

Plant Species Diversity along an Altitudinal Gradient of Bhabha Valley in Western Himalaya

Amit Chawla, S. Rajkumar*, K.N. Singh, Brij Lal, and R.D. Singh

Bioresource Development Unit, Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology (CSIR), Palampur, Himachal Pradesh-176 061, India

*Corresponding author, e-mail: srajihbt@yahoo.co.in, Phone: +91-1894-233339 Ext. 307, fax: +91-1894-230433

A.K. Thukral

Department of Botanical & Environmental Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143 005, India

Abstract: The present study highlights the rich species diversity of higher plants in the Bhabha Valley of western Himalaya in India. The analysis of species diversity revealed that a total of 313 species of higher plants inhabit the valley with a characteristic of moist alpine shrub vegetation. The herbaceous life forms dominate and increase with increasing altitude. The major representations are from the families Asteraceae, Rosaceae, Lamiaceae and Poaceae, suggesting thereby the alpine meadow nature of the study area. The effect of altitude on species diversity displays a hump-shaped curve which may be attributed to increase in habitat diversity at the median ranges and relatively less habitat diversity at higher altitudes. The anthropogenic pressure at lower altitudes results in low plant diversity towards the bottom of the valley with most of the species being exotic in nature. Though the plant diversity is less at higher altitudinal ranges, the uniqueness is relatively high with high species replacement rates. More than 90 % of variability in the species diversity could be explained using appropriate quantitative and statistical analysis along the altitudinal gradient. The valley harbours 18 threatened and 41 endemic species, most of which occur at higher altitudinal gradients due to habitat specificity.

Keywords: Plant species diversity; threatened species; altitudinal gradient; flora; Bhabha Valley; western Himalaya; India

Introduction

The increasing potential threat to biological diversity is an irreversible environmental disorder that warrants immediate remedial measures for sustainable management and conservation of biodiversity. Himalaya is one of the mega biodiversity regions of the world (Heywood 2000). The western Himalaya, though not as biologically rich as the eastern Himalaya, offers unique habitats to sustain several endemic and rare plant taxa. Distinctive features of the Himalayan region are its valleys, which support a rich biodiversity, and rivers and streams which offer a perennial source of water. The vegetation comprising evergreen forests with pure stands of *Pinus roxburghii*, *Quercus* spp., *Pinus wallichiana*, dry temperate and alpine forests representing varied species compositions, make the valleys rich in plant diversity. Moreover, the valleys and the hills provide altitudinal gradient, which can harbour rich plant diversity.

The variations in species diversity can be linked to several ecological gradients (Grime 1979, Palmer 1992, Huston and DeAngelis 1994). Altitudinal gradient is well known to be one of the decisive factors shaping the spatial patterns of species diversity (Szaro 1989, DeBano and Schmidt 1990, Lieberman et al. 1996, Zimmerman et al. 1999, Brown 2001, Lomolino 2001). Although a clear and accurate description of the pattern of

Received: 3 January 2008
Accepted: 26 March 2008

elevation gradients in diversity was put forward nearly two centuries ago, the issue still remains uncertain (Lomolino 2001). Several studies reported that diversity peaks at intermediate elevations, *e.g.*, in tropical rain forests (Lieberman et al. 1996, Vazquez and Givnish 1998, Zimmerman et al. 1999). In general, in Himalaya humped (unimodal) curve of species diversity was observed with increasing altitude (Vetaas and Grytnes 2002). However, Garkoti and Singh (1995) reported that the decrease in species diversity corresponded to decline in net primary productivity and biomass of forests along an altitudinal gradient. The species diversity in *Pinus roxburghii* communities showed hump-shaped curve in southern slopes in comparison with continuous decline in northern slopes (Rawat and Pant 1999). Tree layer diversity was found to be higher in the middle part of the gradient (Saxena et al. 1985) in the western Himalaya. However the decrease in shrub layer diversity was observed with increasing altitude (Bhandari et al. 1997). Even in cold desert region of Ladakh, where there is no arboreal forest, along the altitudinal gradient hump-shaped relationship was observed (Klimes 2003). There are however a number of notable exceptions to the reported hump-shaped pattern (Stevens 1992, Pausas and Austin 2001, Rey Benayas and Scheiner 2002). Lomolino (2001) argued whether the diversity-elevation gradient is increasing or decreasing, or modal with a peak at intermediate elevations, will depend largely on patterns of co-variation and interaction among the geographically explicit variables.

The Bhabha Valley, situated in the east of River Sutlej, is floristically as well as ecologically unexplored landscape of western Himalaya which represents a rich floral and habitat diversity. The floristic surveys of this Himalayan zone other than those by Hooker (1872 ~ 1897) were largely restricted to narrow political boundaries (Collet 1902, Rau 1975, Chowdhery and Wadhwa 1984, Chauhan 1999, Aswal and Mehrotra 1994, Gaur 1999). Due to its transitional geographical position between moist temperate area of Kinnaur and cold deserts of Lahaul-Spiti districts of Himachal Pradesh, it is a unique landscape in terms of species diversity. It provides a large elevation gradient and covers a lot of heterogeneity of habitats, which governs the species distribution.

Hence, the present study highlights the floristic diversity of the Bhabha Valley and its correlation with altitude.

1 Study Area

The Bhabha Valley (from 31.54 N and 77.95 E to 31.78 N and 78.05 E; between 1,500 m and 5,600 m above msl) lies at the basin of Bhabha, also known as River Wangar, a small tributary of River Sutlej in district Kinnaur of Himachal Pradesh, India (Figure 1). The valley is lined with the Srikhand Mahadev Range where small peaks and glaciers descend to miles of high-altitude pastures dotted with alpine flowers. It is located adjacent to the Pin Valley of Lahaul-Spiti and is connected by the Pin-Bhabha Pass (4,605 m amsl). By virtue of its geographical location and elevation, it enjoys a temperate climate with long winter stretching from October to May and short summer from June to September. Summer is warmer, with temperature sometimes rising to more than 25°C. The precipitation is in the form of both snow and rainfall. The mean annual rainfall as recorded at an adjacent weather station (Kalpa, Kinnaur) is 917 mm.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Field survey

Floristic surveys were undertaken from April, 2002 to September, 2004 to assess and document the species diversity of the flowering plants of the valley which starts from the confluence of the Bhabha and Sutlej rivers at an altitude of around 1500 m and reaches an altitude of 4605 m leading to the Pin Valley through the Pin-Bhabha Pass. A Shuttle Radar Technology Mission (SRTM) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) with a resolution of 90 m (USGS) was used to segregate the study area into six classes of altitudinal range with 500 m increment (*i.e.*, from 1,501 to 4,500 m msl) (Figure 1). Above 4,500 m altitude the area is covered with perennial snow. The interval of 500 m for each altitudinal class was fixed keeping in mind the steep and tough terrain of the study area, a better comprehension using DEM and to obtain

statistically significant comparable datasets of plant species along the gradient. In each altitudinal interval, enumeration of higher plant species was done to determine the species richness. The voucher specimens of the plants were collected and processed according to standard herbarium procedure (Jain and Rao 1976). The plant species were identified by consulting different floras of the western Himalayan region (Rau 1975, Nair 1977, Aswal and Mehrotra 1994, Gaur 1999) and were confirmed with authentic specimens lodged in the herbarium of Botanical Survey of India (BSI),

Dehradun, India. All authenticated specimens were deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, Palampur (H.P.), India. The checklist of higher plants is presented in Table 1 (at the end of the text) which is a first ever report on the flora of the valley. Status of threatened plants was ascertained from the available literature (Kala 2000, Nayar 1996), Red Data Book of Indian Plants (Nayar and Sastry 1987 ~ 1990) and CAMP Report (Ved and Tandon 1998).




Figure 1 Location map of Bhabha valley classified into 500 m altitudinal classes using a Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

2.2 Data analysis

The species diversity is determined in terms of number of species and mentioned as species

richness (McIntosh 1967). Data extraction and analysis were done in MS-Excel using established techniques. The relationship between species richness with elevation gradient and distributional

range of species was analyzed statistically using non-linear regression. A 'Rank Correlation Coefficient' was determined between families and their species richness by assigning ranks in order of dominance. It was observed from the study that a number of species were stenotopic / stenoecious and restricted to a specific altitudinal range of 500 m only (termed herein as unique species). Species uniqueness was determined by recording the species restricted to the specific altitudinal interval.

The maximum number of species in the valley was estimated from species accumulation curve which is a plot between cumulative numbers of species and sampling area (Henderson 2003). The curve follows Michelis-Menten equation and transcribes a hyperbola described by the following equation:

$$S(n) = S_{\max} n / (B+n)$$

where, $S(n)$ = Number of species up to the cumulative area (n), S_{\max} = maximum number of species in the community and B = constant. The equation may also be written in the form:

$$1/S(n) = [(B/S_{\max}) / (1/n)] + (1/S_{\max})$$

The y-intercept of the regression of the double reciprocal plot between $1/S(n)$ and $1/n$ provides the value of $1/S_{\max}$.

Beta diversity was calculated based on species replacement rate with an increasing altitude (Wilson and Schmida 1984).

$$\beta_T = [g(H) + l(H)] / 2\alpha$$

where, $g(H)$ = number of species gained, $l(H)$ = number of species lost moving along the altitudinal gradient, α = average richness of species at the two intervals.

The surface (3D) and two dimensional (2D) areas were calculated for each class using ArcGIS 8.3 software.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Floristic composition

A total of 313 higher plant species belonging to 204 genera and 68 families were recorded from the Bhabha Valley (Table 1, at the end of the text). Among them, eight species belonged to gymnosperms (*Abies pindrow*, *Cedrus deodara*,

Picea smithiana, *Pinus roxburghii*, *Pinus wallichiana*, *Taxus baccata*, *Juniperus communis* and *Juniperus indica*). Among angiosperms, dicots and monocots were represented by 268 and 37 species, respectively. Asteraceae was the largest family represented by 44 species followed by Rosaceae (19), Poaceae (18), Lamiaceae (17), Ranunculaceae (14) and Polygonaceae (14). Of the 68 families, 55 were found with five species or less each, and only four families with more than 15 species. Out of the total species, 56.41 % (i.e., 176 species and 114 genera) belonged to ten dominant families.

Among the genera, *Polygonum* (8), *Geranium* (7), *Artemisia* (6), *Potentilla* (5), *Anaphalis* (5), *Nepeta* (5) and *Saussurea* (5) were found with more than five species. Asteraceae, dominating the Bhabha Valley, is also the most dominant family in Lahaul-Spiti, Bashahr Himalaya, Himachal Pradesh and in the high altitude regions of western Himalaya (Rau 1975, Nair 1977, Chowdhery and Wadhwa 1984, Aswal and Mehrotra 1994). The flora of the Bhabha Valley shows more affinity with the flora of the Lahaul-Spiti Valley. This is evident by the presence of dominant families being common to both the valleys. However, floristic composition of this valley differs from that of other parts of western Himalayan in terms of meager representation of the families Orchidaceae and Cyperaceae due to lower tree lines and fewer marshy lands. Family Orchidaceae, one of the prominent families in the western Himalayan flora, is represented in the Bhabha Valley with only two lithophytic species viz. *Dactylorhiza hatagirea* and *Herminium lanceum*. This may be due to the fact that a majority of orchids in western Himalaya are epiphytic whereas the lack of tree life-form in the Bhabha Valley does not support orchids. Another dominant family of western Himalaya is Cyperaceae, which is represented by a few species in the Bhabha Valley. The members of this family are considered to be widely distributed in varied topography and habitats. But they are limited in this valley probably due to lack of shallow lakes or ponds. Moreover, the major part of the valley is covered with snow throughout the year.

The presence of a large number of herbaceous families, such as Brassicaceae, Rosaceae, Scrophulariaceae, Ranunculaceae, Apiaceae and Polygonaceae, may be attributed to their alpine

nature. The association between number of families (Y) and number of species per family (X) shows a log-log relationship ($r = -0.9217, p \leq 0.05, n=5, \ln Y = -1.428 \ln X + 4.97$). This relationship reveals that the maximum diversity is attributable to a few families. The first ten dominant families account for 56.41 % of species diversity. Further, families with smaller number of species per family abound the valley. Families success as determined in terms of number of species per family follows a power function with high 'Rank Correlation Coefficient' ($r = -0.9794, p \leq 0.001, n=68$) (Figure 2).




Figure 2 Rank family and species richness correlation

3.2 Relationship between altitudinal gradient and area

The surface area (3D) of each altitudinal class was determined and the difference computed with respect to planimetric (2D) area. The larger the difference, the more inclined is the surface. The differences in the areas at the lowest (1,501 ~ 2,000 m) and the highest (3,501 ~ 4,000 m) altitudinal ranges were 31.12 % and 21.28 %, respectively. Though the surface area was minimal at lower altitudinal gradients, the heterogeneity of habitats found at these gradients supported diverse vegetation types and harbour rich plant diversity. The largest area in the valley is at 4,001 ~ 4,500 m altitudinal class, but the lack of habitat heterogeneity and congenial environmental conditions at this altitude favours only a few plant

species.

A plot of cumulative species and cumulative area follows a typical species area curve with high correlation coefficient for both the 2D ($r=0.9928, p \leq 0.001, n= 6$) and 3D ($r=0.9927, p \leq 0.001, n=6$) areas (Figure 3).

In order to find out the total species pool of the area, a double reciprocal plot was regressed between cumulative numbers of species and cumulative area. It shows a highly significant correlation ($r = 1.00, p \leq 0.001, n=6$). The reciprocal of y-intercept reveals that the region could be harbouring about 500 species (Figure 4). The equation for the species-area accumulation curve comes out to be:

$$S_n = 500 n / (37.5 + n),$$

where, S_n is cumulative number of species and n is the cumulative area (sq km).




Figure 3 Species area accumulation curve




Figure 4 The double reciprocal plot for cumulative area (2D)

3.3 Relationship between altitudinal gradient and species richness

The number of species plotted against the altitudinal gradient showed a hump-shaped curve. The mid altitudinal ranges represented higher species richness which declined towards both ends of the altitudinal gradient. Maximum number of species were found in the range between 2,501 ~ 3,000 m (123 species belonging to 98 genera and 48 families) and followed by that between 2,001 ~ 2,500 m (113 species belonging to 90 genera and 39 families). The least number of species (26 species belonging to 21 genera and 14 families) were found in the highest range (4,001 ~ 4,500 m). A slightly higher number were found in the lowest range (1,501 ~ 2,000 m) (33 species belonging to 30 genera and 19 families). This suggests a unimodal relationship between species richness and altitude (Figure 5). The effect of increasing altitude is quite evident on both the family and species richness. The herbaceous species dominated the entire altitudinal gradient in terms of species richness, and with increasing altitude the trees and shrubs got disappeared. The climbers dominated the middle altitudinal range and were absent at both the extremes of the altitudinal gradient. Trees were represented in small numbers up to 3,000 m altitude whereas shrubs distributed up to 3,500 m and the maximum were found in the range around 2,501 ~ 3,500 m.




Figure 5 The distribution of plant taxa with increasing altitude

Species richness was found the highest in the mid-altitudinal ranges as also reported in Garhwal Himalaya and Grytnes and Vetaas (2002) and Grytnes (2003) in other temperate forests. The reduction in species in higher altitudinal gradient could be attributed to eco-physiological constraints, such as extremely low temperature, short period of growing season and geographical barriers. Further, the lower altitudes were in a regime of frequent anthropogenic disturbances, such as road construction, habitation and agricultural practices, which resulted in replacement of natural vegetation with man-made ecosystems consisting of plantations and agriculture. The maximum diversity at the mid-altitudes could be explained by Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis (IDH) which stated that intermediate levels of disturbance maximize species diversity (Connell 1978). The number of species decreased towards the lower altitudinal gradient of the Bhabha Valley. It is due to the fact that a major part of the lower areas was disturbed due to intensive agriculture and dam construction. Furthermore, a majority of the species were exotic in this area. The species richness was higher in mid-elevation gradient, which corroborates the hard boundary theory (Colwell and Lees 2000, Grytnes and Vetaas 2002). The higher elevation gradients had less habitat diversity compared to mid elevation gradients consisting of arboreal forests, shrubs and climbers, which contributed to the increase in plant diversity. Above the timberline, only a few patches of shrubaceous vegetation and alpine meadows were found and they lacked moist environmental conditions to harbour rich plant diversity.

The number of species, genera and families were plotted against the altitudinal range of their occurrence. The decline in the three taxa along the altitudinal gradient displayed that most of the species had a narrow range of distribution (Figure 6). The replacement rate with the increasing altitude was calculated through β -diversity. The mid-altitudinal range showed maximum number of the species sharing with other altitudinal ranges. The highest altitudinal range shared fewer species with lower ranges and displayed high species replacement rate with increase in β -diversity (Table 2). The lower species replacement rate based on β -diversity at lower and mid-altitudinal gradients explained that higher altitudes had

unique habitat and environmental conditions, and supported unique species. This was also supported from the percentage of the unique species at higher altitudinal ranges (Figure 7). Moreover, the mid altitudinal ranges favoured co-existence of a large number of species which were common to low as well as high altitudinal ranges (Lomolino 2001,

WANG et al. 2002). The lower species richness at the highest altitudinal gradient might be due to the loss of habitat diversity (Colwell and Hurtt 1994, Bhattarai and Vetaas 2003), extreme environmental conditions and lack of adaptability of species to sustain life in hostile climates.




Figure 6 Range of distribution of plant taxa with respect to altitudinal gradient




Figure 7 Distribution of unique species in the Bhabha valley

Table 2 Wilson and Schmida’s β-diversity among different altitudinal classes

Wilson and Schmida’s (β τ)					
Altitudinal class (m)	2,001 ~ 2,500	2,501 ~ 3,000	3,001 ~ 3,500	3,501 ~ 4,000	4,001 ~ 4,500
1,501 ~ 2,000	0.740	0.910	0.949	0.980	1.000
2,001 ~ 2,500		0.754	0.797	0.865	0.957
2,501 ~ 3,000			0.807	0.894	0.946
3,001 ~ 3,500				0.638	0.927
3,501 ~ 4,000					0.824

3.4 Relationship between altitudinal gradient and species composition

The family Asteraceae was dominant in all the altitudinal ranges with hump-shaped relationship correlating with general trend of species richness along the altitudinal gradient. The members of Lamiaceae decreased with increasing altitude. The

family Gentianaceae dominated in the higher altitudinal ranges and was either absent or represented with a very few species in the lower altitudinal ranges. The tree family Pinaceae was represented in the altitudinal gradient between 1,501 ~ 3,000 m. The members of Apiaceae dominated the middle altitudinal ranges and were found with a very few species in both the extreme

altitudinal ranges. The extent of species distribution in the altitudinal gradient showed that none of the species distributed along the entire altitudinal range. *Minuartia kashmirica* was the only species distributing in the five altitudinal ranges (2,001 ~ 4,500 m). Besides, this species distributes in a wide range including China, Afghanistan and the entire Himalaya, and is adapted to grow under sub-tropical and temperate conditions (Polunin and Stainton 1984).

About 15 species were found distributing in three altitudinal ranges (3,000 ~ 4,500 m) (Figure 6). The maximum number of species were unique to the single altitudinal gradient with a restricted distribution. About 57 species distributed in 1,000 m range mostly from 1,501 to 2,500 m. The species composition along the altitudinal gradient showed adaptative nature of different families with increasing altitudes. Asteraceae showed a potential to grow in a wide range of environmental conditions and had high recruitment with resource limitation, thus dominating all the elevation gradients. The members of Gentinaceae largely distributed in the alpine areas of the temperate region in the world (Polunin and Stainton 1984) as also observed in the present study. The extent of distribution of species along the elevation gradient also displayed the varied adaptation of species with increasing altitude. *Minuartia kashmirica* (Caryophyllaceae) was the only species that showed a higher range of adaptation from 2,001 to 4,500 m.

3.5 Relationship between altitudinal gradient and rarity

A total of 18 species from the Bhabha Valley are reported to be threatened and 41 species are endemic which account for 5.75 % and 13.1 % respectively of the total species found in the valley (Table 1). All the threatened species were herbaceous and most of them were restricted to alpine meadows. Except *Polygonatum verticillatum*, *Rheum australe* and *Jurinea dolomiaea*, all the threatened species were also restricted to narrow altitudinal ranges. Most of the species had a narrow range of distribution. This may be due to variation in eco-physiological conditions of different altitudinal ranges favours different species composition. Most threatened

species were found in the altitudinal range between 3,001 ~ 3,500 m and none of them in the lowest altitudinal range (1,501 ~ 2,000 m). The occurrence of the threatened species at higher elevation ranges might be due to the reason that this area has been protected as a Wildlife Sanctuary and harbours many endemic species (Vetaas and Grytnes 2002). Further, these species have been protected from human interference by unapproachable and tough terrain. Among the alpine meadows, the lower ones were rich in threatened species. This might be due to the mass effect, where the merging of species distribution with environmental conditions favours rich plant diversity. Most of the threatened species belong to the vulnerable category. *Selinum vaginatum* is the only threatened species considered to be in low risk category. *Dactylorhiza hatagirea* is considered to be critically endangered according to the IUCN norms.

4 Conclusion

The so far unexplored Bhabha Valley in the western Himalaya was surveyed for its plant diversity. A total of 313 higher plant species in 204 genera and 68 families were recorded. A major part of the study area consisted of alpine meadows. 82 % of the plant species were herbaceous, and the others were trees (5 %), shrubs (9 %) and climbers (4 %). The valley with various habitats ranging from plantations to alpine meadows harboured 18 threatened and 41 endemic species generally occurring at higher altitudes. The maximum diversity was found at mid altitudes which could be attributed to 'Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis'. The species area accumulation curve revealed that the valley could be harbouring about 500 species and negative log-log correlation was observed between the number of families and that of species. Most of the species had narrow range of distribution along the altitude. The β -diversity revealed that species replacement rate was more in higher altitudes.

Various anthropogenic activities like road construction, hydroelectric projects, tourism and over-exploitation of medicinal plants are posing a threat to the fragile ecology of the valley. The present study will be helpful in formulating

strategies for proper conservation of the plant biodiversity of the Bhabha Valley.

Acknowledgements

The authors dedicate this manuscript in the memory of Dr. H.R. Negi, who pioneered this work.

Thanks are also due to Dr. P.S. Ahuja, Director of Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology (CSIR) for providing facilities for this research and to Er. Amit Kumar for his help in this study. The financial assistance from the National Bioresource Development Board (Department of Biotechnology, Government of India) is duly acknowledged.

Table 1 List of species in the Bhabha Valley with life forms, threatened status and endemism in western Himalaya

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Abies pindrow</i> Royle	Pinaceae	Tree	
<i>Acer caesium</i> Wall. ex Brandis	Aceraceae	Tree	Endemic & Vulnerable
<i>A. pictum</i> Thunb.	Aceraceae	Tree	
<i>Achellia millefolium</i> L.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Aconitum heterophyllum</i> Wall. ex Royle	Ranunculaceae	Herb	Endemic & Endangered
<i>A. violaceum</i> Jacq. ex Stapf	Ranunculaceae	Herb	Endemic & Vulnerable
<i>Adonis aestivalis</i> L.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Ainsliaea aptera</i> DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Ajuga parviflora</i> Benth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Alliaria officinalis</i> Andr. ex DC.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Amaranthus paniculatus</i> L.	Amaranthaceae	Herb	
<i>Ampelocissus divaricata</i> (Wall. ex Lawson) Planchon	Vitaceae	Climber	
<i>Anaphalis adnata</i> Wall. ex DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. busua</i> (Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don) DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. nepalensis</i> (Spreng.) Hand.-Mazz.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. royleana</i> DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. triplinervis</i> (Sims.) C.B. Cl.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Androsace globifera</i> Duby	Primulaceae	Herb	
<i>A. lanuginosa</i> Wall.	Primulaceae	Herb	
<i>A. rotundifolia</i> Hardwicke	Primulaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Anemone rivularis</i> Buch.-Ham. ex DC.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Aquilegia fragrans</i> Benth.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>A. pubiflora</i> Wall. ex Royle	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Arabidopsis mollissima</i> (C.A. Mey) N. Busch	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Arenaria festucoides</i> Benth.	Caryophyllaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>A. orbiculata</i> Royle ex Edgew. and Hk. f.	Caryophyllaceae	Herb	
<i>Arisaema flavum</i> (Forsk.) Schott	Araceae	Herb	
<i>A. jacquemontii</i> Blume	Araceae	Herb	
<i>Artemisia gmelinii</i> Webb ex Stechmann	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. japonica</i> Thunb.	Asteraceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>A. mreantha</i> Wall. ex Bess.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. nilagirica</i> (C.B. Cl.) Pamp.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. strongylocephala</i> Ramp.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>A. vestita</i> Wall. ex DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Arundo donax</i> L.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Asparagus filicinus</i> Buch.-Ham. apud D. Don	Liliaceae	Herb	
<i>Aster falconeri</i> (C.B. Cl.) Hutch.	Asteraceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Axyris hybrida</i> L.	Chenopodiaceae	Herb	
<i>Berberis chitria</i> Edwards	Berberidaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>B. lycium</i> Royle	Berberidaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>Bergenia ciliate</i> (Haworth) Sternb.	Saxifragaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Betula utilis</i> D. Don	Betulaceae	Tree	Endangered
<i>Bidens biternata</i> (Lour.) Merrill and Shreff.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Bistorta amplexicaulis</i> (D. Don) Greene	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>Blumea laciniata</i> (Roxb.) DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i> (Huds.) P Beauv.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Breea arvensis</i> (L.) Less.	Asteraceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Bromus pectinatus</i> Thunb.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Bupleurum falcatum</i> L.	Apiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>B. himalayense</i> Klotzsh.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>B. marginatum</i> Wall. ex DC.	Apiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Calamagrostis pseudophragmites</i> (Hall.f.) Koel.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Caltha palustris</i> L.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Campanula pallida</i> Wall.	Campanulaceae	Herb	
<i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.	Cannabaceae	Herb	
<i>Caragana brevispina</i> Royle ex Benth.	Fabaceae	Shrub	
<i>Carex wallichiana</i> Sprengel	Cyperaceae	Herb	
<i>Carpesium nepalense</i> Lessing	Asteraceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Cassiopes festigiata</i> (Wall. D.Don)	Ericaceae	Shrub	
<i>Cedrus deodara</i> (Roxb. ex D.Don) G.Don	Pinaceae	Tree	
<i>Celtis australis</i> L.	Ulmaceae	Tree	
<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	Chenopodiaceae	Herb	
<i>C. botrys</i> L.	Chenopodiaceae	Herb	
<i>C. foliosum</i> (Moench) Aschers	Chenopodiaceae	Herb	
<i>Chorispora sabulosa</i> Camb.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Cicerbita macrorrhiza</i> (Royle) G. Beauve.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Clematis connata</i> DC.	Ranunculaceae	Climber	
<i>C. grata</i> Wall.	Ranunculaceae	Climber	
<i>Commelina bengalensis</i> L.	Commelinaceae	Herb	
<i>Conyza Canadensis</i> (L.) Cronquist	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>C. stricta</i> Willd.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Corydalis cornuta</i> Royle	Fumariaceae	Herb	
<i>C. goviniiana</i> Wall.	Fumariaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Corydalis meifolia</i> Wall.	Fumariaceae	Herb	
<i>Corylus jacquemontii</i> Decne.	Betulaceae	Tree	Endemic

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Cotoneaster falconeri</i> Klotz.	Rosaceae	Shrub	
<i>Crepis multicaulis</i> Ledeb.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Cuscuta reflexa</i> Roxb.	Convolvulaceae	Climber	
<i>Cyathula tomentosa</i> (Roth) Moq.	Amaranthaceae	Herb	
<i>Cymbopogon stracheyi</i> (Hk. f.) Raizada and Jain	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Cynadon dactylon</i> (L.) Persoen	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Cynoglossum glochidiatum</i> Wall. ex Benth.	Boraginaceae	Herb	
<i>C. zeylanicum</i> (Vahl. ex Hornem) Thunb. ex Lehm.	Boraginaceae	Herb	
<i>Cyperus niveus</i> Retz.	Cyperaceae	Herb	
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Dactylorhiza hatagirea</i> (D.Don) Soo	Orchidaceae	Herb	Critically Endangered
<i>Daphne oleoides</i> Schreb.	Thymelaeaceae	Shrub	
<i>Delphinium brunonianum</i> Royle	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Descurainia Sophia</i> (L.) Webb. Ex Prantl.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Desmodium elegans</i> DC.	Fabaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>D. compacta</i> Craib	Hydrangeaceae	Shrub	
<i>Deutzia staminea</i> R. Br. ex Wall.	Hydrangeaceae	Shrub	
<i>Digitaria cillaris</i> (Retz.) Koeler	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>D. cruciata</i> (Nees ex Steudel) A. Camus	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Dioscorea deltoidea</i> Wall. ex Grisebach	Dioscoreaceae	Climber	Vulnerable
<i>Dipsacus inermis</i> Wall.	Dipsacaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Draba lasiophylla</i> Royle	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Echinocloa crus-galli</i> (L.) P. Beauv	Cyperaceae	Herb	
<i>Elaeagnus parvifolia</i> Wallich. ex Royle	Elaeagnaceae	Shrub	
<i>Epilobium chitralense</i> Raven	Onagraceae	Herb	
<i>E. cylindricum</i> D.Don	Onagraceae	Herb	
<i>E. hirsutum</i> L.	Onagraceae	Herb	
<i>E. latifolium</i> L.	Onagraceae	Herb	Endemic

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Erigeron alpinus</i> L.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>E. canadensis</i> L.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Eriophorum comosum</i> (Wall.) Wall. ex Nees	Cyperaceae	Herb	
<i>Erodium cicutarium</i> (L.) L'Herit ex Aiton	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>Erysimum hieraciifolium</i> L.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>E. melicentae</i> Dunn.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Euphorbia hispida</i> Boiss.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb	
<i>E. maddenii</i> Boiss.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb	
<i>Euphrasia himalaica</i> Wettst.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> (L.) Moench.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>Ferula jaeshkeana</i> Vatke	Apiaceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>Festuca undata</i> Stapf	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Filipendula vestita</i> (Wall. ex G. Don) Maxim.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Fragaria vesca</i> L.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Galium aparine</i> L.	Rubiaceae	Climber	
<i>G. asperuloides</i> Edgew.	Rubiaceae	Climber	
<i>G. verum</i> L.	Rubiaceae	Herb	
<i>Gentiana marginata</i> (D.Don) Griseb.	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>G. tubiflora</i> (D.Don) Griseb.	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>G. venusta</i> (D.Don) Griseb.	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>Gentianella aurea</i> (L.) H. Sm.	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>Geranium aconitifolium</i> L'Herit	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. collinum</i> Steph. ex Willd.	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. himalayense</i> Klotz.	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. nepalense</i> Sweet	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. robertianum</i> L.	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. rotundifolium</i> L.	Geraniaceae	Herb	
<i>G. wallichianum</i> D.Don ex Sweet	Geraniaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Geum elatum</i> Wall. ex G. Don	Rosaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>G. roylei</i> Bolle	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>G. urbanum</i> L.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Hackelia uncinata</i> (Royle ex Bth.) Fischer	Boraginaceae	Herb	
<i>Heracleum lanatum</i> Michx.	Apiaceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>Herminium lanceum</i> (Thunb. ex Swartz) Vuijk	Orchidaceae	Herb	
<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> L.	Elaeagnaceae	Shrub	
<i>H. salicifolia</i> D. Don	Elaeagnaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>Impatiens racemosa</i> DC.	Balsaminaceae	Herb	
<i>I. scabrida</i> DC.	Balsaminaceae	Herb	
<i>I. thomsonii</i> Hk. f.	Balsaminaceae	Herb	
<i>Indigofera cedrorum</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>I. dosua</i> Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don	Fabaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>I. heterantha</i> Wall. ex Brandis	Fabaceae	Shrub	
<i>Inula grandiflora</i> Willd.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Ipomea purpurea</i> (L.) Roth	Convolvulaceae	Climber	
<i>Iris ensata</i> Thunb.	Iridaceae	Herb	
<i>Isolepis setacea</i> (L.) R. Br.	Cyperaceae	Herb	
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	Juglandaceae	Tree	Endemic
<i>Juniperus communis</i> L.	Cupressaceae	Shrub	
<i>J. indica</i> Bertol.	Cupressaceae	Shrub	
<i>Jurinea dolomiaea</i> Boiss.	Asteraceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>Koeleria macrantha</i> (Ledeb.) Schultes	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Lactuca dolichophylla</i> Kitam.	Asteraceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>L. macrorhiza</i> (Royle) Hk. f.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>L. orientalis</i> Boiss.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Lathyrus emodi</i> Wall. ex Fritch.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Leptorhodos parviflora</i> (Bth.) Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Lindelofia anchusoides</i> (Lind.) Lehm.	Boraginaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Lomatogonium spathulata</i> (Kerner) Fernald	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>Lonicera myrtillus</i> Hk. f. and Th.	Caprifoliaceae	Shrub	
<i>Lotus corniculata</i> L.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Malva neglecta</i> Wallr.	Malvaceae	Herb	
<i>Mecanopsis aculeate</i> Royle	Papaveraceae	Herb	Endemic & Vulnerable
<i>Mentha longifolia</i> (L.) Huds.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Micromeria biflora</i> (Buch.-Ham. ex D.Don) Benth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Minuartia kashmirica</i> (Edgew.) Mattf.	Caryophyllaceae	Herb	
<i>Morina longifolia</i> Wall. ex DC.	Morinaceae	Herb	
<i>Myosotis silvatica</i> Ehrh. ex Hoffm.	Boraginaceae	Herb	
<i>Nepeta erecta</i> (Bth.) Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>N. eriostachya</i> Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>N. hindostana</i> (Roth) Haines	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>N. laevigata</i> (D.Don) Hand.-Mazz.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>N. nervosa</i> Royle ex Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Orobanche epithymum</i> DC.	Orobanchaceae	Herb	
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L.	Oxalidaceae	Herb	
<i>Oxybaphus himalaicus</i> Edgew.	Nyctaginaceae	Herb	
<i>Oxyria digyna</i> (L.) Hill	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>Papaver dubium</i> L.	Papaveraceae	Herb	
<i>Parnassia laxmanii</i> Pallas ex Schultes	Parnessiaceae	Herb	
<i>Pedicularis bicornuta</i> Klotz. ex Klotz. and Garcke	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>P. longiflora</i> Rudolph	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>P. pectinata</i> Wall. ex Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>P. porrecta</i> Wall. ex Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>Penisetum faccidium</i> Griseb.	Poaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Phleum alpinum</i> L.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Phlomis bracteosa</i> Royle ex Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Photinia nussia</i> (Buch.-Ham ex D.Don) Kalkman	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Picea smithiana</i> (Wall.) Boiss.	Pinaceae	Tree	
<i>Picrorrhiza kurruoa</i> Royle ex Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	Endemic & Endangered
<i>Pimpinella achilleifolia</i> (DC.) C.B. Cl.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>Pinus roxburghii</i> Sargent	Pinaceae	Tree	
<i>P. wallichiana</i> Jackson	Pinaceae	Tree	
<i>Piptatherum microcarpum</i> (Pigl.) Tzod.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Plantago depressa</i> Willd.	Plantaginaceae	Herb	
<i>Plectranthus rugosus</i> Wall. ex Bth.	Lamiaceae	Shrub	
<i>Pleurospermum brunonis</i> (DC.) Bth. ex C.B. Cl.	Apiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>P. stylosum</i> Bth. ex C.B. Cl.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>Podophyllum hexandrum</i> Royle	Podophyllaceae	Herb	Endangered
<i>Polygonatum geminiflorum</i> Decne.	Liliaceae	Herb	
<i>P. multiflorum</i> (L.) All.	Liliaceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>P. verticillatum</i> (L.) All.	Liliaceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>Polygonum affine</i> D.Don	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. aviculare</i> L.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. convolvulus</i> L.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. glaciale</i> (Meissn.) Hk.f.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. hydropiper</i> L.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. plebium</i> R.Br.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>P. polystachya</i> Wall. ex Meissn.	Polygonaceae	Shrub	
<i>P. tortuosum</i> D.Don	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.	Portulacaceae	Herb	
<i>Potentilla argyrophylla</i> Wall. ex Lehm.	Rosaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>P. atrosanguinea</i> Lodd.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>P. leucochroa</i> Lindl.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>P. nepalensis</i> Hook.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>P. sundaica</i> (Blume) Kuntze	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Primula denticulata</i> Smith	Primulaceae	Herb	
<i>P. floribunda</i> Wall.	Primulaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Prinsepia utilis</i> Royle	Rosaceae	Shrub	
<i>Prunus cornuta</i> (Wall. Ex Royle) Steud	Rosaceae	Tree	
<i>Pyrus malus</i> L.	Rosaceae	Tree	
<i>Quercus ilex</i> L.	Fagaceae	Tree	
<i>Ranunculus laetus</i> Wall. ex D.Don	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Rheum australe</i> D.Don	Polygonaceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>Rhodiola heterodonta</i> (Hk. f. and Th.) A. Boriss.	Crassulaceae	Herb	
<i>R. wallichiana</i> (Hook.) Fu	Crassulaceae	Herb	
<i>Rhododendron anthopogon</i> D.Don	Ericaceae	Shrub	Vulnerable
<i>Rorippa Montana</i> (Hk. f. and Thomson) Small	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Rosa macrophylla</i> Lindl.	Rosaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>Rosularia alpestris</i> (Kar. and Kir.) A. Boriss.	Crassulaceae	Herb	
<i>Rubia cardifolia</i> L.	Rubiaceae	Climber	
<i>Rumex hastatus</i> D.Don	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>R. nepalensis</i> Spreng.	Polygonaceae	Herb	
<i>Salix elegans</i> Wall. ex Anders.	Salicaceae	Tree	
<i>S. flagellaris</i> Anders.	Salicaceae	Shrub	
<i>Salvia coccinea</i> Buch'hoz ex Etlinger	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>S. moorcroftiana</i> Wall. ex Benth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>S. mukerjea</i> Bennet and Raizada	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>S. nubicola</i> Wall. ex Sw.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Saussurea albescens</i> (DC.) Sch.-Bip.	Asteraceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>S. auriculata</i> (Sprengel exDC.)Schultz-Bipontinus	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>S. caespitosa</i> Hk. f.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>S. obovallata</i> (DC.) Edgew.	Asteraceae	Herb	Vulnerable
<i>S. simpsoniana</i> (Field. and Gardn.) Lipsch.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Saxifraga jacquemontiana</i> Decne.	Saxifragaceae	Herb	
<i>S. parnassifolia</i> D.Don	Saxifragaceae	Herb	
<i>Scrophularia calycina</i> Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>S. suffruticosa</i> Pennell.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>Sedum multicaule</i> Wall. ex Lindl.	Crassulaceae	Herb	
<i>S. oreades</i> (Decne.) R. Hamet	Crassulaceae	Herb	
<i>Selinum conifolium</i> (Wall. ex DC.) Bth. and Hk.f.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>S. tenuifolium</i> L.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>S. vaginatum</i> (Edgew.) C.B. Cl.	Apiaceae	Herb	Endemic & Lower risk Least concern
<i>S. wallichianum</i> (DC.) Rhiz. At. Saxn.	Apiaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Senecio desfontainei</i> Druce	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>S. graciliflorus</i> DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>S. laetus</i> Edgew.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Setaria pumila</i> (Schumacher) Stapf et Hubbard	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Sibbaldia parviflora</i> Willd.	Rosaceae	Herb	
<i>Siegesbeckia orientalis</i> L.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Silene vulgaris</i> (Moench) Garcke	Caryophyllaceae	Herb	
<i>Skimmia anquetilia</i> Taylor and Airy Shaw	Rutaceae	Shrub	Endemic
<i>Smilacina pallida</i> Royle	Liliaceae	Herb	
<i>Smilax vaginata</i> Decne.	Liliaceae	Climber	
<i>Solena amplexicaulis</i> (Lam.) Gandhi	Cucurbitaceae	Climber	
<i>Solidago virga-aurea</i> L.	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Sorbaria tomentosa</i> (Lindl.) Rehder	Rosaceae	Shrub	
<i>Sorbus lanata</i> (Don) Schauer	Rosaceae	Shrub	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Stachys melissaefolia</i> Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Strobilanthes atreporpureus</i> Nees	Acanthaceae	Herb	
<i>Swertia ciliata</i> (G.Don) Burt	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>S. cordata</i> (G.Don) C.B. Cl.	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>S. petiolata</i> Royle ex D.Don	Gentianaceae	Herb	
<i>Tanacetum dolichophyllum</i> (Kitamura) Kitamura	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Weber	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Taxus baccata</i> Hk. f.	Taxaceae	Tree	
<i>Thalictrum chelidonii</i> DC.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>T. cultratum</i> Wall.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>T. reniforme</i> Wall.	Ranunculaceae	Herb	
<i>Themeda arundinacea</i> (Roxb.) Ridley	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>T. triandra</i> Forsk.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Thermopsis barbata</i> Bth.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Thlaspi cardiocarpum</i> Hk. f and Th.	Brassicaceae	Herb	
<i>Thymus linearis</i> Bth.	Lamiaceae	Herb	
<i>Torilis japonica</i> (Houttuyn) DC.	Apiaceae	Herb	
<i>Tragopogon gracilis</i> D.Don	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>Tricholepis elongata</i> DC.	Asteraceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Trigonella emodi</i> Bth.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Trillium govianianum</i> Wall. ex D.Don	Liliaceae	Herb	
<i>Trisetum spicatum</i> (L.) Richt.	Poaceae	Herb	
<i>Urtica ardens</i> Link.	Urticaceae	Herb	
<i>U. dioica</i> L.	Urticaceae	Herb	
<i>Valeriana jatamansi</i> Jones	Valerianaceae	Herb	
<i>V. stracheyi</i> C.B. Cl.	Valerianaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Verbescum thapsus</i> L.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	

-Continued-

Species	Family	Life form	Endemic & Threatened status (IUCN)
<i>Veronica beccabunga</i> L.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	
<i>V. lanosa</i> Royle ex Bth.	Scrophulariaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>Viburnum grandiflorum</i> Wall. ex DC.	Caprifoliaceae	Shrub	
<i>V. nervosum</i> D.Don	Caprifoliaceae	Shrub	
<i>Vicia bakeri</i> Ali	Fabaceae	Herb	Endemic
<i>V. sativa</i> L.	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Vigna vexillata</i> (L.) A. Richard	Fabaceae	Herb	
<i>Vincetoxicum hirsutaria</i> Medikus	Asclepiadaceae	Herb	
<i>Viola betonicifolia</i> J. Smith	Violaceae	Herb	
<i>V. canescens</i> Wall.	Violaceae	Herb	
<i>V. pilosa</i> Blume	Violaceae	Herb	
<i>Waldheimia glabra</i> (Decne.) Regel	Asteraceae	Herb	
<i>W. tomentosa</i> (Decne.) Regel	Asteraceae	Herb	

References

- Aswal B.S. and Mehrotra B.N. 1994. Flora of Lahaul-Spiti. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, India.
- Bhandari B.S., Mehta J.P., Nautiyal B.P. and Tiwari S.C. 1997. Structure of a Chir Pine (*Pinus roxburghii* Sarg.) Community along an Altitudinal Gradient in Garhwal Himalaya. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Science **23**: 67~74.
- Bhattarai K.R. and Vetaas O.R. 2003. Variation in Plant Species Richness of Different Life Forms along a Subtropical Elevation Gradient in the Himalayas, East Nepal. Global Ecology and Biogeography **12**: 327~340.
- Brown J. 2001. Mammals on Mountainsides: Elevational Patterns of Diversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography **10**: 101~109.
- Chauhan N.S. 1999. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants of Himachal Pradesh. Indus Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.
- Chowdhery H. J. and Wadhwa B. M. 1984. Flora of Himachal Pradesh Analysis. Vol. I-III. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta, India.
- Collet H. 1902. – Flora simelensis. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta, India.
- Colwell R.K. and Hurtt G.C. 1994. Nonbiological Gradients in Species Richness and a Spurious Rapoport Effect. American Naturalist **144**: 570~595.
- Colwell R.K. and Lees D.C. 2000. The Mid-Domain Effect: Geometric Constraints on the Geography of Species Richness. Trends in Ecology and Evolution **15**: 70~76.
- Connell J.H. 1978. Diversity in Tropical Rain Forests and Coral Reefs. *Science* **199**: 1302~1310.
- DeBano L.F. and Schimdt L.J. 1990. Potential for Enhancing Riparian Habitat in the Southwestern United States with Watershed Practices. Forest Ecology and Management **33/34**: 385~403.
- Garkoti S.C. and Singh S.P. 1995. Variation in Net Primary Productivity and Biomass of Forests in the High Mountains of Central Himalaya. Journal of Vegetation Science **6**: 23~28.
- Gaur R.D. 1999. Flora of the district Garhwal north west Himalaya (with ethnobotanical notes). Transmedia, Srinagar(Garhwal), India.
- Grime J.P. 1979. Plant Strategies and Vegetation Processes. John Wiley, New York.
- Grytnes J.A. 2003. Ecological Interpretations of the Mid-Domain effect. *Ecology Letters* **6**: 883~888.
- Grytnes J.A. and Vetaas O.R. 2002. Species Richness and Altitude: a Comparison Between Null Models and Interpolated Plant Species Richness along the Himalayan Altitudinal Gradient, Nepal. American Naturalist **159**: 294~304.
- Henderson P.A. 2003. Practical Methods in Ecology. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
- Heywood V. H. (ed.) 2000. Global Biodiversity Assessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hooker J.D. 1872~1897. The Flora of India. Vol. I-VII. L. Reeve and Co. Ltd., London.
- Huston M. and DeAngelis D.L. 1994. Competition and Coexistence: the Effects of Resource Transport and Supply Rates. American Naturalist **144**: 954~977.
- Jain S.K. and Rao R.R. 1976. A Handbook of Field and Herbarium Methods. Today and Tomorrow Printers and

- Publishers, New Delhi.
- Kala C.P. 2000. Status and Conservation of Rare and Endangered Medicinal Plants in the Indian Trans-Himalaya. *Biological Conservation* **93**: 371~379.
- Klimes L. 2003. Life-Forms and Clonality of Vascular Plants along an Altitudinal Gradient in E Ladakh (NW Himalayas). *Basic and Applied Ecology* **4**: 317~328.
- Lieberman D., Lieberman M., Peralta R. and Hartshorn G.S. 1996. Tropical Forest Structure and Composition on a Large-Scale Altitudinal Gradient in Costa Rica. *Journal of Ecology* **84**: 137~152.
- Lomolino M.V. 2001. Elevation Gradients of Species-Density: Historical and Prospective Views. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **10**: 3~13.
- McIntosh, R.P. 1967. An Index of Diversity and the Relation of Certain Concepts to Diversity. *Ecology* **48**: 392~404.
- Nair N.C. 1977. Flora of Bashahr Himalaya. International Bioresource Publishers, Hissar-125001, India.
- Nayar, M.P. 1996. Hotspots of Endemic Plants of India, Nepal and Bhutan. The Director, TBGRI, Trivandrum, India.
- Nayar M.P. and Sastry A.R.K. (eds.) 1987 ~ 1990. Red Data Book of Indian Plants. Vol. I-III. Botanical Survey of India. Calcutta, India.
- Palmer M.W. 1992. The Coexistence of Species in Fractal Landscapes. *American Naturalist* **139**: 375~397.
- Pausas J.G. and Austin M.P. 2001. Patterns of Plant Species Richness in Relation to Different Environments: an Appraisal. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **12**: 153~166.
- Polunin O. and Stainton A. 1984. *Flowers of the Himalaya*. Oxford University Press, Delhi, India.
- Rau M.A. 1975. High Altitude Flowering Plants of West Himalaya. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta, India.
- Rawat J. and Pant C. 1999. Structure of a Chir Pine Community along Two Different Aspects and Altitudinal Gradients. *Indian Journal of Forestry* **22**: 141~144.
- Rey Benayas J.M. and Scheiner S.M. 2002. Plant Diversity, Biogeography and Environment in Iberia: Patterns and Possible Causal Factors. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **13**: 245~258.
- Saxena A.K., Pandey T. and Singh J.S. 1985. Altitudinal Variation in the Vegetation of Kumaun Himalaya. In: Rao D.N. *et al.* (eds.), *Perspectives in Environment Botany*. Print House, Lucknow, India. Pp. 43~66.
- Stevens G.C. 1992. The Elevational Gradient in Altitudinal Range: an Extension of Rapoport's Latitudinal Rule to Altitude. *American Naturalist* **140**: 893~911.
- Szaro R.C. 1989. Riparian Forest and Scrubland Communities of Arizona and New Mexico. *Desert Plants* **9**: 69~138.
- Vazquez J.A. and Givnish T.J. 1998. Altitudinal Gradients in the Tropical Forest Composition, Structure, and Diversity in the Sierra de Manantla'n. *Journal of Ecology* **86**: 999~1020.
- Ved D.K. and Tandon V. (eds.) 1998. CAMP Report for High Altitude Medicinal Plants of Jammu-Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh. Foundation for Revitalization of Local Health Traditions, Bangalore, India.
- Vetaas O.R. and Grytnes J.A. 2002. Distribution of Vascular Plant Species Richness and Endemic Richness along the Himalayan Elevation Gradient in Nepal. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **11**: 291~301.
- WANG G., ZHOU G., YANG L. and LI Z. 2002. Distribution, Species Diversity and Life-form Spectra of Plant Communities along an Altitudinal Gradient in the Northern Slopes of Qilianshan Mountains, Gansu, China. *Plant Ecology* **165**: 169~181.
- Wilson M.V. and Schmida A. 1984. Measuring Beta Diversity with Presence–Absence Data. *Journal of Ecology* **72**: 1055~1064.
- Zimmerman J.C., DeWald L.E. and Rowlands P.G. 1999. Vegetation Diversity in an Interconnected Ephemeral Riparian System of North-Central Arizona, USA. *Biological Conservation* **90**: 217~228.