 region is too conservative to resolve the relationships among these closely related species. In general, molecular data accompanied by morphological and morphometrical confirmed the status of two isolates as species of *S. surkhetense* according to the phylogenetic and evolutionary species concept (Adams, 1998).

For the COI region, there were not enough sequences within "*carpocapsae*" group to construct any useful phylogenetic tree. However, both resulting sequences were added to GENBANK with accession numbers of KU721840 (CS20) and KU721841 (CS19).

*Symbiotic bacterium:* The molecular characterization of the symbiotic bacterium of *S. surkhetense* was performed for the first time. Based on the sequences of the 16S, recA, and gyrB genes, the bacterium *Xenorhabdus* sp. CS19 is very close to *Xenorhabdus stockiae* Tailliez, Pagès, Ginibre and Boemare (similarity 99%, 96%, and 97%, respectively, data not shown). The phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated recA and gyrB sequences shows a highly supported group of the *Xenorhabdus* sp.



FIG. 3. Phylogenetic relationships in the "*carpocapsae*" group and other closely related species of *Steinernema* based on analysis of D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rDNA. *Steinernema abbasi* and *Steinernema affine* were used as the outgroup taxon. The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Branch lengths indicate evolutionary distances and are expressed in units of number of base differences per site.



FIG. 4. Phylogenetic relationships of *Xenorhabdus* sp. isolated from *Steinernema surkhetense* (CS19) with other closely related species of *Xenorhabdus* based on analysis of recA and gyrB gene sequences. *Xenorhabdus bovieni* and *X. poinari* were used as the outgroup taxa. The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Branch lengths indicate evolutionary distances and are expressed in units of number of base differences per site.

CS19 with X. stockiae and Xenorhabdus sp. VIE2 (Fig. 4). So far, X. stockiae was isolated from closely related nematodes S. siamkayai (Tailliez et al., 2006), S. minutum (Maneesakorn et al., 2010), and S. huense (Phan et al., 2014). These data, together with finding of X. stockiae in S. surkhetense, suggest that this bacterium is widespread among "carpocapsae" group nematodes occurring in South Asia.

Virulence tests: The parameters measured when the nematodes were applied to S. litura larvae favored the strain CS19. The  $LD_{50}$  at 72 hr, showed that the strain CS19 was capable to kill the larvae with 31.78 I[s, whereas at the same time, CS20 the number of IJs need to kill 50% of the S. *litura* larvae was doubled (LD<sub>50</sub> = 67.7 IJs). Progeny production was greater in the strain CS19 (61,440  $\pm$  3,817 IJs per larva) than the progeny produced by the strain CS20 (27,990  $\pm$  3,187 IJs per larva)  $(T = 5.8; P \le 0.001; \alpha = 0.05)$ . The dynamics of the infection according to different doses also showed that the strain CS19 achieved higher mortality rates in less time than the strain CS20 when the target was S. litura (Fig. 5A); the best example of this could be observed when 25 IJs were applied to the larva, where at 48 hr, the percentage of mortality was  $15 \pm 5\%$  vs 5%; at 72 hr, the percentage of mortality increased to  $65 \pm 5\%$  $vs 35 \pm 5\%$  and after 84 hr, strain CS19 was capable to kill  $85 \pm 5\%$  of the larvae and strain 20 killed  $65 \pm 5\%$ of the offered larvae (Fig. 5B). This variation of differences in the virulence strains of the same species has previously been reported. Shapiro-Ilan et al. (2003) found large differences in infectivity and mortality of *Curculio caryae* Horn (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) using different strains of S. carpocapsae; similarly, Campos-Herrera et al. (2006) also found differences in virulence tests of S. feltiae in three different hosts: These mentioned differences could be related to metapopulation theory, where diverse populations of the same species coming from different geographic sites

could behave differently due to natural changes such as niche, presence-absences of determined host, adaptation to abiotic factors, etc. (Harris et al., 2013).

India is the second largest producer of vegetables in the world (after China) with an annual production of 101.43 million tons from 6.76 million ha of land (Rai



FIG. 5. Percentage of mortality of *Spodoptera littura* larvae with different doses of *Steinernema surkhetense*. A) Strain CS19. B. Strain CS20.

and Pandey, 2007). It is also the most important producer of cauliflowers and the second of eggplants. The most important pests of these crops include S. litura, Plutella xylostella L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), Crocidolomia binotalis Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), Helicoverpa armigera Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Leucinodes orbonalis Guenee (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), Pieris brassicae L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae), Hellula undalis Fab. (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), Spilosoma *obliqua* Walker (Lepidoptera: Erebidae), and *Brevicoryne* brassicae L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae) all widely distributed in different agroclimatic conditions in India. Steinernema surkhetense is an indigenous species to Indian subcontinent; efforts should be made to evaluate its virulence and pathogenicity against the mentioned agricultural pests throughout the country. This may lead to incorporate S. surkhetnese as a regular biological control agent in integrated pest management programs in the future.

## LITERATURE CITED

Adams, B. J. 1998. Species concept and the evolutionary paradigm in modern nematology. Journal of Nematology 30:1–21.

Akhurst, R. J. 1980. Morphological and functional dimorphism in *Xenorhabdus* spp., bacteria symbiotically associated with the insect pathogenic nematodes *Neoaplectana* and *Heterorhabditis*. Journal of General Microbiology 121:303–309.

Alsaiyah, M. A. M., Ebssa, L., Zenner, A., O'Callaghan, K. M., and Griffin, C. T. 2009. Sex ratios and sex-biased infection behaviour in the entomopathogenic nematode genus Steinernema. International Journal for Parasitology 39:725–734.

Bedding, R. A., and Akhurst, R. J. 1975. A simple technique for the detection of insect parasitic nematodes in soil. Nematologica 21:109–110.

Bedding, R. A., and Miller, L. A. 1981. Disinfecting black currant cuttings of *Synanthedon tipuliformis*, using the insect parasitic nematode, *Neoaplectana bibionis*. Environmental Entomology 10:449–453.

Campos-Herrera, R., Escuer, M., Robertson, L., and Gutiérrez, C. 2006. Morphological and ecological characterization of *Steinernema feltiae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) Rioja strain isolated from *Bibio hortulanus* (Diptera: Bibionidae) in Spain. Journal of Nematology 38:68–75.

Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17:540–552.

Chen, S., Glazer, I., Gollop, N., Cash, P., Argo, E., Innes, A., Stewart, E., Davidson, I., and Wilson, M. J. 2009. Proteomic analysis of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* IS-6 IJs under evaporative and osmotic stresses. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 145:195–204.

Courtney, W. D., Polley, D., and Miller, V. L. 1955. TAF, an improved fixative in nematode technique. Plant Disease Reporter 39:570–571.

Divya, K., and Sankar, M. 2009. Entomopathogenic nematodes in pest management. Indian Journal of Science and Technology 2:53–60.

Gal, T. Z., Solomon, A., Glazer, I., and Koltai, H. 2001. Alterations in the levels of glycogen and glycogen synthase transcripts during desiccation in the insect-killing nematode *Steinernema feltiae* IS-6. Journal of Parasitology 87:725–732.

Ganguly, S., and Singh, L. K. 2000. *Steinernema thermophilum* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) from India. International Journal of Nematology 10:183–191.

Ganguly, S., and Singh, L. K. 2003. Report on pygmy females in *Steinernema thermophilum* Ganguly and Singh, 2000 (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). Indian Journal of Nematology 33:195–196.

Ganguly, S., Singh, M., Lal, M., Singh, L. K., Vyas, R. V., and Patel, D. J. 2002. New record of an entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema riobrave* Cabanillas, Poinar and Raulston, 1994 from Gujarat, India. Indian Journal of Nematology 32:223.

Harris, N. C., Coonan, T. J., King, J. L., and Dunn, R. R. 2013. Endemism in host–parasite interactions among island populations of an endangered species. Diversity and Distributions 19:377–38.

Hazir, S., Stock, S. P., and Keskin, N. 2003. A new entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema anatoliense* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), from Turkey. Systematic Parasitology 55:211–220.

Huelsenbeck, J. P., and Ronquist, F. 2001. MR-BAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Bioinformatics 17:754–755.

Hunt, D. J. 2007. Overview of taxonomy and systematics. Pp. 27–57 *in* K. B. Nguyen, and D. J. Hunt, eds. Entomopathogenic nematodes: Systematics, phylogeny and bacterial symbionts. Nematology Monographs and Perspectives vol. 5. Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill.

Hussaini, S. S., Ansari, M. A., Ahmad, W., and Subbotin, S. A. 2001. Identification of some Indian populations of *Steinernema* species (Nematoda) by RFLP analysis of ITS region of rDNA. International Journal of Nematology 11:73–76.

Kaya, H. K., Aguillera, M. M., Alumai, A., Choo, H. Y., De la Torre, M., Fodor, A., Ganguly, S., Hazir, S., Lakatos, T., and Pye, A. 2006. Status of entomopathogenic nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria from selected countries or regions of the world. Biological Control 38:134–155.

Kaya, H. K., and Gaugler, R. 1993. Entomopathogenic nematodes. Annual Review of Entomology 38:181–206.

Kadav, A., and Lalramliana. 2012. Efficacy of indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes from Meghalaya, India against the larvae of taro leaf beetle, Aplosonyx chalybaeus (Hope). Journal of Parasitic Diseases 36:149–154.

Khatri-Chhetri, H. B., Waeyenberge, L., Spiridonov, S., Manandhar, H. K., and Moens, M. 2011. Two new species of *Steinernema* Travassos, 1927 with short infective juveniles from Nepal. Russian Journal of Nematology 19:53–74.

Lewis, E. E., Gaugler, R., and Harrison, A. 1992. Entomopathogenic nematode host-finding: Response to contact cues by cruise and ambush foragers. Parasitology 105:309–315.

Lewis, E. E., Gaugler, R., and Harrison, R. 1993. Response of cruiser and ambusher entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae) to host volatile cues. Canadian Journal of Zoology 71:765–769.

Maneesakorn, P., Grewal, P. S., and Chandrapatya, A. (2010). *Steinernema minutum* sp. nov. (Rhabditida: Steinernema): A new entomopathogenic nematode from Thailand. International Journal of Nematology 20:27–42.

Nguyen, K. B., and Smart, G. C. 1995. Scanning electron microscope studies of *Steinernema glaseri* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae). Nematologica 41:183–190.

Nguyen, K. B., and Smart, G. C. 1997. Scanning electron microscope studies of spicules and gubernacula of *Steinernema* spp. (Nemata: Steinernematidae). Nematologica 43:465–480.

Nylander, J. A. A. 2004. MrModeltest 2.0. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Sweden.

Phan, K. L., Mráček, Z., Půža, V., Nermut, J., and Jarošová, A. 2014. *Steinernema huense* sp. n., a new entomopathogenic nematode (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) from Vietnam. Nematology 16:761–775.

Poinar, G. O., Jr. 1976. Description and biology of a new insect parasitic rhabditoid, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* n. gen. n. sp. ((Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae fam.). Nematologica 21:463–470.

Půža, V., Nermuť, J., Mráček, Z., Gengler, S., and Haukeland, S. *Steinernema pwaniensis* n. sp., a new entomopathogenic nematode (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) from Tanzania. Journal of Helminthology 91:20–34. Rai, M., and Pandey, A. K. 2007. Towards a rainbow revolution. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture,. Sec 5:112–114.

San-Blas, E. 2013. Progress on entomopathogenic nematology research: A bibliometric study of the last three decades: 1980-2010. Biological Control 66:102–124.

San-Blas, E., Morales-Montero, P., Portillo, E., Nermut', J., and Půža, V. 2016. *Steinernema goweni* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from Zulia State, Venezuela. Zootaxa 4067:200–214.

Sandström, J. P., Russel, J. A., White, J. P., and Moran, N. A. 2001. Independent origins and horizontal transfer of bacterial symbionts of aphids. Molecular Ecology 10:217–228.

Seinhorst, J. W. 1959. A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerine. Nematologica. 4:67–69.

Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Stuart, D. I., and McCoy, C. W. 2003. Comparison of beneficial traits among strains of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, for control of *Curculio caryae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Biological Control. 28:129–136.

Stock, S. P., Somsook, V., and Reid, A. 1998. *Steinernema siamkayai* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), an entomopathogenic nematode from Thailand. Systematic Parasitology 41:105–113. Tailliez, P., Laroui, C., Ginibre, N., Paule, A., Pagès, S., and Boemare, N. 2010. Phylogeny of *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* based on universally conserved protein-coding sequences and implications for the taxonomy of these two genera. Proposal of new taxa: *X. vietnamensis* sp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. caribbeanensis subsp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. hainanensis subsp. nov., *P. temperata* subsp. hainai subsp. nov., *P. temperata* subsp. tasmaniensis subsp. nov., and the reclassification of *P. luminescens* subsp. thracensis as *P. temperata* subsp. thracensis comb. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 60:1921–1937.

Tailliez, P., Pages, S., Ginibre, N., and Boemare, N. 2006. New insight into diversity in the genus *Xenorhabdus*, including the description of ten novel species. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 56:2805–2818.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:2725–2729.

Travassos, L. 1927. Sobre o genero Oxysomatium. Boletim Biologico, Sao Paulo. 5:20–21.

White, G. F. 1927. A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. Science 66:302–303.