Original Paper

Medical Principles and Practice

Med Princ Pract 2015;24:250-256 DOI: 10.1159/000375304

Received: December 3, 2013 Accepted: January 18, 2015 Published online: February 26, 2015

Prospective Evaluation of the Effect of Short-Term Oral Vitamin D Supplementation on Peripheral Neuropathy in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Khaled Al-Jarallah^a Nabila Abdella^a D. Shehab^a Olusegun A. Mojiminiyi^b Hisham Al Mohamedy^c

Departments of ^aMedicine and ^bPathology, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, and ^cDepartment of Medicine, Mubarak Al-Kabeer Hospital, Jabriya, Kuwait

Key Words

Clinical trial · Peripheral neuropathy · Type 2 diabetes · Vitamin D

Abstract

Objective: We aimed to assess the efficacy of short-term oral vitamin D supplementation on peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Materials and Methods: This prospective, placebo-controlled trial included 112 type 2 diabetic patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) and vitamin D [25(OH)D] deficiency. Patients were sequentially assigned to a treatment group (n = 57) and a placebo group (n = 55). DPN was assessed using a neuropathy symptom score (NSS), a neuropathy disability score (NDS) and a nerve conduction study (NCS). Vitamin D status was determined by measuring the serum total 25(OH)D concentration. Patients received either oral vitamin D₃ capsules or starch capsules once weekly for 8 weeks. The primary outcome was changes in NSS and NDS from baseline. The secondary outcome was changes in the NCS result. Results: Serum 25(OH)D concentrations significantly improved after

© 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel

1011-7571/15/0243-0250\$39.50/0

KARGER 125

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/mpp

This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported license (CC BY-NC) (www.karger.com/OA-license), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution permitted for non-commercial purposes only.

Karger pen access

Open

oral vitamin D supplementation in the treatment group when compared to the placebo group (32.8 \pm 23.7 vs. 1.1 \pm 3.6, p < 0.0001). Similarly, the improvement in NSS values was significantly greater in the treatment group than in the placebo group (-1.49 ± 1.37 vs. -0.20 ± 0.59, p < 0.001). No improvement was observed for NDS and NCS between the 2 groups after treatment. *Conclusion:* Short-term oral vitamin D₃ supplementation improved vitamin D status and the symptoms of neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. © 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Diabetic neuropathy is a long-term complication of diabetes that can cause considerable morbidity in many patients, leading to a deterioration of their quality of life. Previously reported pathways relevant for the development of diabetic neuropathy include the glucose flux/ polyol pathway (aldolase reductase, sorbitol), the hexosamine pathway (fructose-6P), the accumulation of advanced glycation end-products and several other mecha-

Prof. D. Shehab Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University PO Box 24923 Jabriya, Safat 13110 (Kuwait) E-Mail diaa@hsc.edu.kw



Fig. 1. Flow chart.

nisms [1]. A deficiency of vitamin D [25-hydroxyvitamin D, 25(OH)D] is common in patients with diabetes, and low concentrations are associated with the presence and the severity of sensory neuropathy in diabetes [2, 3]. The mechanisms and pathophysiology of neuropathy are associated with hyperglycemia via microvascular injury or direct neuronal metabolic injury [2]. Vitamin D deficiency has been shown to be an independent risk factor for diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) [3, 4]. Topical and oral vitamin D have been reported to significantly reduce the symptoms and pain of DPN [5-7]. However, no casecontrol clinical trials have been reported that demonstrate the efficacy of vitamin D supplementation on the symptoms of DPN. We hypothesized that correction of a vitamin D deficiency may directly or indirectly improve the symptoms of DPN. This study evaluated the potential efficacy of short-term oral vitamin D supplementation on neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, making use of validated neuropathy assessment tools: a neuropathy symptom score (NSS), a neuropathy disability score (NDS) and electrophysiological studies, i.e. a nerve conduction study (NCS).

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This study involved an interventional, prospective, nonrandomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial lasting 8 weeks. It assessed, by means of an NSS, an NDS and an NCS, the

Oral Vitamin D in Diabetic Neuropathy

efficacy of vitamin D supplementation on subjective and objective neuropathic manifestations in patients. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were recruited by independent clinicians from hospital diabetology clinics (fig. 1), and were then allocated to the 2 arms of the intervention, i.e. treatment and placebo, according to a sequential order generated by the hospital recording system.

Participants

Exclusion Criteria

These included: patients with a nondiabetic cause for neuropathy such as inflammatory rheumatic diseases, malignancy, hyperparathyroidism, alcoholism, renal or liver failure or vitamin B_{12} deficiency, patients taking drugs that could cause peripheral neuropathy and patients with other causes for pain in the feet (peripheral arterial disease or infections).

Inclusion Criteria

Adult patients with type 2 diabetes with DPN and vitamin D deficiency were eligible. In total, 228 type 2 diabetic patients were screened over a 1-year period from March 2012 to March 2013; 111 were excluded (fig. 1), and of the remaining 117, 59 were assigned to treatment and 58 to placebo. Two patients dropped out of the treatment group and 3 out of the placebo group. So, 57 patients in the treatment group and 55 in the placebo group with DPN and vitamin D deficiency completed the study, i.e. a total of 112 patients (48 males and 68 females) with an age range of 38-80 years. Type 2 diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level \geq 7.0 mmol/l on at least two occasions or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) \geq 6.5% [8]. All patients attended regular follow-ups at the diabetic clinics of the Mubarak Al-Kabeer Hospital, Kuwait. A detailed history was recorded and a physical examination was conducted for all patients. Fasting blood glucose, renal, liver and lipid profiles and HbA1c were measured. The 25(OH)D level was also measured. Vitamin D deficiency was defined as a serum 25(OH)D con-

Table 1. Demograp	phic characteristics of type 2 diabetic subjects	in
the treatment and	placebo groups at baseline	

Characteristics	Treatment group (n = 57)	Placebo group (n = 55)	p value
Gender			0.190
Male	21 (36.8)	27 (49.1)	
Female	36 (63.2)	28 (50.9)	
Mean age \pm SD, years	61.8 ± 8.1	59.8±9.6	0.229
Range	45-80	38-78	
Duration of diabetes			0.116
>10 years	44 (77.2)	35 (63.6)	
<10 years	13 (22.8)	20 (36.4)	
Body mass index			0.247
<25	8 (14.0)	4 (7.3)	
>25	49 (86.0)	51 (92.7)	
Retinopathy			0.235
Positive	20 (46.5)	12 (33.3)	
Coronary heart disease			0.389
Positive	16 (32.7)	10 (24.4)	
Stroke			0.694
Positive	2 (3.6)	1 (2.3)	
Treatment			0.507
OHA only	20 (35.1)	25 (45.5)	
Insulin only	6 (10.5)	4 (7.3)	
Insulin + OHA	31 (54.4)	26 (47.3)	

Values denote n (%), unless otherwise indicated. OHA = Oral hypoglycemic agent.

centration of <50 nmol/ml. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, and the Ministry of Health, in accordance with the provisions for human research in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study subjects were drawn from the general population living in Kuwait, a geographic region which is sunny all the year round and where the majority of citizens have a dress code of covering up.

Study Outcomes

The primary outcome measures were the assessment of neuropathy using an NSS and an NDS. The NSS includes symptoms of neuropathy (the nature of pain, the location, the duration and the measures undertaken to alleviate symptoms). Generally, the NSS values ranged from 0 to 9, and a value >5 was defined as neuropathy. The NDS includes ankle reflex, vibration, pinprick and temperature; its values ranged from 0 to 10, and a value >6 was defined as neuropathy [3, 9–11].

The secondary outcome measure was the assessment of neuropathy by means of an NCS, performed on all patients using established, standard techniques [12, 13]. Measurements of latencies, amplitudes and conduction velocities were evaluated using previously established cut-off values, for the motor (peroneal, tibial, median and ulnar) and sensory (sural, median and ulnar) nerves [3, 14, 15].

The clinical assessment of peripheral neuropathy at baseline and after treatment was conducted for all patients by a single clinician (D.S.) who was blinded to the treatment choice. Thus in this study, type 2 diabetic patients who had an NSS >5 and an NDS >6, in addition to an abnormal NCS, were categorized as having DPN.

Serum Vitamin D Status

Vitamin D status was determined at baseline and after treatment by measuring serum total 25(OH)D concentrations using radioimmunoassay kits (DiaSorin, Stillwater, Minn., USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A serum 25(OH)D concentration <50 nmol/ml was defined as a vitamin D deficiency [16].

Intervention

Concealed opaque envelopes were prepared for all study participants for allocation into the vitamin D treatment group or the placebo group. This was done under the direct control of a single investigator (H.M.). The treatment group received oral capsules of vitamin D₃ (a capsule of cholecalciferol, 50,000 IU, Bronson, Lindon, Utah, USA) once weekly for 8 weeks [17]. The placebo group received starch capsules, also once weekly for 8 weeks. The study medications were visually indistinguishable from one another and were stored as advised by the manufacturers. The study participants and the outcome assessor were blinded to the treatment allocation once during the conclusion of the study. Primary and secondary outcome measures of all patients were reassessed after 8 weeks of intervention.

Clinical Evaluation

The primary end point was the difference between baseline and after treatment in the NSS and NDS, and the secondary end point was changes in the electrophysiological study, i.e. the NCS, between baseline and after treatment. Compliance with supplementation was evaluated by repeating laboratory tests. Indirect measures to improve the adherence to interventions included educating the patients and scheduling appointments.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Data are expressed as percentages or mean \pm SD. Categorical variables were compared by χ^2 test. The mean values of the continuous variables were compared using the independent-samples or paired-samples Student t test as appropriate. For skewed data, analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the correlation between the improvement in 25(OH)D and the NSS. Values of p < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The demographic characteristics of the 2 groups at baseline are given in table 1. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups regarding gender, age, duration of diabetes, body mass index, the complications of diabetes (retinopathy, coronary heart disease and stroke) or antidiabetic medications. The biochemical pa-

Parameters	Treatment group (n = 57)	Placebo group (n = 55)	p value
HbA1c, %			
Before	9.2±2.2	8.6 ± 2.1	0.840
After	9.5±2.1	8.9 ± 2.1	0.122
Creatinine, µmol/l			
Before	68.6±25.2	70.7 ± 23.8	0.651
After	69.3±27.7	70.0 ± 24.6	0.858
Total cholesterol, mm	ol/l		
Before	4.5 ± 1.1	4.9 ± 0.9	0.600
After	4.6 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.1	0.558
Triglycerides, mmol/l			
Before	1.6 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.9	0.080
After	1.7 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.9	0.140
HDL-C, mmol/l			
Before	1.1 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.5	0.090
After	1.0 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.3	0.713
LDL-C, mmol/l			
Before	2.6±0.9	2.8 ± 1.9	0.475
After	2.7 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 1.0	0.816
Serum calcium, mmol	/1		
Before	2.4 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.2	0.212
After	2.3 ± 0.09	2.4 ± 0.1	0.223
Serum albumin, g/l			
Before	37.4 ± 7.1	38.4 ± 7.9	0.482
After	37.8 ± 6.0	39.2±6.2	0.226
AST, IU/l			
Before	22.7 ± 8.6	25.5 ± 10.8	0.131
After	23.8±10.9	23.9 ± 7.8	0.954
ALT, IU/l			
Before	24.3 ± 12.4	29.0 ± 14.6	0.068
After	23.2±12.3	26.8±11.9	0.137
ALP, IU/l			
Before	83.5 ± 25.1	74.9 ± 23.7	0.065
After	79.0 ± 27.4	75.2 ± 28.4	0.490

Table 2. Metabolic parameters of the treatment and placebo groups

 at baseline (before treatment) and after treatment

Values represent mean \pm SD. ALP = Alkaline phosphatase; ALT = alanine transaminase; AST = aspartate transaminase; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

rameters of the treatment and placebo groups at baseline and posttreatment are given in table 2. No significant difference was observed in glycemic control between the 2 groups, demonstrated by the HbA1c values (9.5 ± 2.1 vs. $8.9 \pm 2.1\%$, p > 0.05). There were no differences in other metabolic parameters measured at baseline and after treatment between the two groups.

The baseline and follow-up NSS and NDS values and the vitamin D status before and after treatment for both study arms are shown in table 3. Of the 57 patients in the

Table 3. Baseline and follow-up values and comparison of differ-ences in NSS, NDS and vitamin D status before and after treatmentbetween the treatment and placebo groups

Parameters	Before	After	Differences ¹	p value
NSS				
Treatment	5.92 ± 1.29	4.43 ± 1.58	-1.49 ± 1.37	<0.001
Placebo	5.50 ± 1.25	5.45 ± 1.20	-0.20 ± 0.59	<0.001
NDS				
Treatment	8.4 ± 1.8	8.4 ± 1.8	-0.42 ± 1.59	0.004
Placebo	7.8 ± 1.9	7.7 ± 1.8	-0.03 ± 0.2	0.094
25(OH)D, mmol/l				
Treatment	25.3 ± 10.9	58.2 ± 23.8	32.8 ± 23.7	<0.0001
Placebo	29.2 ± 9.5	30.3 ± 8.9	1.1 ± 3.6	<0.0001

Values represent mean \pm SD.The Mann-Whitney U test was used. ¹ The minus sign indicates that the values decreased after treatment.

treatment group, 38 (66.7%) had normal 25(OH)D levels after the treatment period. The differences in the NSS, NDS and vitamin D status before and after treatment were used to compare the effect of vitamin D supplementation between the treatment and placebo groups. The reduction in the NSS values after treatment was significantly more in the treatment group than in the placebo group $(-1.49 \pm 1.37 \text{ vs.} -0.20 \pm 0.59, \text{ p} < 0.001)$, while no difference was noted for the NDS. Similarly, the improvement in the serum 25(OH)D concentration after treatment was extremely significant in the treatment group compared to the placebo group $(32.8 \pm 23.7 \text{ vs. } 1.1 \text{ sc})$ \pm 3.6, p < 0.0001). The Spearman correlation did not reveal any significant correlation between change in 25(OH)D and improvement in NSS (rho = -0.052, p = 0.702)

The NCS data on the latency, amplitude and conduction velocity for the motor and sensory nerves in both groups after the 8-week treatment period are given in table 4. The baseline and follow-up results for the normal cut-off values for the peroneal and sural nerves including distal latencies, conduction velocities and amplitudes showed no statistical difference between the groups during the study period (table 5). Changes in NCS in both groups after treatment were not significant. Only 1 patient had a normal NCS result (0.89%) after 8 weeks and 3 patients in the treatment group (2.6%) showed an improvement after the vitamin D treatment. No changes were observed in the NCS in the placebo group.

Oral Vitamin D in Diabetic Neuropathy

	Treatment group (n = 57)	Placebo group (n = 55)	Normal cut-off values	p value ¹
Distal latency, mV				
Median motor	4.5 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 2.4	<3.7	0.434
Median sensory	3.1 ± 0.4	3.3 ± 0.7	<2.9	0.611
Ulnar motor	2.6 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	<3.2	0.555
Ulnar sensory	2.7 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.2	<3.0	0.323
Peroneal motor	5.2 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 1.0	<5.6	0.783
Tibial motor	4.9 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.6	<5.6	0.636
Sural sensory	3.3 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.3	<3.5	0.692
Conduction velocity, i	m/s			
Median motor	44.0 ± 4.9	46.5 ± 9.1	>50	0.214
Median sensory	48.0 ± 6.3	44.0 ± 12.7	>50	0.612
Ulnar motor	52.0 ± 4.3	50.0 ± 1.4	>50	0.784
Ulnar sensory	52.8 ± 3.4	51.0 ± 1.4	>50	0.522
Peroneal motor	37.0±15.6	42.7±11.5	>40	0.175
Tibial motor	44.0 ± 4.8	44.0 ± 9.6	>40	0.220
Sural sensory	41.4 ± 5.0	39.2 ± 3.1	>40	0.310
Motor amplitude, mV				
Median	5.9 ± 2.2	5.5 ± 4.0	>5	0.458
Ulnar	8.5 ± 1.7	8.4 ± 0.7	>5	0.958
Peroneal	2.2 ± 2.3	2.8 ± 1.9	>1.5	0.325
Tibial	5.9 ± 4.1	6.2 ± 3.4	>5	0.752
Sensory amplitude, µV				
Median	17.2 ± 3.7	15.0 ± 7.0	>15	0.618
Ulnar	17.6 ± 4.3	20.5 ± 0.1	>15	0.414
Sural	5.9±2.3	6.3±.2.4	>9	0.714

Table 4. NCS data including cut-off values for the treatment group and the placebo group after treatment

Table 5. Comparison of NCS data on the peroneal and sural nerves

 before and after treatment in the treatment and the placebo group

	Before treatment	After treatment	Normal cut-off values	p value ¹
Distal latency, mV				
Peroneal motor			<5.6	
Treatment	5.3 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 1.0		0.373
Placebo	4.9 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 1.0		0.060
Sural sensory			<3.5	
Treatment	3.4 ± 0.4	3.3 ± 0.2		0.499
Placebo	3.4 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.3		0.064
Conduction velocity	, m/s			
Peroneal motor			>40	
Treatment	36.1 ± 15.0	37.0 ± 15.6		0.372
Placebo	43.2 ± 11.7	42.7 ± 11.5		0.244
Sural sensory			>40	
Treatment	41.0 ± 5.2	41.4 ± 5.0		0.741
Placebo	39.1±3.2	39.2 ± 3.1		0.866
Motor amplitude, mV				
Peroneal motor			>1.5	
Treatment	2.0 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 2.3		0.496
Placebo	2.9 ± 2.3	2.8 ± 1.9		0.071
Sensory amplitude, µV				
Sural sensory			>9	
Treatment	6.3 ± 2.6	5.9 ± 2.3		0.526
Placebo	6.4±2.2	6.3 ± 2.4		0.354

Values represent mean \pm SD. ¹ Paired-samples t test.

esthesia. However, it is not clear whether this was because of an elevation of pain threshold or due to an improvement in the function of the affected nerves, or both [7, 18]. Vitamin D is a potent inducer of neurotrophins and neurotransmitters; the biological effect of vitamin D on the nervous system includes the biosynthesis of enzymes involved in neurotransmitter synthesis as well as substances involved in brain detoxification pathways. The addition of vitamin D potentiates the nerve growth factor (NGF), a protein required for the development and maintenance of several populations of neurons in the peripheral nervous system [19]. In animal studies, it has been shown that the addition of vitamin D₃ analogs potentiates the reversal of the neurotrophic deficits of diabetes [20, 21]. However, the mechanisms to explain the observed symptomatic improvement in humans are yet to be elucidated. It has been reported that vitamin D analogs are also effective in inducing NGF in human cell lines [22, 23]. In the skin, epidermal keratinocytes are the primary source of NGF and decreased NGF in patients with diabetic polyneuropathy correlates with neuropath-

Values represent mean \pm SD. ¹ Independent-samples t test.

Discussion

In this study, oral supplementation of a dose of cholecalciferol (a 50,000-IU capsule) once weekly for 8 weeks was associated with a significant improvement in the 25(OH)D concentration in the treatment group compared to placebo. The improvement in NSS was also significant in the treatment group compared to the placebo group. No improvement in NDS or NCS result was observed in either group after vitamin D supplementation.

These findings are consistent with our previous study [3] which reported vitamin D as an independent risk factor in peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. This study has thus established that oral vitamin D supplementation corrects vitamin D deficiency, which leads to an improvement in the symptoms of neuropathy.

The main symptom improvement observed was in the sensation of neuropathic pain, especially burning/hyper-

ic indicators of sensory and autonomic nerve function [23].

No significant changes were observed in NDS (ankle reflex, pinprick or vibration), probably due to an inadequate dose or duration of supplementation or both. The majority of our patients did not show an improvement in NCS with treatment. This could also be due to the underlying pathophysiology of peripheral neuropathy in diabetes, since the duration of diabetes in our patients was prolonged (years) and the pathophysiology of neuropathy could be mainly axonal; it takes longer than 8 weeks for nerves to be regenerated or to correct the effects of an absence of vitamin D.

Only 1 (0.89%) patient had a normal NCS result after 8 weeks and 3 (2.6%) showed an improvement after treatment, observed in the motor conduction velocity of the peroneal and tibial nerves and the sensory conduction velocity in the sural nerves. The NCS was conducted by the same clinician using the same machine and standard techniques, so the likelihood of technical bias was reduced. Interestingly enough, in animal models of diabetes [21], no changes were observed in the motor or sensory conduction velocities after 8 weeks of treatment with a vitamin D₃ derivative. It is, therefore, tempting to speculate that the human and animal experimental findings were comparable. The effects observed in this study were not biased by any changes in glycemic control, since no significant differences were noted between the treatment and placebo groups in HbA1c before or after treatment.

A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study [5] that used a topical cream containing vitamin D_3 in 34 type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients led to an improvement in symptoms and quality of life. In a study by Lee and Chen [6], 51 type 2 diabetic patients with neuropathic pain and vitamin D deficiency were treated with oral vitamin D_3 , and then reevaluated after 3 months. They showed an improvement on a visual analog scale. The most recent similar account was a case report by Bell [7], where oral vitamin D supplementation, even in a type 1 diabetic patient, led to a dramatic improvement in neuropathic symptoms.

The main limitation of this study was the nonrandomization of the selection of patients. However, there were also several strengths: it was a prospective, placebo-controlled, double-blind study with a large sample. Two previously validated neuropathic scores, namely the NSS and NDS as primary outcome measures and an NCS as a secondary outcome measure, were used.

Conclusions

This study showed that short-term oral supplementation of vitamin D to correct a deficiency improved the symptoms of peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. We recommend conducting randomized, controlled trials in order to explore, prospectively, the issues of proper dosing as well as the route and duration of treatment, to confirm the efficacy and clinical benefits of vitamin D supplementation on DPN.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Dr. Mini Abraham Puliyelil for technical assistance.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- 1 Edwards JL, Vincent AM, Cheng HT, et al: Diabetic neuropathy: mechanisms to management. Pharmacol Ther 2008;120:1–34.
- 2 Chaychi L, Mackenzie T, Bilotta D, et al: Association of serum vitamin D level with diabetic polyneuropathy. Med Pract Rev 2011;2: 11–15.
- 3 Shehab D, Al-Jarallah K, Mojiminiyi OA, et al: Does vitamin D deficiency play a role in peripheral neuropathy in type 2 diabetes? Diabet Med 2012;29:43–49.
- 4 Soderstrom LH, Johnson SP, Diaz VA, et al: Association between vitamin D and diabetic neuropathy in a nationally representative sample: results from 2001–2004 NHANES. Diabet Med 2012;29:50–55.
- 5 Valensi P, Devehat C, Richard J, et al: A multicenter double blind, safety study of QR-333 for the treatment of symptomatic diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a preliminary report. J Diabetes Complications 2005;19:247–253.
- 6 Lee P, Chen R: Vitamin D as an analgesic for patients with type 2 diabetes and neuropathic pain. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:771–772.
- 7 Bell DS: Reversal of the symptoms of diabetic neuropathy through correction of vitamin D deficiency in a type 1 diabetic patient. Case Rep Endocrinol 2012;2012:165056.
- 8 Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2013. Diabetes Care 2013;36(suppl 1):S11–S66.

- 9 Young MJ, Boulton AJ, Macleod AF, et al: A multicenter study of the prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in the United Kingdom hospital clinic population. Diabetologia 1993;36:150–154.
- 10 Abbott CA, Carrington AL, Ashe H, et al: The North-West Diabetes Foot Care Study: incidence of, and risk factors for, new diabetic foot ulceration in a community-based patient cohort. Diabet Med 2002;19:377–384.
- 11 Malik RA, et al: Corneal confocal microscopy: a non-invasive surrogate of nerve fibre damage and repair in diabetic patients. Diabetologia 2003;46:683–688.
- 12 Kimura J: Principles of nerve conduction studies; in Kimura J (ed): Electrodiagnosis in Diseases of Nerve and Muscle: Principles and Practice, ed 2. Philadelphia, Davis, 1989, pp 78–102.
- Kimura J: Principles and pitfalls of nerve conduction studies. Ann Neurol 1984;16:415– 428.

- 14 Shehab D: Normative DATA of nerve conduction studies in the upper limb in Kuwait – are they different than the Western data? Med Princ Pract 1998;7:203–208.
- 15 Shehab D, Moussa MA: Normal values of lower limb nerve conduction in Kuwait. II. Med Princ Pract 1999;8:134–137.
- 16 Adams JS, Hewison M: Update in vitamin D. J Clin Endocr Metab 2010;95:471–478.
- 17 Holick MF, Binkley N, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al: Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:1911–1930.
- 18 Plotnikoff GA, Quigley JM: Prevalence of severe hypovitaminosis D in patients with persistent, nonspecific musculoskeletal pain. Mayo Clin Proc 2003;78:1463–1470.

- 19 Carlson AN, Kenny AM: Is vitamin D insufficiency associated with peripheral neuropathy? Endocrinologist 2007;17:319–325.
- 20 Neveu I, Jehan F, Wion D: Alteration in the levels of 1,25-(OH)2D₃ and corticosterone found in experimental diabetes reduces nerve growth factor (NGF) gene expression in vitro. Life Sci 1992;50:1769–1772.
- 21 Riaz S, Malcangio M, Miller M, et al: A vitamin D_3 derivative (CB1093) induces nerve growth factor and prevents neurotrophic deficits in streptozocinp diabetic rats. Diabetologia 1999;42:1308–1313.
- 22 Fukuoka M, Sakurai K, Ohta T, et al: Tacalcitol, an active vitamin D₃, induces nerve growth factor production in human epidermal keratinocytes. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 2001;14:226–233.
- 23 Anand P, Terenghi G, Warner G, et al: The role of endogenous nerve growth factor in human diabetic neuropathy. Nat Med 1996;6: 703–707.