New high-tech flexible networks for the monitoring of deep-sea ecosystems

3

2

Running Head: High-tech deep-sea monitoring networks

Aguzzi Jacopo^{1,*}, Chatzievangelou Damianos², Marini Simone³, Fanelli 4 Emanuela⁴, Danovaro Roberto^{4,5}, Flögel Sascha⁶, Lebris Nadine⁷, Juanes 5 Francis⁸, De Leo Fabio ^{8,9}, Del Rio Joaquin¹⁰, Thomsen Laurenz², Costa 6 Corrado¹¹, Riccobene Giorgio¹², Tamburini Cristian¹³, Lefevre Dominique¹³, 7 Gojak Carl¹⁴, Poulain Pierre-Marie¹⁵, Favali Paolo^{16,17}, Griffa Annalisa³, 8 Purser Autun¹⁸, Cline Danelle¹⁹, Edgington Duane¹⁹, Navarro Joan¹, 9 Stefanni Sergio⁵, D'Hondt Steve²⁰, Priede G. Imants ^{21,22}, Rountree 10 Rodney^{8,23}, Company B. Joan¹ 11

^{1,*} Instituto de Ciencias del Mar (ICM-CSIC). Paseo Marítimo de la Barceloneta, 37-49.
08012. Barcelona, Spain. Tel: +34 93 230 95 00, Fax: +34 93 230 95 55, mail:
jaguzzi@icm.csic.es

² Jacobs University, Bremen, Germany

³National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Institute of Marine Sciences, La Spezia, Italy

⁴ Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche,
 Ancona, Italy

19 ⁵ Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (SZN), Naples, Italy

⁶ GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany

⁷ Sorbonne University, CNRS LECOB, Oceanological Observatory, Banyuls-sur-mer,

22 France

⁸ Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

24	⁹ Ocean Networks Canada (ONC), University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada
25	¹⁰ OBSEA, SARTI, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC), Barcelona, Spain
26	¹¹ Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria (CREA-IT),
27	Monterotondo, Italy
28	¹² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Catania,
29	Italy
30	¹³ Institut Méditerranéen d'Océanologi.e. (MIO), Marseille, France
31	¹⁴ DT INSU, La Seyne-sur-Mer, France
32	¹⁵ Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS), Trieste, Italy
33	¹⁶ Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), Rome, Italy
34	¹⁷ European Multidisciplinary Seafloor and water-column Observatory European
35	Research Infrastructure Consortium (EMSO ERIC), Rome, Italy
36	¹⁸ Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI). Bremerhaven, Germany
37	¹⁹ Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI), Moss Landing, CA, USA
38	²⁰ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, RI, USA
39	²¹ University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.
40	²² Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, Heraklion Crete, Greece
41	²³ The Fish Listener, 23 Joshua Lane, Waquoit, Massachusetts, USA
42	

43 **ABSTRACT**

Increasing interest in the acquisition of biotic and abiotic resources from within 44 the deep sea (e.g. fisheries, oil-gas extraction, and mining) urgently imposes the 45 46 development of novel monitoring technologies, beyond the traditional vesselassisted, time-consuming, high-cost sampling surveys. The implementation of 47 permanent networks of seabed and water-column cabled (fixed) and docked 48 49 mobile platforms is presently enforced, to cooperatively measure biological features and environmental (physico-chemical) parameters. Video and acoustic 50 (i.e. optoacoustic) imaging are becoming central approaches for studying benthic 51 52 fauna (e.g. guantifying species presence, behaviour, and trophic interactions) in a remote, continuous, and prolonged fashion. Imaging is also being 53 complemented by in situ environmental-DNA sequencing technologies, allowing 54 the traceability of a wide range of organisms (including prokaryotes) beyond the 55 reach of optoacoustic tools. Here, we describe the different fixed and mobile 56 57 platforms of those benthic and pelagic monitoring networks, proposing at the same time an innovative roadmap for the automated computing of hierarchical 58 ecological information of deep-sea ecosystems (i.e. from single species' 59 abundance and life traits, to community composition, and overall biodiversity). 60

61

KEYWORDS: Deep sea, monitoring networks, cabled observatories, crawlers,
 video and acoustic imaging, biological variables, ecosystem indicators

64

65 **1. Introduction**

Throughout the Anthropocene Era (Crutzen & Steffen, 2003) the human footprint on the ecosystems of the global ocean has been increasing continuously (Halpern et al., 2015). As this footprint is rapidly expanding toward great depths, the need for a global observing effort in the deep ocean is crucial (Levin & Le Bris, 2015). The accurate monitoring of our incursive impacts on marine ecosystems, however, requires the development of novel and effective technological solutions.

The deep-sea seafloor and overlying waters (below 200 m depth) form the 73 74 largest biome on Earth, although it remains poorly explored (Ramirez-Llodra et al., 2010; Mora et al., 2011; Sutton et al., 2017). The monitoring of key ecosystem 75 features and functions has proven difficult, owing to the extreme environmental 76 conditions associated with these depths (e.g. high pressures, low temperatures, 77 corrosiveness and remoteness), coupled with limited sampling capabilities 78 79 offered by low numbers of adequately equipped research vessels (Woodall et al., 2018). 80

In order to sustain correct management and protection actions a 81 82 spatiotemporally extended monitoring regime must be implemented to gather data on species and their communities across the vast extent of the great global 83 ocean basins (Danovaro et al., 2017). Clear examples of shortfalls in current data 84 include the lack of knowledge on biomass, abundance, reproductive cycles, 85 population dynamics (i.e. growth and mortality), migrations and geographic 86 ranges (Danovaro et al., 2014). Furthermore, community biodiversity, food web 87 structures and the influence of organic matter transfer within ecosystem 88 compartments and across boundaries are also poorly studied in relation to the 89

neighboring shallower and coastal ecosystems (Snelgrove et al., 2018). All these
aspects have repercussions on penetration and propagation of human footprint
into marine ecosystems (e.g. pollutants and microplastics; Zhao et al., 2018).

To fill these knowledge gaps, the efficient integration of ongoing technological developments into a strategic framework for deep-sea monitoring is critical (e.g. Aguzzi et al., 2012). Such development should be capable of producing tools for the spatiotemporal location and quantification of deep-sea organisms across a wide range of body sizes, as well as their activity and response to changing environmental conditions and anthropogenic stressors.

99

100 **1.1. Objectives**

In this study, we review the status and development of high-tech, interactive 101 102 networks of fixed and mobile platforms, currently used for spatiotemporally 103 flexible and appropriate monitoring of deep-sea ecosystems. We propose an 104 innovative roadmap for the hierarchical extraction of ecosystem indicators related 105 to assemblage structure, biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, as obtained from biological variables encompassing species abundances, demographic 106 descriptors, and behavior. We center our analysis on ecosystem indicators 107 extracted from video and acoustic imaging of marine megafauna (i.e. organisms 108 of size from centimeters and above), representing the apical ecological 109 complexity component, that is fundamental in conditioning ecosystem 110 functioning, services, and health (Schoening et al., 2012). 111

112

113 2. Growing high-tech cabled observatory networks

The ongoing technological development in seafloor cabled observatories is 114 motivated by the growing awareness about the strategic value of acquiring 115 multidisciplinary biological and environmental data in a concomitant fashion, in 116 order to derive putative cause-effect relationships as drivers of ecosystem 117 changes (Favali et al., 2010; 2015; Lelievre et al., 2017). The successful 118 integration of such platforms equipped with camera systems, multi-parametric 119 biogeochemical, oceanographic, and biological sensors with seafloor power and 120 121 communication cables now allows the remote, continuous, high-frequency (> 1Hz as real-time), long-term (up to decades) monitoring of the deep-sea biome (Ruhl 122 et al., 2011). In this highly integrated monitoring approach, megafauna 123 identification, tracking and counting through optoacoustic and new molecular 124 sensors should be a key focus, in relation to productivity and services (e.g. 125 126 fishery; Aguzzi et al., 2015).

Throughout the last two decades, cabled observatories have provided 127 128 relevant data, helping to fill the gaps in knowledge on species presence, behavior, 129 and associated changes in biodiversity and ecosystem function (Table 1). Unfortunately, cabled systems are fixed and have limited spatial coverage when 130 the deep continental margins and ocean basins are considered as a whole 131 132 (Aguzzi et al., 2015; Danovaro et al., 2017). An attempt to overcome such a limitation has occurred in some cases through the installation of a local network 133 of seabed platforms. Good examples are the Ocean Network Canada (ONC), 134 Deep-ocean Environmental Long-term Observatory System (DELOS) and 135 Lofoten Verlag observatory (LoVe), respectively in Juan de Fuca plate (NW 136 Pacific), off Angola (SE Atlantic) and in Norway (Barnes et al., 2007; Vardaro et 137 al., 2013; Bagley et al., 2015; Osterloff et al., 2016). 138

The deployment of observatory modules in clusters with separation 139 distances on the order of hundreds of meters or a few kilometres is presently 140 envisaged to maximize the ability to quantify species distributions and habitat 141 142 associations over multiple scales (see **Table 1**). Deploying multiple ecosystem observatory clusters along environmental or habitat gradients would be effective 143 in elevating the system from examination of local habitats to ecosystem level 144 observation. Each node can acquire imaging and acoustic as well as 145 146 multiparametric environmental data in a temporally coordinated fashion. Accordingly, temporal changes in species presence and abundance in an area of 147 148 the deep sea can be tracked through neighboring environmental niches (Doya et al., 2017; Thomsen et al., 2017). 149

150

151

2.1. Permanent mobile platforms increase spatial monitoring capability

Nevertheless, networks of cabled observatories are not enough to ensure 152 153 efficient monitoring across highly variable benthic seascapes (Aguzzi et al., 154 2015). Presently, there is a drive to integrate mobile platforms through docking stations into existing cabled observatory infrastructures, to provide extended 155 coverage at local, regional and basin-wide spatial scales, both on the seafloor 156 and within the water column (Figure 1). Benthic mobile platforms are represented 157 by crawlers: a new class of Internet Operated Vehicles (IOVs), tethered to cabled 158 observatories (Purser et al., 2013). These tracked vehicles are capable of real-159 160 time navigation control and data collection via simple web browser interfaces operable from anywhere. At the same time, a new class of rovers, non-tethered 161 benthic mobile crawlers are entering into active research, capable of 162 automatically returning to the docking station for charging, data transfer or 163

recovery (Flögel, 2015). To complement the seafloor monitoring capacities of crawler systems, pelagic monitoring is presently achieved using tethered Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs) and free swimming Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) some of which may, also dock with cabled stations for energy recharge and data transmission (Bellingham, 2016). These also allow monitoring of the water column at a high-frequency over extended periods and across depth strata (e.g. Ludvigsen & Sorensen, 2016; Masmitjia et al., 2018).

Each of these mobile platforms provides a unique contribution to the 171 ecosystem observatory, as well as some task redundancy. The AUV equipped 172 173 with imaging or acoustic devices is ideally suited for habitat and biota distribution mapping (Morris et al., 2014, Williams et al., 2016) and can be used to conduct 174 transects around the observatory and between observatories. The AUV provides 175 176 the highest mobility and flexibility in sampling design for mapping with impacts on the benthic habitat by maritime activities (e.g. noise, substrate disturbance at 177 178 different scales and artificial light pollution effects). Although the ROV design 179 implies a tether, such a platform has also a high mobility and it can be used similarly to AUVs (Robinson et al., 2017), with the advantage of having two way 180 real-time data transmission and manipulator arms to be used for management 181 and maintenance tasks within the monitoring infrastructure (e.g. manipulative 182 experiments or for placing autonomous recorders such as stand-alone 183 autonomous cameras). In addition, ROVs are the best option for collecting video 184 data on the development of the fouling community on the observatory 185 infrastructure and fauna association with the structure. The major drawback of 186 ROVs is that they must operate with thrusters, creating high levels of noise and 187 188 their limited ability to conduct sampling and observations at specific locations for

extended periods of time (Rountree & Juanes, 2010). Crawlers, on the other hand, can be used to conduct census observations at specific locations (in constant transect or stepping-stone fashion) for extended time periods (minutes to hours). Crawlers can also share some infrastructure servicing tasks with the ROVs and carry larger payloads. Drawbacks to crawlers include noise production, but more importantly physical disturbance of the benthic habitat and associated fauna along the movement tracks.

196

197 **2.2. Benthic networks growing in the pelagic realm**

The need to monitor energy fluxes between pelagic and benthic ecosystem 198 compartments (i.e. benthopelagic coupling) and their spatiotemporal changes 199 (e.g. Griffiths et al., 2017), requires the development of three-dimensional 200 201 monitoring networks of platforms, with cabled nodes and mobile platforms operating in tandem (Figure 2). This ecologically integrated monitoring is 202 203 presently being facilitated by incorporating to the benthic data collection, 204 secondary data streams supplied by water column fixed (i.e. moored) and superficial buoys, as well as satellites (Thomsen et al., 2017). Satellites are 205 optimal tools for gathering large-scale physicochemical data from superficial (i.e. 206 207 epipelagic) ecosystems, quantifying relevant biological variables from ocean color (e.g. chlorophyll content, particulate matter, etc.). Unfortunately, satellite 208 sensors cannot penetrate much beyond the surface of global water mass, and 209 therefore pelagic buoys are more appropriate for the monitoring of sub-surface 210 oceanic strata. 211

In this scenario, benthopelagic monitoring capabilities are also being potentiated *via* data collection from the routine operations of large astrophysical

experimental infrastructures, such as underwater neutrino telescopes (see
Figure 1C). These telescopes consist of arrays of vertically moored (up to 700
m), flexible, strings or towers of photon detectors (Photo-Multiplier Tubes; PMTs)
for neutrino particle quantification, placed at different altitudes above the seabed
and connected to shore *via* power and fiber-optic data cables (Adrián-Martínez
et al., 2016b).

Although the primary use of these platforms is within the high-energy 220 221 astrophysics domain (Adrían-Martínez et al., 2016a), their infrastructure provides a network of subsea connection points and sensors usable for marine ecological 222 223 monitoring. Hydrophones for passive acoustic listening are connected to the system to monitor position of the towers in relation to currents and to 224 simultaneously triangulate PMT location with the aid of acoustic beacons, so that 225 226 the trajectories of detected neutrinos can be properly computed. As a by-product, this real-time acoustic monitoring produces useful oceanographic flow condition 227 228 data and information on anthropogenic marine noise, as well as cetacean 229 movement, population structure, and communication (Nosengo et al., 2009; Sciacca et al., 2015; Viola et al., 2017). The PMT detectors themselves also 230 provide unique high-frequency and continuous data on bioluminescence, as 231 swimming animals luminesce when hitting the infrastructures (Aguzzi et al., 232 2017). At time of writing, real-time and continuous data acquisition from these 233 telescope infrastructures as a whole is providing important information on 234 seasonal changes in gravity carbon fluxes and controlling oceanographic 235 processes (e.g. dense shelf water cascading and effects on deep-sea bacterial 236 productivity; Tamburini et al., 2013; Adrián-Martínez et al., 2016b; Durrieu de 237 Madron et al., 2017). 238

3. A roadmap for the monitoring of ecosystem indicators

The development of efficient deep-sea ecosystem monitoring is currently 241 based on the successful extraction and quantification of key ecosystem 242 characteristics (e.g. biogeochemistry, animal presence, abundance and 243 behavior, local and regional biodiversity, ecosystem functioning; see Table 1). 244 This monitoring development is being based on the combined use of optoacoustic 245 246 and molecular biological sensors which are being implemented in the framework of cabled observatories. The capability to acquire temporally-related time series 247 of multiparametric habitat and biological data, allows researchers to envision 248 aspects such as, benthic primary production via chemosynthesis, deep-sea 249 species ecological niches and food web structure (Aguzzi et al., 2011; 2012; 250 251 2018). These datasets can be used to feed new numerical-based ecology approaches centered on multivariate statistics, time series analysis and 252 253 ecosystem modeling (e.g. Borcard & Legendre, 2002, Matabos et al., 2014; 254 Puillat et al., 2014; Thomsen et al., 2017), in order to estimate the level of significance for putative cause - effects relationships (i.e. environmental control 255 versus species and communities response) and provide an immediate vision of 256 complex ecological processes at a local scale (e.g. species tolerance to the 257 variation of key habitat drivers). This approach allows a transition from a still too 258 descriptive deep-water and deep-sea ecology into a more quantitative one, as 259 occurs in more directly accessible coastal areas and land. 260

To optimize the outcome quality from a highly-integrated deep-sea monitoring strategy of this type, protocols for data collection and analysis should be implemented to efficiently characterize local biodiversity along with those

processes that sustain it and determine the overall ecosystem functioning and 264 health status (Allen et al., 2008; Danovaro et al., 2016). From an operational point 265 of view, a bottom-up scheme of monitoring should be conceived with cabled 266 observatories and docked mobile platforms producing video and acoustic 267 imaging information on fauna within a wide range of sizes (e.g. from macro-268 zooplankton to megafauna classification and counting, morphometric description, 269 and quantification of intra- and inter-specific interactions). Then, acquired 270 baseline biological data can be directly related to multiparametric environmental 271 information obtained via the concomitant collection of geochemical and 272 oceanographic data (Aguzzi et al., 2012; 2015; Ferrari et al., 2016). 273

274

3.1. The central role of optoacoustic technologies for monitoring

276 High-definition still and video image data (e.g. 2D, 3D, hyperspectral) and active acoustic imaging (i.e. multi-beam cameras; Juanes, 2018) to date 277 278 represent key approaches for the optoacoustic monitoring of remote deep-sea 279 ecosystems (Danovaro et al., 2017). Outputs of optoacoustic monitoring provide relevant data for management in key human activities, as for example fisheries 280 or jellyfish blooms (Samhouri et al., 2014, Bicknell et al., 2016, Corgnati et al., 281 282 2016; Marini et al., 2018). Moreover, species distribution and habitat use can be studied over extended spatial scales by mosaicking high-resolution imagery, 283 captured by mobile platforms operating in the regions surrounding the cabled 284 infrastructure stations (Purser et al., 2013) or by integrating laser-scanning 285 systems into the mobile platforms, to create high-resolution 3D full-color surface 286 models (GE Reports Canada, 2017). Further development of similar methods that 287 expand the spatial coverage of (stereo)-imaging data, can help with the 288

quantification of other biological components and fauna sizes of high ecological 289 relevance which are more difficult to quantify remotely over extensive areas of 290 the deep sea. Fixed cameras (Aguzzi et al., 2011) and mobile platforms 291 (Valentine et al., 2016) can be used to assess epibenthic bacterial mat coverage 292 in combination with customized molecular and chemical microsensors, providing 293 in situ analysis of microbial communities (see Section 3.3 below), a proxy for 294 chemosynthetic production at reducing sites (e.g. cold-seeps, hydrothermal 295 296 vents; Purser et al., 2013; Russ et al., 2013).

Video imaging at depth requires continuous illumination which carries a 297 poorly understood potential for harmful effects on deep-sea fauna (Herring et al., 298 1999; Irwin, 2018). However, digital still time-lapse cameras may collect in situ 299 images with triggered flash illumination, limiting the exposure to light of these 300 301 perpetually dark deep-sea ecosystems. At the same time, red or infra-red lighting, 302 at wavelengths not detectable by deep-sea animals, has been used with some 303 success (Widder et al., 2005) but those wavelengths are rapidly attenuated in 304 water and the resulting monochrome images contain much less information than equivalent color images (Priede, 2017). 305

Classic high-definition video monitoring approaches are being integrated 306 with novel acoustic imaging systems (Rountree, 2008; Juanes, 2018) with an 307 increasing level of complementarity in deep-water areas (Figure 3). Acoustic 308 such as high-frequency multi-beam imaging Dual-frequency 309 cameras. 310 Identification Sonar (DIDSON) and Adaptive Resolution Imaging Sonar (ARIS) can visualize fish and invertebrate shapes, and track the movement of individuals 311 at distances greater than those which may be achieved by visual systems 312 equipped with artificial lighting solutions (Martignac et al., 2015). 313

A limitation of acoustic camera use for monitoring fauna however is related 314 to animal identification, which with acoustic systems must be solely based on 315 morphology, since no colorimetric and limited texture information is captured by 316 acoustic camera devices. Spatial resolution of acoustic cameras is also 317 insufficient to resolve important details for species identification. However, 318 acoustic cameras can effectively 'see in the dark', thus avoiding photic 319 contamination, allowing investigation of how artificial lights may influence animal 320 321 behavior in the deep sea. In order to verify identifications, acoustic cameras must be deployed simultaneously with new prototype low-light high resolution optical 322 imaging equipment (e.g. Barbier et al., 2012). 323

The space sampled around an observatory can be also increased by 324 mounting an imaging sonar on a rotating head (see Figure 3B). At present such 325 326 devices are installed on the ONC cabled observatory in Barkley canyon. These sonars allow internet connected operators to gualitatively discern the presence 327 328 and abundance of benthic fauna and any associated bioturbation over surfaces larger than in any single fixed image (Robert & Juniper, 2012). Similar rotating 329 side or upward facing sonar packages are undergoing initial deployments on 330 other cabled infrastructures, capable of being used to identify animals, when they 331 are not too densely grouped, at distances of up to ~1 km (Godø et al., 2014). 332

333 Currently, automation in image processing for animal tracking, 334 classification, counting, the extraction of morphological features (e.g. size, shape, 335 color patterns), and characterization of behavioral aspects (e.g. crawling, 336 walking, swimming, burying, and territoriality; *sensu* Aguzzi & Company, 2010), 337 is becoming a relevant tool in biological data provision from cabled observatories 338 and their associated mobile platforms. More automated routines are urgently

required as the volume of image data collected by these systems increases in 339 line with technological developments. Such routines will enable researchers to 340 overcome the human analysis-dependent bottleneck of manual processing 341 (Aguzzi et al., 2012), whilst also reducing observer bias (Schoening et al., 2012). 342 By developing Artificial Intelligence (Al; in the form of learning algorithms) in 343 computer vision, cameras may be transformed into the equivalent of a calibrated 344 sensor, automatically providing time series quantitative data on key fauna, to 345 augment the qualitative data represented by the images themselves (Corgnati et 346 al., 2016, Marini et al., 2018). Despite the difficulties inherent in converting the 347 expert knowledge into useful algorithms, calibration and tuning via sufficiently 348 extensive feedback can result in operational performances comparable to those 349 of expert researchers (MacLeod et al., 2010). 350

351

352 **3.2.** Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) to support image-based monitoring

353 PAM monitoring of fish and invertebrate sounds increase species 354 monitoring capability well beyond the reach of optoacoustic technologies. Such a technological application has become an important tool in fisheries and 355 conservation research (Rountree et al., 2006; Luczkovich et al., 2008). The use 356 of PAM assets provides a long-range monitoring capability in remote locations 357 where traditional sampling methods are difficult or impossible to implement (ACT, 358 2007), as for example in the case of sponge reefs (Archer et al., 2018) or 359 seamounts (Riera et al., 2016). Furthermore, combining acoustic localization with 360 video and other forms of observation can be used to identify sound producing 361 362 species as well as document their soniferous behavior (Mouy et al., 2018). This approach is finding an increasing use in the collection of long-term data for
 integrated biodiversity assessment (Pieretti et al., 2017).

Unfortunately, the application of PAM is limited by the paucity of archived 365 366 data on fish sounds (Rountree et al., 2002; Rountree et al., 2018a). For example, of the approximately 400 fish species in British Columbia waters, only 22 have 367 been reported to "vocalize" in large part because sound production has been 368 investigated in so few species (Wall et al., 2014). This is especially true in the 369 deep sea, where fish sounds have rarely been studied despite the fact that many 370 species possess sonic muscles presumably used in vocalization (Rountree et al., 371 2012; Parmentier et al., 2018). 372

Although many fishes and invertebrates do not produce purposeful sounds, it is important to understand that incidental sound production may occur upon physiological and behavioral activity (e.g. specific swimming and feeding mode sounds). Those acoustic marks can be used to assess the presence of individuals for a certain species and are therefore being incorporated into PAM monitoring procedures (Rountree et al., 2006; Rountree et al., 2018b).

The aforementioned PAM applications, combined with other observation technologies (e.g. video, acoustic imaging and sonar) improving the documentation of organism sound production and associated behavior, will add further ecological value to the integrated monitoring framework of ocean observatories (Rountree, 2008).

384

385 **3.3. Molecular sensing as benchmark for species traceability**

386 Molecular tools have diverse applications in marine ecological studies and 387 biological monitoring. Substantial contributions have been provided by several

DNA barcode initiatives generating and implementing databases, along with the 388 development of metabarcoding protocols to recover community diversities from 389 unsorted samples (Stefanni et al., 2018). The latest revolution in bio-monitoring 390 391 is linked to the collection and analysis of genetic material obtained directly from environmental samples, namely environmental DNA (eDNA). This protocol 392 enables tracing of the presence of species from skin cells, fish scales, gametes 393 and food left overs, without the need to isolate any target organisms (Taberlet et 394 al., 2012). Direct sequencing of eDNA has been shown to provide several 395 advantages over traditional techniques, improving the capacity to unravel the 396 "hidden" biodiversity (e.g. detect rare, cryptic, elusive and non-indigenous 397 species in the early stages of invasion) and enabling global census of species in 398 399 near real-time (Stat et al., 2017).

400 However, eDNA tracing presents some limitations such for example, the detection of false positives (when target species is absent but its DNA is 401 402 recovered), and false negatives (i.e. species undetected where they are present) 403 which have to be carefully evaluated and avoided (Taberlet et al., 2012; Cristescu & Hebert, 2018). Major difficulties encountered in deep-sea ecosystems for 404 studies involving molecular analysis of diversity are: the general lack of taxonomic 405 406 knowledge as well as the absence of appropriate databases of species-specific 407 marker sequences (Carugati et al., 2015; Dell'Anno et al., 2015; Sinniger et al., 2016). When these molecular markers are identified (Barnes & Turner, 2016), in 408 409 situ hybridization techniques may be used with great success when targeting expected taxa within monitoring programmes (Scholin, 2010; Berry et al., 2019). 410 Recent technical improvements concern the development of "Eco-genomic" 411 sensors capable of autonomously collect biological samples and perform

412

molecular analyses (Ottesen, 2016). These sensors allow the characterization of
marine community composition as a whole, regardless of the faunal size classes
involved (McQuillan & Robidart, 2017). One example is the Environmental
Sample Processor (ESP Scholin et al., 2009), designed to autonomously collect
discrete water samples, concentrate microorganisms, and automate the
application of molecular probe technologies.

In parallel, recent advances in high-throughput sequencing technologies are 419 allowing the processing of huge amounts of genomic data using small portable 420 devices (i.e. miniaturized sequencers such as produced by Oxford Nanopore 421 422 Technologies having the size of a USB stick). This kind of devices, together with advances in bioinformatics could represent the most important revolutionary 423 breakthrough technology in ecological networks monitoring. Challenges related 424 425 to the taxonomic assignments of genomic sequences and their interpretation (incompleteness of databases) may be solved applying machine learning 426 427 algorithms (Cordier et al., 2017). Such approaches can maximize ecologically 428 meaningful insights and provide a list of highly informative sequences ecosystem indicators that could provide the basis for hybridization chips (i.e. micro-arrays) 429 for denser, mobile and cheaper in situ devices that can be scaled up appropriate 430 spatiotemporal resolutions (Cordier et al., 2018). 431

Al approaches are gaining relevance in the metabarcoding analysis and provide a fast and cost-effective way for assessing the quality status of ecosystems (Cordier et al., 2018; 2019). Recent examples in -omics analysis were based on Random Forest (Breiman, 2001; Fernández-Delgado et al., 2018) and Self Organizing Maps (Kamimura, 2019). These were used for identifying biotic indices for the foraminiferal metabarcoding. Similarly, Gerhard & Gunsch

(2019) used a random forest based approach for selecting the relevant
biomarkers for classification of ocean, harbor and ballast water samples. LeCun,
(2015) used a deep recurrent neural network (approach for a base calling
application on portable sequencing machines (Merelli at al., 2018), where
meaningful results were sent to a cloud service through an Internet of Things
framework for further analysis (Čolaković & Hadžialić, 2018).

Along with molecular based monitoring tools, other chemical sensing applications may complement DNA probing (Ishida et al., 2012) and sequencing. An example is provided by *in situ* mass spectrometry, originally developed for targeting xenobiotic compounds in marine water micro-samples, which has been successfully used for identifying species presence based on their physiological by-products (Wollschlager et al., 2016).

450

451 3.4. Ecosystem indicators

In the near future, the integration of advanced genomic and chemical approaches for *in situ* detection of organisms (e.g. Cordier et al., 2017) and quantification of their biochemical activity (Goodridge & Valentine, 2016) will greatly enhance the performance of ecological monitoring networks, adding to the detection capacity of optoacoustic imaging and passive acoustic approaches alone.

458 Stitched imaging products (e.g. mosaicking) can provide valuable 459 information on species distribution and habitat use at more extended scales. *In* 460 *situ* molecular methods can detect the presence of taxa otherwise undetected by 461 imaging outside a small temporal window or too small for morphological 462 recognition, while acoustics expand the spatial scales of deep-sea biological

monitoring, enabling the integration of horizontal (nektobenthic displacement; 463 Aguzzi & Company, 2010) and vertical (i.e. benthopelagic coupling; Griffiths et 464 al., 2017) biomass and energy fluxes. With the use of such combined datasets, 465 a series of biological variables can be measured and ecosystem indicators 466 extracted, as essential elements for the accurate assessment of the health of 467 benthic ecosystems and cover the complete range from benthic (e.g. 468 chemosynthetic) primary production, individual characteristics, population 469 470 dynamics, species and community dynamics to finally the ecosystem functioning level. 471

472 As a result of these ever-growing demands, the need for automation in data collection, analysis and interpretation procedures is paramount. Integration of 473 cabled observatories and associated mobile systems equipped with AI for real-474 475 time content extraction from imaging systems, hydrophones and e-DNA samplers, would allow the monitoring of ecosystem indicators and representation 476 477 of ecosystem functioning over extended spatiotemporal scales (from square 478 meters to kilometers, over days, months, seasons, and decades). To date, no such integrated system exists in the deep sea to verify the concept (Danovaro et 479 al., 2017). At present there are major shortfalls in automation of image and sound 480 481 processing and producing an efficient, long-term in situ e-DNA extraction and sequencing device. However, many of these systems are integrated into the ONC 482 cabled observatory infrastructure in the NE Pacific, with data being collected in 483 real-time at a number of nodes and returned to a central repository (i.e. Ocean 484 2.0 data bank system). Similarly, real-time, interactive tools such as the Scripps 485 Plankton Camera System (http://spc.ucsd.edu/) facilitate quick access to visual 486 data and a statistical overview. The implementation of these types of data 487

repositories can allow environmental comparisons to be made among neighboring and more distantly arrayed platforms in an attempt to scale local results over a larger networked area (**Figure 4**). This endeavor is providing the guidelines for future development of spatiotemporally integrated monitoring protocols.

Autonomous monitoring of biological variables and derived ecosystem 493 indicators by cabled observatories and their integrated mobile platforms should 494 495 be implemented following a general and standardized common operational protocol: *i.* all multiparametric readings from optoacoustic imaging, PAM, 496 molecular, biogeochemical, and oceanographic sensors should be acquired 497 synchronously by all cabled and mobile platforms; *ii.* such data acquisition should 498 499 occur in a high-frequency and time-lapse mode, where the image content should 500 be automatically analyzed by AI algorithms and classified on board of the device, (saving storage PAM and transmission bandwidth space), while preserving the 501 502 observation time georeferenced stamp; and finally, iii. all mobile platforms should 503 constantly survey the same benthic and pelagic areas (subdivided into specific stations) among cabled observatories and their moored vertical projections. Such 504 an automated and spatiotemporally coordinated and standardized protocol for 505 data acquisition will make data treatment, transmission, and storage easier, 506 whilst simultaneously facilitating more straightforward repeatability/reproducibility 507 of observations at the same location and comparison of measurements made 508 with other networks, allowing regional/global level analysis. 509

510 The measurement of biological variables needed for the hierarchical 511 computation of ecosystem indicators, should be carried out through a series of 512 sequential automated steps (**Table 2**). *i*. all imaging outputs initially processed for

the classification, counting and tracking of fauna and quantification of bacterial 513 mat coverage and activity; ii. animals measured (e.g. by stereovision, acoustic 514 scaling or laser scanning) to obtain class-size frequency distribution and sex-ratio 515 (when morphology allows individual discrimination); iii. total species counts from 516 all seabed and water column areas summed and standardized for the imaged 517 volume, to obtain an overall abundance (i.e. density) and biomass estimation; iv. 518 species counts computed for each station analyzed by mapping procedures (e.g. 519 percentage of presence/occupation per guadrant), to derive information on 520 habitat use as well as displacement routes through different zones (i.e. corridors); 521 522 v. a species richness list and biodiversity obtained at each platform (alpha diversity), between platforms (beta diversity), and the level of the whole network 523 (gamma diversity), to assess habitat heterogeneity influences on species 524 525 distribution, community composition, and overall ecosystem boundaries; finally, vi. density and biomass for each species related to carbon inputs from 526 527 benthopelagic fluxes in chlorophyll-a and turbidity (as proxy for transported 528 organic and inorganic matter), as well as from geochemical fluxes, when relevant (i.e. carrying the reduced chemicals as for example, methane, hydrogen, sulfide, 529 that fuel chemosynthetic microbes), to calculate ecosystem functioning and 530 productivity performances. All automated analysis stages need to be verified by 531 human researchers to ensure accuracy of the algorithm functioning, while the 532 nature of specified ecological interpretation must be cross-checked against 533 published results from conventional methods such as analysis of stomach 534 contents, stable isotopes and fatty acids markers (Choy et al., 2017). 535

536

537 **4. Perspectives and Outlook**

Autonomous flexible networks of cabled observatories and mobile platforms can 538 allow extensive monitoring of marine life at different levels of biological 539 organization and at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. Although 540 541 integrated monitoring actions such as those outlined herein are yet to attain full operational readiness, and therefore proofs of some of the concepts discussed 542 are missing, the technological developments are ongoing. Progress to date 543 already allows researchers to utilize services-oriented ecological monitoring of 544 some isolated deep-sea ecosystems. It is important, however, that future 545 observatories are designed from the ground up for ecosystem monitoring and 546 547 data integration, rather than being developed on an *ad hoc*, and somewhat haphazard basis, as funding for individual projects becomes available. 548

Bio-imaging technologies already play a central role in ecosystem 549 550 exploration and monitoring. Increasing levels of automation in image processing are transforming cameras into true sensors, delivering time series data for a 551 552 number of biological variables and derived ecosystem indicators. Visual data are 553 being increasingly complemented by *in situ* passive acoustic listening sensors and new e-DNA sequencing technologies for species traceability. All these 554 initially disparate data sources can be combined to form a detailed and high-555 resolution monitoring approach applicable to the benthic and pelagic components 556 of a deep-sea ecosystem. The output from such a monitoring regime will support 557 decisions of policy makers, allowing them to assess the impacts of increased 558 industrial activities and pressures on deep-sea ecosystems (e.g. oil or gas 559 extraction and mining or trawl fishing), including a better assessment of already 560 evident but poorly quantified climate change impacts at great depths. The 561 obtained data will be of paramount importance for the accurate assessment of 562

the health status of ecosystems, the physical damage to habitats and to efficiently monitor their resilience and the efficacy of restoration actions. The compiling of multiannual time series monitoring data sets (continuously updated in real-time) will allow the identification of shifting environmental baselines and rapidly highlight the onset of any negative environmental impacts which may develop, potentially unpredictably, from human activities in these remote deep-sea ecosystems.

570

571 **Funding**

572 This work was developed within the framework of the Tecnoterra (ICM-CSIC/UPC) and the following project activities: ARIM (Autonomous Robotic sea-573 floor Infrastructure for benthopelagic Monitoring; MartTERA ERA-Net Cofound), 574 ARCHES (Autonomous Robotic Networks to Help Modern Societies; German 575 Helmholtz Association), RESBIO (TEC2017-87861-R; Ministerio de Ciencia, 576 577 Innovación y Universidades), RESNEP (CTM2017-82991-C2-1-R; Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades), MERCES (Marine Ecosystem Restoration 578 in Changing European Seas -GA n. 689518) and IDEM (Implementing the MSFD 579 580 to the Deep Mediterranean Sea, GA No. 11.0661/2017/750680/SUB/ENV.C2).

581

582 **References**

Alliance for Coastal Technologies (ATC) (2007) Underwater passive acoustic
 monitoring for remote regions. A workshop of research scientists, technology
 developers, and resource managers. Coconut Island, Hawaii: Hawaii Institute
 of Marine Biology, Feb. 7-9, 2007. Ref. No. ACT-0702.

587 Adrián-Martínez S. & the NEMO Consortium (2016a) The prototype detection unit

of the KM3NeT detector. *European Physical Journal C*, 76, 54.

Adrián-Martínez S. & the NEMO Consortium (2016b) Long-term monitoring of the
 optical background in the Capo Passero deep-sea site with the NEMO tower
 prototype. *European Physical Journal C*, 76, 68.

Aguzzi J. & Company J. B. (2010) Chronobiology of deep-water decapod
crustaceans on continental margins. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 58, 155-225.
Aguzzi J., Company J. B., Costa C., Matabos M., Azzurro E., Mànuel A.,

595 Menesatti P., Sardà F., Canals M., Delory E., Cline D., Favali P., Juniper S.

K., Furushima Y., Fujiwara Y., Chiesa J. J., Marotta L. & Priede I. M. (2012)
Challenges to assessment of benthic populations and biodiversity as a result
of rhythmic behaviour: Video solutions from cabled observatories. *Oceanography and Marine Biology, an Annual Review,* 50, 235-286.

Aguzzi J., Costa C., Furushima Y., Chiesa J. J., Company J. B., Menesatti P.,

601 Iwase R. & Fujiwara Y. (2010) Behavioral rhythms of hydrocarbon seep fauna

in relation to internal tides. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 418, 47-56.

Aguzzi J., Costa C., Matabos M., Fujiwara Y., Iwase R., Ramírez-Llodra E. &

604 Menesatti P. (2009) A novel morphometry-based protocol of automated video-

605 image analysis for species recognition and activity rhythms monitoring in deep-

sea fauna. *Sensors*, 9, 8438-8455.

Aguzzi J., Costa C., Robert K., Matabos M., Antonucci F., Juniper S. K. &
Menesatti P. (2011) Automated image analysis for the detection of benthic
crustaceans and bacterial mat coverage using the VENUS undersea cabled
network. *Sensors*, 11, 10534-10556.

- Aguzzi J., Doya C., Tecchio S., De Leo F. I., Azzurro E., Costa C., Sbragaglia V.,
 Del Río J., Navarro J., Ruhl H. A., Company J. B., Favali P., Purser A.,
 Thomsen L. & Catalán I. A. (2015) Coastal observatories for monitoring of fish
 behavior and their responses to environmental changes. *Review of Fish*
- 615 *Biology and Fisheries,* 25, 463-483.
- Aguzzi J., Fanelli E., Ciuffardi T., Craig G., and the NEMO Consortium (2017)
- Inertial bioluminescence rhythms at the Central Mediterranean KM3NeT deepsea neutrino telescope. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 44938.
- Aguzzi J., Fanelli E., Ciuffardi T., Schirone A., De Leo F.C., Doya C., Kawato M.,
- 620 Miyazaki M., Furushima Y., Costa C. & Fujiwara Y. (2018) Faunal activity 621 rhythms influencing early community succession of an implanted whale 622 carcass offshore in Sagami Bay, Japan. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 11163.
- Aguzzi J., Jamieson A. J., Fujii T., Sbragaglia V., Costa C., Menesatti P. &
- Fujiwara Y. (2012) Shifting feeding behaviour of deep-sea buccinid gastropods
- at natural and simulated food falls. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 458, 247-
- 626 **253**.
- Allen T. F. H. (2008) Hierarchy Theory in ecology. In: S. E. Jorgensen (Ed.),
 Ecosystem ecology. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier.
- André M. & the NEMO Consortium (2017) Sperm whale long-range echolocation
 sounds revealed by ANTARES, a deep-sea neutrino telescope. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 45517.
- Anderson G. S. & Bell L. S. (2014) Deep coastal marine taphonomy: Investigation
- into carcass decomposition in the Saanich Inlet, British Columbia using a
 baited camera. *PLoS ONE*, 9 (10), e110710.

Anderson G. S. & Bell L. S. (2016) Impact of marine submergence and season
 on faunal colonization and decomposition of pig carcasses in the Salish Sea.
 PLoS ONE, 11 (3), e0149107.

Archer S. K., Halliday W. D., Riera A., Mouy X., Pine M. K., Chu J. W. F., Dunham
A. & Juanes F. (2018) The first description of a glass sponge reef soundscape
reveals fish calls and elevated sound pressure levels. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 595, 245-252.

642 Bagley P. M., Smith K. L., Bett B. J. Priede I. G., Rower G. T., Clarke J., Wall A., Ruhl A. H., Bailey D. M. & Bazica B. (2015) The DELOS project: Development 643 of a long-term observatory in an oil field environment in the Tropical Atlantic 644 Ocean. In: Seafloor Observatories. P. Favali et al. (Eds.) Springer Praxis 645 Books. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-11374-1 13. 646 647 Barbier R., Cajgfinger T., Dominjon A. & Doan Q. T. (2012) An ebCMOS camera system for extreme low light imaging. Presented at Imaging Systems and 648 649 Applications 2012, Optical Society of America, Monterey, CA, USA.

Barnes C. R., Best M. M. R., Bornhold B. D., Juniper S. K.; Pirenne B. & Phibbs
P. (2007) The NEPTUNE Project - a cabled ocean observatory in the NE
Pacific: Overview, challenges and scientific objectives for the installation and
operation of Stage I. In: Canadian waters. IEEE Underwater Technology and
Workshop on Scientific Use of Submarine Cables and Related Technologies,
2007, Tokyo, Japan.

Barnes M. A. & Tuner C. R. (2016) The ecology of environmental DNA and
 implications for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics*, 17, 1-17.

- Bates A., Tunnicliffe V. & Lee R. (2005) Role of thermal conditions in habitat
 selection by hydrothermal vent gastropods. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
 305, 1-15.
- Bellingham J. G. (2016) Autonomous Underwater Vehicle Docking. In: Springer
 Handbook of Ocean Engineering. Dhanak M. R. & Xiros N. I. (Eds). Springer,
- 663 Cham.
- Berry T. E., Saunders B. J., Coghlan M. L., Stat M., Jarman S., Richardson A. J.,
- Davies C. H., Berry O., Harvey E. S. & Bunce M. (2019) Marine environmental
- 666 DNA biomonitoring reveals seasonal patterns in biodiversity and identifies
- ecosystem responses to anomalous climatic events. *PLoS Genetics*, 15,
 e1007943.
- Bicknell A. W., Godley B. J., Sheehan E. V., Votier S. C. & Witt M. J. (2016)
- 670 Camera technology for monitoring marine biodiversity and human impact.
 671 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment,* 8, 424-432.
- Borcard D. & Legendre P. (2002) All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by
- 673 means of principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. *Ecological Modelling*,
- 674 **153(1-2)**, **51-68**.
- Breiman L. (2001) Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5-32.
- 676 Carugati L., Corinaldesi C., Dell'Anno A. & Danovaro R. (2015) Metagenetic tools
- 677 for the census of marine meiofaunal biodiversity: An overview. *Marine* 678 *Genomics*, 24 (1), 11-20.
- Caruso F., Sciacca V., Bellia G., De Domenico E., Larosa G., Papale E.,
 Pellegrino C., Pulvirenti S., Riccobene G., Simeone F., Speziale F., Viola S. &
 Pavan G. (2015) Size distribution of sperm whales acoustically identified

during long term deep-sea monitoring in the Ionian Sea. *PLoS ONE*, 10 (12),
e0144503.

Caruso F., Alonge G., Bellia G., De Domenico E., Grammauta R., Larosa G.,
Mazzola S., Riccobene G., Pavan G., Papale E., Pellegrino C., Pulvirenti S.,
Sciacca V., Simeone F., Speziale F., Viola S. & Buscaino G. (2017) Long-term
monitoring of dolphin biosonar activity in deep pelagic waters of the
Mediterranean Sea. *Scientific Reports, 7, 4321*.

Chatzievangelou D., Doya C., Thomsen L., Purser A. & Aguzzi J. (2016) Highfrequency patterns in the abundance of benthic species near a cold-seep: An
Internet Operated Vehicle application. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0163808.

Chatzievangelou D., Suarez A., Aguzzi J., Bigham K. & Thomsen L. (2017)
Optimization of surveys with Internet Operated Deep-sea crawler, as an
integrated tool for ocean cabled observatories: Monitoring the benthic
community of a methane hydrates site in Barkley Canyon (BC, Canada).
Presented at the AGU Fall Meeting 2017, New Orleans, LA, USA.

Chauvet P., Metaxas A., Hay A. E. & Matabos M. (2018) Annual and seasonal
dynamics of deep-sea megafaunal epibenthic communities in Barkley Canyon
(British Columbia, Canada): A response to climatology, surface productivity
and benthic boundary layer variation. *Progress in Oceanography*. In Press.

Choy C. A., Haddock S. H. D. & Robison B. H. (2017) Deep pelagic food web
structure as revealed by *in situ* feeding observations. *Proceedings if the Royal Society B*, 284 (1868), 20172116.

Čolaković A. & Hadžialić M. (2018). Internet of Things (IoT): A review of enabling
technologies, challenges, and open research issues. *Computer Networks*,
144, 17-39.

Cordier T., Esling P., Lejzerowicz F., Visco J., Ouadahi A., Martins C., Cedhagen
T. & Pawlowski J. (2017) Predicting the ecological quality status of marine
environments from eDNA metabarcoding data using supervised machine
learning. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51, 9118-9126.

Cordier T., Forster D., Dufresne Y., Martins C. I., Stoeck T. & Pawlowski J. (2018)
 Supervised machine learning outperforms taxonomy based environmental
 DNA metabarcoding applied to biomonitoring. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,
 18(6), 1381-1391.

715 Cordier T., Lanzén A., Apothéloz-Perret-Gentil L., Stoeck T. & Pawlowski J.

(2019) Embracing environmental genomics and machine learning for routine
biomonitoring. *Trends in Microbiology*, 27(5), 387-397.

Corgnati L., Marini S., Mazzei L., Ottaviani E., Aliani S., Conversi A. & Griffa A.

(2016) Looking inside the Ocean: Toward an autonomous imaging system for
 monitoring gelatinous zooplankton. *Sensors*, 16, 2124.

721 Cristescu M. E. & Hebert P. D. N. (2018) Uses and Misuses of Environmental

- 722 DNA in Biodiversity Science and Conservation. Annual Review of Ecology,
- *Evolution, and Systematics*, 49, 209-230.
- Crutzen P. J. & Steffen W. (2003) How long have we been in the Anthropocene
 Era? *Climate Change*, 61, 251-257.

726 Cuvelier D., Legendre P., Laes A., Sarradin P. M. & Sarrazin J. (2014) Rhythms

- and community dynamics of a hydrothermal tubeworm assemblage at Main
- Endeavour field A multidisciplinary deep-sea observatory approach. *PLoS*
- 729 ONE, 9 (5), e96924.

- Cuvelier D., Legendre P., Laes A., Sarradin P. M. & Sarrazin J. (2017) Biological
 and environmental rhythms in (dark) deep-sea hydrothermal ecosystems.
 Biogeosciences, 14, 2955-2977.
- 733 Danovaro R., Aguzzi J., Fanelli E., Billet D., Gjerde K., Jamieson A., Ramirez-
- Llodra E., Smith C. R., Snelgrove P. V. R., Thomsen L. & Van Dover C. (2017)
- A new international ecosystem-based strategy for the global deep ocean.
 Science, 355, 452-454.
- 737 Danovaro R., Carugati L., Berzano M., Berzano M., Cahill A. E., Carvalho S.,
- Chenuil A., Corinaldesi C., Cristina S., David R., Dell'Anno A., Dzhembekova
- N., Garcés E., Gasol J. M., Goela P., Féral J.-P., Ferrera I., Forster R. M.,
- 740 Kurekin A. A., Rastelli E., Marinova V., Miller P. I., Moncheva S., Newton A.,
- Pearman J. K., Pitois S. G., Reñé A., Rodríguez-Ezpeleta N., Saggiomo V.,
- Simis S. G. H., Stefanova K., Wilson C., Lo Martire M., Greco S., Cochrane S.
- K. J., Mangoni O. & Borja A. (2016) Implementing and innovating marine
 monitoring approaches for assessing marine environmental status. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3, 213.
- Danovaro R., Snelgrove P. V. & Tyler P. (2014) Challenging the paradigms of
 deep-sea ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 29, 465-475.
- De Leo F. C., Ogata B., Sastri A. R., Heesemann M., Mihály S., Galbraith M. &
 Morley M. G. (2018) High-frequency observations from a deep-sea cabled
 observatory reveal seasonal overwintering of *Neocalanus* spp. in Barkley
 Canyon, NE Pacific: Insights into particulate organic carbon flux. *Progress in Oceanography*. In Press.

Dell'Anno A., Carugati L., Corinaldesi C., Riccioni G. & Danovaro R. (2015)
Unveiling the biodiversity of deep-sea nematodes through metabarcoding: Are
we ready to bypass the classical taxonomy? *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0144928.

Doya C., Aguzzi J., Chatzievangelou D., Costa C., Company J. B. & Tunnicliffe
 V. (2016) The seasonal use of small-scale space by benthic species in a
 transiently hypoxic area. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 154, 280-290.

Doya C., Aguzzi J., Pardo M., Matabos M., Company J. B., Costa C., Mihály S.

⁷⁶⁰ & Canals M. (2014) Diel behavioral rhythms in sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*)

and other benthic species, as recorded by the Deep-sea cabled observatories

in Barkley canyon (NEPTUNE-Canada). *Journal of Marine Systems*, 130, 69-

763 **7**8.

Doya C., Chatzievangelou D., Bahamon N., Purser A., De Leo F., Juniper K.,

Thomsen L. & Aguzzi J. (2017) Seasonal monitoring of deep-sea cold-seep
benthic communities using an Internet Operated Vehicle (IOV). *PLoS ONE*,
12, e0176917.

Durrieu de Madron X., Ramondenc S., Berline L., Houpert L., Bosse A., Martini
S., Guidi L., Conan P., Curtil C., Delsaut N., Kunesch S., Ghiglione J. F.,
Marsaleix P., Pujo-Pay M., Séverin T., Testor P. & Tamburini C. (2017) Deep
sediment resuspension and thick nepheloid layer generation by open-ocean
convection. *Journal of Geophysical Research and Oceanography*, 122, 22912318.

Favali P., Beranzoli L. & De Santis A. (2015) Seafloor Observatories: A new
vision of the earth from the abyss. Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: Springer
Science & Business Media.

Favali P., Person R., Barnes C. R., Kaneda Y., Delaney J. R. & Hsu S.-K. (2010)
Seafloor Observatory Science. Proceedings of the OceanObs, Venice, Italy, 9,
21-15.

Fernández-Delgado M., Sirsat M. S., Cernadas E., Alawadi S., Barro S. &
 Febrero-Bande M. (2011) An extensive experimental survey of regression
 methods. *Neural Networks*, 111, 11-34.

Ferrari R., Bryson M., Bridge T., Hustache J., Williams S.B., Byrne M. & Figueira
W. (2016) Quantifying the response of structural complexity and community
composition to environmental change in marine communities. *Global Change Biology*, 22, 1965-1975.

Flögel S. (2015) A new concept for high resolution benthic mapping and data
acquisition: MANSIO-VIATOR. Presented at the AGU Fallmeeting 2015; San
Francisco, CA, USA.

Fujikura K., Amaki K., Bary J. P., Fujiwara Y., Furushima Y., Iwase R., Yamamoto
H. & Maruyama T. (2007) Long-term *in situ* monitoring of spawning behavior
and fecundity in *Calyptogena* spp. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 333, 185193.

Gerhard W. A & Gunsch C. K. (2019) Metabarcoding and machine learning
 analysis of environmental DNA in ballast water arriving to hub ports.
 Environment International, 124, 312-319.

Godø, O. R., Handegard, N. O., Browman, H., Macaulay G. J., Kaartvedt S.,
Giske J. O. E., Huse G. & Johnsen E. (2014) Marine Ecosystem Acoustics
(MEA): Quantifying processes in the sea at the spatio-temporal scales on
which they occur. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 71, 2357-2369.

Goodridge B. M & Valentine D. L. (2016) Microscale measurement and
visualization of sulfide δ34S using photographic film sulfide capture coupled
with laser ablation multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass
spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*, 88(20), 10126-10133.

- 805 Griffiths J. R., Kadin M., Nascimento F. J. A., Tamelander T., Tornroos A.,
- 806 Bonaglia S., Bonsdorf E., Bruchert V., Gardmark A., Jarnstrom M., Kotta J.,
- Lindegren M., Nordstrom M.C., Norkko A., Olsson J., Weigel B., Zydelis R.,
- Blenckner T., Niiranen S. & Winder M. (2017) The importance of benthic-
- pelagic coupling for marine ecosystem functioning in a changing world. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 2179-2196.
- Halpern B. S., Longo C., Lowndes J. S. S., Best B. D., Frazier M., Katona S. K.,
- 812 Frazier M., Katona S., Kleisner K. M., Rosenberg A. A., Scarborough C. & Selig
- E. R. (2015) Patterns and emerging trends in global ocean health. *PLoS* ONE,
 10(3), e0117863.
- Herring P. J., Gaten E. & Shelton P. M. J. (1999) Are vent shrimps blinded by
 science? *Nature*, 398, 116-116.
- Irwing A. (2018) The dark side of light: How artificial lighting is harming the natural
 world. *Nature* 553, 268-270.
- Ishida H., Wada Y. & Matsukura H. (2012) Chemical sensing in robotic
 applications: A review. *IEEE Sensor Journal* 12, 3163-3173.
- Juanes F. (2018) Visual and acoustic sensors for early detection of biological
- invasions: Current uses and future potential. *Journal of Nature Conservation,*
- **42**, **7-11**.
- Juniper S. K., Matabos M., Mihály S., Ajayamohan R. S., Gervais F. & Bui A. O.
- V. (2013) A year in Barkley Canyon: A time-series observatory study of mid-

slope benthos and habitat dynamics using the NEPTUNE Canada network.

827 Deep-Sea Res II, 92, 114-123.

Kamimura R. (2019) SOM-based information maximization to improve and
interpret multi-layered neural networks: From information reduction to
information augmentation approach to create new information. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 125, 397-411.

- Kanes K. & Dosso S. E. (2017) Automated classification of Pacific white-sided
 dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*) pulsed calls for diel pattern
 assessment. *Journal of Acoustic Society of America*, 141 (5), 3941.
- LeCun Y., Bengio Y. & Hinton G. (2015) Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436444.
- Lefevre D., Tamburini C., Gojak C., Mahiouz K., Bernardet K., Hafidi Z.,
 Deschamps A., Hello Y., Barbier R., Coyle P., Bertin V., Lamare P., Busto J.,
 Aguzzi J., Rommevaux C., Glotin H. & Ciausu V. (2017) EMSO Western
 Ligurian node: A unique cabled observatory for environmental sea science and
 astroparticle physics. *Proceedings of the European Multidisciplinary Seafloor and water-column Observatories (EMSO-ERIC)*; 9-11 October 2017, Rome,
 Italy.
- Lelievre Y., Legendre P., Matabos M., Milhaly S., Lee R. Wñ., Sarradin P. M.,
 Arango C. P. & Sarrazin J. (2017) Astronomical and atmospheric impacts on
 deep-sea hydrothermal vent invertebrates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 284, 20162123.
- Levin L. & Le Bris N. (2015) The deep ocean under climate change. *Science*,
 350, 766-768.

- Luczkovich J., Mann D. & Rountree, R. (2008) Passive Acoustics as a Tool in
 Fisheries: An Introduction to the American Fisheries Society Symposium. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 137, 533-541.
- Ludvigsen M. & Sørensen A. J. (2016) Towards integrated autonomous
 underwater operations for ocean mapping and monitoring. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 42, 145-157.
- MacLeod N., Benfield M. & Culverhouse P. (2010) Time to automate identification. *Nature*, 467, 154-155.
- Marini M., Corgnati L., Mantovani C., Bastianini M., Ottaviani E., Fanelli E.,
 Aguzzi J., Griffa A. & Poulain P. M. (2018) Automated estimate of fish
 abundance through the autonomous imaging device GUARD1. *Measurement*,
 126, 72-75.
- Martignac F., Daroux A., Bagliniere J.-L., Ombredane D. & Guillard J. (2015) The
 use of acoustic cameras in shallow waters: New hydroacoustic tools for
 monitoring migratory fish population. A review of DIDSON technology. *Fish and Fisheries*, 16, 1467-2979.
- Masmitja I., Gomariz S., Del Rio J., Kieft B., O'Relly T., Bouvet P. J. & Aguzzi J.
- 2018. Optimal path shape for range-only underwater target localization using
 a Wave Glider. *International Journal of Robotics Resrearch*, In Press.
- Matabos M., Aguzzi J., Robert K., Costa C., Menesatti P., Company J. B. &
 Juniper S. K. (2011) Multi-parametric study of behavioural modulation in
 demersal decapods at the VENUS cabled observatory in Saanich Inlet, British
 Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 401,
 873 89-96.

Matabos M., Bui A. O. V., Mihály S., Aguzzi J., Juniper S. K. & Ajayamohan R. S.
(2014) High-frequency study of epibenthic megafaunal community dynamics
in Barkley Canyon: A multi-disciplinary approach using the NEPTUNE Canada
network. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 130, 56-68.

Matabos M., Piechaud N., De Montigny F., Sarradin P. M. & Sarrazin J. (2015)
The VENUS cabled observatory as a method to observe fish behaviour and

species assemblages in a hypoxic fjord, Saanich Inlet (British Columbia,

Canada). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 72 (1), 24-36.

Matabos M., Tunnicliffe V., Juniper S. K. & Dean C. (2012) A Year in Hypoxia:
Epibenthic community responses to severe oxygen deficit at a subsea
observatory in a coastal inlet. *PLoS ONE*, 7 (9), e45626.

McQuillan J. S. & Robidart J. C. (2017) Molecular-biological sensing in aquatic environments: recent developments and emerging capabilities. *Current Opinions in Biotechnology*, 45, 43-50.

888 Merelli I., Morganti L., Corni E., Pellegrino C., Cesini D., Roverelli L., Zereik G. &

D'Agostino D. (2018) Low-power portable devices for metagenomics analysis:

890 Fog computing makes bioinformatics ready for the Internet of Things. *Future*

Generation Computer Systems, 88, 467-478.

Mora C., Tittensor D. P., Adl S., Simpson A. G. B. & Worm B. (2011) How many
species are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology*, 9, 1-8.

Morris K. J., Bett B. J., Durden J. M., Huvenne V. A. I., Milligan R., Jones D. O.

B., McPhail S., Robert K., Bailey D. M. & Ruhl H. A. (2014) A new method for

ecological surveying of the abyss using autonomous underwater vehicle

photography. *Limnology and Oceanography Methods*, 12, 795-809.

- Mouy X., Rountree R. & Juanes F. (2018) Cataloging fish sounds in the wild using
 combined acoustic and video recordings. *Journal of Acoustic Society of America*, 143, 333-339.
- Nosengo N., Riccobene G. & Pavan G. (2009) The neutrino and the whale. *Nature*, 462, 560–561.
- Osterloff J., Nilseen I. & Nattkemper T. W. (2016) A computer vision approach for
 monitoring the spatial and temporal shrimp distribution at the LoVe
 observatory. *Methods in Oceanography*, 15, 114-128.
- 906 Oswald J. N., Au W. W. L. & Duennebier F. (2011) Minke whale (Balaenoptera
- 907 *acutorostrata*) boings detected at the Station ALOHA Cabled Observatory. *The*
- Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 129 (5), 3353-3360.
- Ottesen E. A. (2016) Probing the living ocean with ecogenomic sensors. *Current Opinions in Microbiology*, 31, 132-139.
- 911 Parmentier E., Bahri M. A., Plenevaux A., Fine, M. L. & Estrada J. M. (2018)
- Sound production and sonic apparatus in deep-living cusk-eels (*Genypterus*
- 913 chilensis and Genypterus maculatus). Deep Sea Research I, In Press,
- 914 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.09.009
- Pieretti N., Lo Martire M., Farina A. & Danovaro R. (2017) Marine soundscape as
 an additional biodiversity monitoring tool: A case study from the Adriatic Sea
 (Mediterranean Sea). *Ecological Indicators*, 83, 13-20.
- 918 Puillat I., Prevosto M., Mercier H. & Thomas S. (2014) Time series analysis of
- 919 marine data: a key knowledge at the crossroads of marine sciences. *Journal*
- 920 *of Marine Systems*, 130, 1-3.

Purser A. (2015) A time series study of *Lophelia pertusa* and reef megafauna
 responses to drill cuttings exposure on the Norwegian Margin. *PLoS ONE*, 10,
 e0134076.

Purser A., Thomsen L., Barnes C., Best M., Chapman R., Hofbauer M., Menzel
M. & Wagner H. (2013) Temporal and spatial benthic data collection *via*Internet operated Deep Sea Crawler. *Methods in Oceanography*, 5, 1-18.

Priede I. G. (2017) Deep-Sea fishes: Biology, diversity, ecology and fisheries.
Cambridge University Press.

829 Ramírez-Llodra E., Brandt A., Danovaro R., De Mol B., Escobar E., German C.

930 R., Levin L. A., Martinez Arbizu P., Menot L., Buhl-Mortensen P.,

Narayanaswamy B. E., Smith C. R., Tittensor D. P., Tyler P. A., Vanreusel A.

8 Vecchione M. (2010) Deep, diverse and definitely different: Unique attributes
933 of the world's largest ecosystem. *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2851-2899.

Reports Canada G. E. (2017) Autonomous undersea robots are using lasers to
 make offshore operations safer and more efficient. Retrieved from
 https://gereports.ca/autonomous-undersea-robots-using-lasers-make-

937 offshore-operations-safer-efficient/

Riera A., Rountree R., Mouy X., Ford J.K. & Juanes F. (2016) Effects of
anthropogenic noise on fishes at the SGaan Kinghlas-Bowie Seamount Marine
Protected Area. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustic,* Dublin, Ireland, 27,
010005.

Robert K. & Juniper S. K. (2012) Surface-sediment bioturbation quantified with
 cameras on the NEPTUNE Canada cabled observatory. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 453, 137-149.

Robison B. H., Reisenbichler K. R. & Sherlock R. E. (2017) The coevolution of
midwater research and ROV technology at MBARI. *Oceanography*, 30, 26-37.
Ross T., Keister J. E. & Lara-López A. (2013) On the use of high-frequency
broadband sonar to classify biological scattering layers from a cabled
observatory in Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. *Methods in Oceanography*, 5,
19-38.

Rountree R. (2008) Do you hear what I hear? Future technological development
and needs in passive acoustics underwater observation. *Marine Technology Reporter* 51(9), 40-46.

Rountree R. A., Bolgan M. & Juanes F. (2018a) How can we understand
freshwater soundscapes without fish sound descriptions? *Fisheries*, In Press
https://doi.org/10.1002/fsh.10190.

Rountree R. A., Juanes F, & Bolgan M. (2018b) Air movement sound production
by alewife, white sucker, and four salmonid fishes suggests the phenomenon
is widespread among freshwater fishes. *PLoS ONE* 13(9), e0204247.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204247.

961 Rountree R., Gilmore R., Goudey C., Hawkins A., Luczkovich J. & Mann D.

962 (2006) Listening to fish: Applications of passive acoustics to fisheries science.
963 *Fisheries* 31(9), 433-446.

Rountree R. A. & Juanes F. (2010) First attempt to use a remotely operated
vehicle to observe soniferous fish behavior in the Gulf of Maine, Western
Atlantic Ocean. *Current Zoology* 56(1), 90-99.

Rountree R., Juanes F., Goudey C. & Elkstrom K. (2012) Is biological sound
production important in the deep sea? In: Popper A. N. and Hawkins A. (Eds).

The Effects of noise on aquatic life. New York, NY, USA: Springer Science andBusiness Media.

P71 Rountree R. A., Perkins P. J., Kenney R. D. & Hinga K. R. (2002) Sounds of
P72 Western North Atlantic fishes: Data rescue. *Bioacoustics* 12(2/3), 242-244.

Ruhl H. A., André M., Beranzoli L., Çağatay N. M., Colaço A., Cannat M.,
Dañobeitia J. J., Favali P., Géli L., Gillooly M., Greinert J., Hall P. O. J., Huber
R., Karstensenm J., Lampitt R. S., Larkin K. E., Lykousis V., Mienert J., de
Miranda J. M. A., Person R., Priede I. G., Puillat I., Thomsen L. & Waldmann
C. (2011) Societal need for improved understanding of climate change,
anthropogenic impacts, and geo-hazard warning drive development of ocean
observatories in European Seas. *Progress in Oceanography*, 91, 1-33.

Russ L., Kartal B., Op Den Camp H. J. M., Sollai M., Le Bruchec J., Caprais J.
C., Godfroy A., Sinninghe Damsté J. S. & Jetten M. S. M. (2013) Presence and
diversity of anammox bacteria in cold hydrocarbon-rich seeps and
hydrothermal vent sediments of the Guaymas Basin. *Frontiers in Microbiology*,
4, 219.

Ryan J., Cline D., Dawe C., McGill P., Zhang Y., Joseph J., Margolina T., Caillat
M., Fischer M., DeVogelaere A., Stimpert, A. & Southall B. (2016) New Passive
Acoustic Monitoring in Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. *Proceedings*of OCEANS 2016 MTS/IEEE, Monterey, CA, USA, 1-8.

Schoening T., Bergmann M., Ontrup J., Taylor J., Dannheim J., Gutt J., Purser
A. & Nattkemper T. W. (2012) Semi-automated image analysis for the
assessment of megafaunal densities at the Arctic Deep-sea observatory
Hausgarten. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e38179.

Scholin C. A. (2010) What are ecogenomic sensors? A review and thoughts for
the future. *Ocean Sciences*, 6, 51-60.

Scholin C., Douchette G., Jensen S., Roman B., S Pargett D., Marin R., Preston
C., Jones W., Feldman J., Everlove C., Harris A., Alvarado N., Maisson E.,
Birch J., Greenfield D., Vrijenhoek R., Mikulski C. & Jones K. (2009) Remote
detection of marine microbes, small invertebrates, harmful algae, and biotoxins
using the Environmental Sample Processor (ESP). *Oceanography*, 22, 1581000

1001 Sciacca V., Caruso F., Beranzoli L., Chierici F., De Domenico E., Embriaco D.,

Favali P., Giovanetti G., Larosa G., Marinaro G., Papale E., Pavan G.,
Pellegrino C., Pulvirenti S, Simeone F., Viola S. & Riccobene G. (2015) Annual
acoustic presence of fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) offshore eastern
Sicily, Central Mediterranean Sea. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0141838.

Samhouri J. F., Haupt A. J., Levin P. S., Link J. & Shuford R. (2014) Lessons
learned from developing integrated ecosystem assessments to inform marine
ecosystem-based management in the USA. *ICES Journal of Marine Sciences*,
71, 1205-1215.

Sinniger F., Pawlowski J., Harii S., Gooday A., Yamamoto H., Chevaldonne P.,
Cedhagen T., Carvalho G. & Creer S. (2016) Worldwide analysis of
sedimentary DNA reveals major gaps in taxonomic knowledge of Deep-sea
benthos. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 14, 92.

Snelgrove P V. R., Soetaert K., Solan M., Thrush S., Wei C.-H., Danovaro R.,
Fulweiler R. W., Kitazato H., Ingole B., Norkko A., Parkes J. R. & Volkenborn
N. (2018) Global carbon cycling on a heterogeneous seafloor. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 33, 96-105.

- 1018 Stat M., Huggett M. J., Bernasconi R., DiBattista J. B., Berry T. E., Newman S.
- J., Harvey E. S. & Bunce M. (2017) Ecosystem biomonitoring with eDNA:
- 1020 Metabarcoding across the tree of life in a tropical marine environment.
- 1021 *Scientific Reports,* 7, 12240.
- 1022 Stefanni S., Stanković D., Borme D., de Olazabal A., Pallavicini A. & Tirelli V.
- (2018) Multi-marker metabarcoding approach to study mesozooplankton at
 basin scale. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 12085.
- 1025 Sutton T., Clark M., Dunn D.C., Halpin P. N., Rogers A. D., Guinotte J., Bograd
- 1026 S. J., Angel M. V., Perez J. A., Wishner K., Haedrich R. L., Lindsay D. J.,
- 1027 Drazen J. C., Vereshchaka A., Piatkowski U., Morato T., Błachowiak-Samołyk
- 1028 K., Robison B. H., Gjerde K. M., Pierrot-Bults A., Bernal P., Reygondeau G. I.
- ¹⁰²⁹ & Heino M. (2017) A global biogeographic classification of the mesopelagic
- 1030 zone. *Deep-Sea Res I*, 126, 85-102.
- 1031 Taberlet P., Coissac E., Hajibabaei M. & Reisenmberg L. H. (2012)
 1032 Environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 1789-1793.
- 1033 Tamburini C. & the ANTARES Consortium (2013) Deep-sea bioluminescence
- blooms after dense water formation at the ocean surface. *PLoS ONE*, 8,
 e67523.
- 1036 Thomsen L., Aguzzi J., Costa C., De Leo F., Ogston A. & Purser A. (2017) The
- 1037 oceanic biological pump: Rapid carbon transfer to the Deep Sea during winter.
- 1038 *Scientific Reports,* 7, 10763.
- 1039 Valentine D. L., Fisher G. B., Pizarro O., Kaiser C. L., Yoerge R. D., Breier J. A.
- 1040 & Tarn J. (2016) Autonomous Marine Robotic Technology Reveals an
- 1041 Expansive Benthic Bacterial Community Relevant to Regional Nitrogen
- Biogeochemistry. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 50, 11057-11065.

Vardaro M. F., Bagley P. M., Bailey D. M., Bett B. J., Jones D. O. B., Milligan R.
J., Priede I. G., Risien C. M., Rowe G. T., Ruhl H. A., Sangolay B. B., Smith
Jr. K. L., Walls A. & Clarke J. (2013) A Southeast Atlantic deep-ocean
observatory: First experiences and results. *Limnology and Oceanography Methods*, 11, 304-315.

- 1048 Viola S., Grammauta R., Sciacca V., Bellia G., Beranzoli L., Buscaino G., Caruso
- 1049 F., Chierici F., Cuttone G., D'Amico A., De Luca V., Embriaco D., Favali P.,
- 1050 Giovanetti G., Marinaro G., Mazzola S., Filiciotto F., Pavan G., Pellegrino C.,
- 1051 Pulvirenti S., Simeone F., Speziale F. & Riccobene G. (2017) Continuous
- 1052 monitoring of noise levels in the Gulf of Catania (Ionian Sea): Study of
- 1053 correlation with ship traffic. *Marine Pollution Bullettin*, 121, 97-103.
- Wall C., Rountree R., Pomerleau C. & Juanes F. (2014) An exploration of deepsea fish sounds off Vancouver Island from the NEPTUNE Canada ocean
 observing system. *Deep Sea Research I*, 83, 57-64.
- 1057 Weirathmueller M. J, Stafford K. M., Wilcock W. S. D., Hilmo R. S., Dziak R. P. &
- 1058 Tréhu A. M. (2017a) Spatial and temporal trends in fin whale vocalizations
- recorded in the NE Pacific Ocean between 2003-2013. *PloS ONE*, 12 (10),
 e0186127.
- 1061 Weirathmueller M. J, Wilcock W. S. D. & Hilmo R. S. (2017b) Estimating range to
- a vocalizing fin whale using the timing and amplitude of multipath arrivals.
- Journal of Acoustic Society of America, 142 (4), 2101-2120.
- Widder E. A., Robison B. H., Reisenbichler K. R. & Haddock S. H. D. (2005) Using
 red light for *in situ* observations of Deep-sea fishes. *Deep-Sea Research I,* 52,
 2077-2085.

Williams S. B., Pizarro O., Steinberg D. M., Friedman A. & Bryson M. (2016)
Reflections on a decade of autonomous underwater vehicles operations for
marine survey at the Australian Centre for Field Robotics. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 42, 158-165.

1071 Woodall L. C., Andradi-Brown D. A., Brierley A. S., Clark M. R., Connelly D., Hall

1072 R. A., Howell K. L., Huvenne V. A. I., Linse K., Ross R. E., Snelgrove P.,

1073 Stefanoudis P. V., Sutton T. T., Taylor M., Thornton T. F. & Rvogers A. D.

1074 (2018) A multidisciplinary approach for generating globally consistent data on

1075 mesophotic, deep-pelagic, and bathyal biological communities.
 1076 Oceanography. In Press.

1077 Wollschlager J., Voß D., Zielinsky O. & Petersen W. (2016) *In situ* observations

1078 of biological and environmental parameters by means of optics: Development

of next-generation ocean sensors with special focus on an Integrating Cavity
 Approach. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, 41, 753-762.

Zhao S., Ward, J. E., Danley M. & Mincer T.J. (2018) Field-based evidence for
 microplastic in marine aggregates and mussels: Implications for trophic
 transfer. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52, 11038-11048.

Table 1. Biological studies from permanent, autonomous platforms. Worldwide applications of monitoring of deep-water and
 deep-sea ecosystems by cabled observatories and crawlers, at different ecologically-ranked levels (i.e. individual, population,
 community and ecosystem).

Hierarchical step	Monitored biological variable or ecosystem indicator	Cabled platform	Publications
1. (Semi-) Automated detection, counting and classification by optoelectronic methods (imaging)	Cold-seep fauna abundance	JAMSTEC Cabled Observatory (1100 m; NW Pacific)	Aguzzi et al. (2009)
			Aguzzi et al. (2010)
	Macrofaunal abundance	LoVe Ocean Observatory (250 m; Norwegian Sea)	Osterloff et al. (2016)
	Zooplankton abundance	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon; 400-1000 m; NE Pacific)	De Leo et al. (2018)
	Benthic fauna abundance, bacterial mat coverage	— VENUS Cabled Observatory (ONC; Saanich Inlet; 100 m; NE Pacific)	Aguzzi et al. (2011)
_	Biological scattering layers classification		Ross et al. (2013)
	Cetacean abundance	ALOHA Cabled Observatory (4700 m; Central Pacific)	Oswald et al. (2011)
		ANTARES Neutrino Telescope (2500 m; Western Mediterranean)	André et al. (2017)
		MARS Cabled Observatory (MBARI; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Ryan et al. (2016)
2. (Semi-) Automated detection, counting and classification by passive acoustic monitoring (PAM)		NEMO-SN1 (OnDE; EMSO; KM3NeT-It; 2100 m; Central Mediterranean)	Caruso et al. (2015)
			Caruso et al. (2017)
		NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Endeavour; 2200 m; NE Pacific)	Weirathmueller et al. (2017a)
		NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Cascadia Basin; 2700 m; NE Pacific)	Weirathmueller et al. (2017b)
		NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon slope; 400-1000 m; NE Pacific)	Kanes et al. (2017)
_	Zooplankton abundance		De Leo et al. (2018)
3. Behavioral and life traits (e.g. morphology,	Cetacean diel activity	ALOHA Cabled Observatory (4700 m; Central Pacific)	Oswald et al. (2011)
trophic interactions, territoriality, etc.)		ANTARES Neutrino Telescope (2500 m; Western Mediterranean)	André et al. (2017)
		Со	ntinued in next page

Table 1. Biological studies from cabled observatories. Continued from previous page.

	Cold-seep fauna movement, behavior and diel activity		Aguzzi et al. (2009)
-	Cold-seep fauna diel and tidal rhythms	— IAMSTEC Cabled Observatory (1100 m: NW Pacific)	Aguzzi et al. (2010)
	Environmental variability and carcass decomposition		Aguzzi et al. (2012)
	Cetacean diel activity	MARS Cabled Observatory (MBARI: 900 m: NE Pacific)	Rvan et al. (2016)
		NEMO-SN1 (OnDE: EMSO: KM3NeT-It: 2100 m: Central Mediterranean)	Caruso et al. (2017)
-	Deep-sea inertial bioluminescence rhythms	NEMO Phase-2 tower (KM3NeT-It; 2500 m; Central Mediterranean)	Aguzzi et al. (2017)
-	Benthic megafauna movement	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon shelf-break; 400 m; NE Pacific)	Robert & Juniper (2012)
-	Benthic fauna movement		Chauvet et al. (in Press)
-	Benthic fauna behavior and diel activity		Doya et al. (2014)
-	Benthic fauna diel activity	 NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon slope; 600-1000 m; NE Pacific) 	Matabos et al. (2014)
traits (e.g. morphology,	Cetacean diel activity		Kanes et al. (2017)
rhythms, motility, [—]	Hydrothermal vent fauna behavior and diel activity		Cuvelier et al. (2014)
territoriality, etc.)	Hydrothermal vent fauna diel activity	— NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Endeavour; 2200 m; NE Pacific)	Cuvelier et al. (2017)
-	Hydrothermal vent macrofauna rhythms	—	Lelièvre et al. (2017)
_	Cold-seep fauna diel activity	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon hydrates; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Chatzievangelou et al. (2016)
_	Cold-seep fauna behavior		Doya et al. (2017)
_	Environmental variability and carcass decomposition	VENUS Cabled Observatory (ONC; Strait of Georgia; 300 m; NE Pacific)	Anderson and Bell (2016)
_			Anderson and Bell (2014)
_	Benthic fauna behavior	_	Doya et al. (2016)
-	Benthic fauna diel activity	VENUS Cabled Observatory (ONC; Saanich Inlet; 100 m; NE Pacific)	Matabos et al. (2011)
	Benthic fauna behavior, diel and tidal rhythms	_	Matabos et al. (2015)
	Biological scattering layers classification		Ross et al. (2013)
			atterned to a set to a set

Continued in next page

Table 1. Biological studies from cabled observatories. Continued from previous page.

	Cetacean seasonality	ALOHA Cabled Observatory (4700 m; Central Pacific)	Oswald et al. (2011)
	Deep-sea episodic bioluminescence blooms	ANTARES Neutrino Telescope (2500 m; Western Mediterranean)	Tamburini et al. (2013)
	Cold-seep bivalve spawning and fecundity	JAMSTEC Cabled Observatory (1200 m; NW Pacific)	Fujikura et al. (2007)
	Macrofaunal spatial distribution	LoVe Ocean Observatory (250 m; Norwegian Sea)	Osterloff et al. (2016)
		MARS Cabled Observatory (MBARI; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Ryan et al. (2016)
	Cetacean seasonality		Caruso et al. (2017)
		NEMO-SN1 (OnDE; EMSO; KM3NeT-It; 2100 m; Central Mediterranean)	Sciacca et al. (2015)
	Cetacean size estimation	-	Caruso et al. (2015)
	Benthic megafauna abundance and size	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon shelf; 400 m; NE Pacific)	Robert & Juniper (2012)
4. Population demography, dynamics		DELOS observatories (1400 m, SW Atlantic off Angola)	Vardaro et al. (2013)
and distribution (e.g.	Benthic fauna seasonal and inter-annual trends		Chauvet et al. in press
abundance / biomass,	Zooplankton seasonal and interannual trends	 NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon slope; 400-1000 m; NE Pacific) - 	De Leo et al. (2018)
seasonality, growth and	Benthic fauna size classes and migrations		Doya et al. (2014)
reproduction cycles,	Benthic fauna seasonality		Juniper et al. (2013)
spatial distribution, etc.)		DELOS observatories (1400 m, SW Atlantic off Angola)	Vardaro et al. (2013)
	Cold-seep fauna spatial distribution	- NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon hydrates; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Chatzievangelou et al. (2017)
	Cold-seep fauna seasonality and reproductive cycles		Doya et al. (2017)
	Cold-seep fauna seasonality		Thomsen et al. (2017)
	Hydrothermal vent fauna microhabitat use	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Endeavour; 2200 m; NE Pacific)	Cuvelier et al. (2017)
			Cuvelier et al. (2014)
-	Cetacean seasonality, inter-annual trends and spatial distribution		Weirathmueller et al. (2017a)
	Benthic fauna size classes, seasonality and microhabitat use		Doya et al. (2016)
	Benthic fauna size classes and seasonality	- VENUS Cabled Observatory (ONC; Saanich Inlet; 100 m; NE Pacific)	Matabos et al. (2012)
-	Biological scattering layers classification	-	Ross et al. (2013)
		C	ontinued in next page

Table 1. Biological studies from cabled observatories. Continued from previous page.

5. Biodiversity (e.g. – composition, richness, alpha / beta / gamma diversity, etc.) – –	Cold-seep fauna diversity	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon hydrates; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Chatzievangelou et al. (2017)
	Benthic fauna diversity	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon slope; 900-1000 m; NE Pacific)	Chauvet et al. (in Press)
			Juniper et al. (2013)
	Benthic community composition		Matabos et al. (2014)
		DELOS observatories (1400 m, SW Atlantic off Angola)	Vardaro et al. (2013)
	Hydrothermal vent fauna community composition	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Endeavour; 2200 m; NE Pacific)	Cuvelier et al. (2017)
	Benthic community composition	— VENUS Cabled Observatory (ONC; Saanich Inlet; 100 m; NE Pacific)	Matabos et al. (2012)
	Benthic community dynamics		Matabos et al. (2015)
6. Ecosystem functioning	Seabed bioturbation by benthic megafauna	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon shelf-break; 400 m; NE Pacific)	Robert & Juniper (2012)
(food-web structure, — carbon flux, bioturbation /remineralization) —	Zooplankton carbon fluxes	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon slope; 400-1000 m; NE Pacific)	De Leo et al. (2018)
	Seasonal carbon fluxes	NEPTUNE Cabled Observatory (ONC; Barkley Canyon hydrates; 900 m; NE Pacific)	Thomsen et al. (2017)

Table 2. Indicators extraction roadmap. Consecutive automatable steps for the
hierarchical computing of ecosystem indicators from input biological variables,
obtained by bio-imaging and other sensing technologies, installed on spatially
distributed autonomous networks of cabled observatories and their connected
mobile benthic and pelagic platforms.



1148 Figure legends

1149

Figure 1. The fixed (i.e. cabled) and mobile docked platforms constituting a 1150 1151 spatial network for the integrated benthic and pelagic ecosystem monitoring. (A) Video-cabled multiparametric observatory platform, acting as a docking station 1152 for a pelagic Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) and a tethered mobile benthic 1153 crawler (Courtesy of Dr. O. Godø & Dr. T. Torkelsen); (B) rover (MANSIO-1154 VIATOR) similar to crawlers but not tethered, docked to a vessel-assisted 1155 repositioning station; (C) Architecture of ANTARES (the Astronomy with a 1156 1157 Neutrino Telescope and Abyss environmental RESearch detector) with a line of Photo-Multiplier Tubes (PMTs) and a tethered crawler. 1158

1159

1160 Figure 2. Illustration of a variety of cabled observatories providing the sea bed infrastructure to control and coordinate mobile benthic and pelagic platforms such 1161 1162 as docked crawlers, rovers, and AUVs. Platform monitoring is assisted by vessels and satellite-based technologies. Neut. Telescope - is an array of vertical moored 1163 lines of Photo-Multiplier Tubes (PMTs) deployed in the deep sea. Seabed 1164 infrastructures providing power and data transfer may be aided by connection 1165 with industrial or telecommunication cables, as reliable low-cost means for 1166 network deployment into vast abyssal areas (Danovaro et al., 2017). 1167

1168

Figure 3. Different video and acoustic imaging data outputs obtained by fixedpoint and crawler platforms connected through the Ocean Networks Canada (ONC). (A, B) Commercially exploited sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*) imaged from cabled observatory HD video (A) and from an imaging rotary sonar (B), at

1173 970 m depth in Barkley Canyon (NE Pacific); (C) A spiny dogfish (Squalus achantias) imaged with the ARIS acoustic camera at 120 m depth from a cabled 1174 observatory in the Strait of Georgia. The color scale bar indicates raw backscatter 1175 reflectivity amplitude (in decibels, dB) (courtesy of X. Mouy); (D, E) Photomosaic 1176 panoramas obtained by the crawler in Barkley Canyon (870 m; NE Pacific, 1177 Canada), depicting egg towers of *Neptunea* sp. snails (D), and a range of benthic 1178 species occupying a methane seep habitat patch; (F,G) 3D photomosaics of a 1179 methane hydrate mound at the same location, depicting mound area/volume 1180 changes over time due to uplift/growth in hydrates (areas in yellow) and slumping 1181 1182 (areas in red; courtesy of Dr. T. Kwasnitschka).

1183

Figure 4. Schematic representation of current seafloor monitoring infrastructure 1184 1185 ONC in Barkley Canyon, where a power node distributes energy and data transmission capability to serve fixed multiparametric imaging-platforms and a 1186 1187 crawler. The mobile platforms communication and coordinated function makes this area the first cooperative network (shown in the bubble) for the deep-sea 1188 ecological monitoring. As an example of the power of ongoing multiparametric 1189 monitoring, time series from several environmental sensors for the crawler are 1190 presented over consecutive years (data plotted at 1-h frequency). When gaps in 1191 data acquisition occur, data can be supplied by nearby cabled platform (as 1192 interpolated to cover maintenance periods) Shaded green areas indicates 1193 moments at which the environmental monitoring by the crawler has been 1194 accompanied by image collection, the processing of which is still manual, while 1195 automated scripts for animal tracking and species classification are under 1196 development. 1197

1198









Aguzzi et al. Figure 4