

QTL mapping of pearl millet rust resistance using an integrated DArT- and SSR-based linkage map

Supriya Ambawat · Senapathy Senthilvel · Charles T. Hash · Thirunavukkarasu Nepolean · Vengaldas Rajaram · Kankanti Eshwar · Rajan Sharma · Ram P. Thakur · Veeranki P. Rao · Ram C. Yadav · Rakesh K. Srivastava

Received: 21 May 2015/Accepted: 2 March 2016/Published online: 16 March 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract Rust, caused by the fungus Puccinia substriata var. indica, is one of the most important production constraints of pearl millet worldwide, leading to grain yield losses of up to 76 % as well as major losses in fodder yield and quality. Here, we report the development of a linkage map integrating Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) markers and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, using this to identify quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for pearl millet rust resistance. Genotyping data from 256 DArT and 70 SSR markers on 168 F₇ recombinant inbred lines from cross $81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8$ were used to construct a linkage map comprised of 286 loci (229 DArT and 57 SSR markers) spanning a total length of 740.3 cM (Haldane) with an average adjacent marker distance of 2.7 cM. Linkage group 7 (LG7) (153.5 cM) was the longest and LG6 the shortest (45.0 cM). The map was used to identify a major QTL for rust resistance with an LOD score of 27 on LG1, which explained 58 % of the observed phenotypic variation. In addition, two putative modifiers of small effect were detected, one each on LG4 and LG7. The novel rust resistance QTL identified on LG1 is thought to confer a durable slow-rusting phenotype, which is still effective in India more than 20 years after it was first deployed in the previously popular single-cross hybrid MH 179 (ICMH 451). The flanking markers reported here provide a framework for marker-assisted selection and possible future map-based cloning of this resistance gene.

Keywords *Pennisetum glaucum* · Molecular markers · Diversity Arrays Technology · Linkage map · Rust resistance · QTL mapping

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Hyderabad, Telangana 502324, India e-mail: r.k.srivastava@cgiar.org

S. Ambawat · R. C. Yadav Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University (CCSHAU), Hisar, Haryana 125004, India S. Senthilvel Directorate of Oilseeds F

Directorate of Oilseeds Research (DOR), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500 030, India

C. T. Hash

T. Nepolean Division of Genetics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi 110 012, India

S. Ambawat · S. Senthilvel · C. T. Hash ·

T. Nepolean · V. Rajaram · K. Eshwar ·

R. Sharma · R. P. Thakur · V. P. Rao ·

R. K. Srivastava (🖂)

ICRISAT Sahelian Center, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), BP12404, Niamey, Niger

Introduction

Pearl millet, Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br. (2n = 2x = 14), also known as cattail millet, bulrush millet, candle millet, cumbu and bajra, is the sixth most important cereal following rice, wheat, maize, barley and sorghum. It is a C4 grass with the highest levels of tolerance to heat and drought among tropical cereals and is grown on more than 29 million ha in arid, semi-arid, subtropical and tropical regions of Asia, Africa and Latin America where it produces staple food grain and fodder. It is still sometimes regarded as an 'orphan' crop and has received relatively little attention from researchers outside of India compared to its potential contribution to humanity. There is a need to better understand the genetic basis of economically important traits in this crop and develop more efficient genomic tools for use in its cultivar development. Compared to better studied cereals such as rice, wheat, maize, barley and sorghum, there has been relatively little research on the development and application of molecular genetic tools for pearl millet (Liu et al. 1994, 1996, 1997; Jones et al. 1995, 2002; Busso et al. 1995, 2000; Burton and Wilson 1995; Morgan et al. 1998; Devos et al. 2000; Poncet et al. 2000, 2002; Allouis et al. 2001; Gale et al. 2001; Qi et al. 2001, 2004; Bhattacharjee et al. 2002; Breese et al. 2002; Yadav et al. 2002, 2003, 2004; Azhaguvel et al. 2003; Budak et al. 2003; vom Brocke et al. 2003; Bidinger et al. 2005, 2007; Hash and Witcombe 2001; Hash et al. 2003, 2006; Bertin et al. 2005; Mariac et al. 2006a, b, 2011; Gulia et al. 2007; Senthilvel et al. (2008); Saïdou et al. 2009, 2014a, b; Stich et al. 2010; Supriya et al. 2011; Kholová et al. 2012; Nepolean et al. 2012; Sehgal et al. 2012, 2015; Rajaram et al. 2013; Vengadessan et al. 2013; Kannan et al. 2014; Ramana Kumari et al. (2014); Aparna et al., 2015; Gemenet et al. 2015; Moumouni et al. 2015). The RFLP- and SSR-based genetic linkage maps developed so far for pearl millet provide less than optimal genome coverage and marker density (Liu et al. 1994; Qi et al. 2004; Gulia et al. 2007; Rajaram et al. 2013). A consensus map of 353 RFLP and 65 SSR markers was developed (Qi et al. 2004) by integrating genetic maps produced in four different crosses of pearl millet where 85 % of the markers are clustered and occupy less than one third of the total map length. Extreme localization of recombination is toward the chromosome ends, resulting in gaps on the genetic map of 30 cM or more in the distal regions (Devos et al. 2000; Qi et al. 2004). The unequal distribution of recombination has consequences for the transfer of genes controlling important agronomic traits from donor to elite pearl millet germplasm (Qi et al. 2004). To date, only approximately 200 PCR-compatible markers have been mapped in pearl millet (Morgan et al. 1998; Gale et al. 2001; Gulia et al. 2007; Rajaram et al. 2013). The length of published linkage maps so far ranges from 280 cM (Jones et al. 2002) to 675 cM (Senthilvel et al. 2008). Hence, there is a continuing need to fill the gaps in these maps, further saturate them and extend the portion of the mapped genome further into subtelomeric regions to facilitate further application of genomic tools for improvement of this species. DArT has the potential to generate hundreds of high-quality genomic dominant markers with a cost- and time-competitive trade-off (Kilian et al. 2005) and can be used for construction of high-density genetic linkage maps with even distribution of markers over the genome, which offer real advantages for a range of molecular breeding and genomic applications. Supriya et al. (2011) developed a DArT platform for pearl millet and used this for diversity analysis and high-density linkage map construction. Other new genotyping technologies capable of highly parallel analysis would represent a major step forward in this crop. Recently, another high-throughput and low-cost genotyping method named genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) has been developed and has proven its efficiency in other crops such as maize and barley (Elshire et al. 2011), sorghum (Nelson et al. 2011; Morris et al. 2013a, b; Lasky et al. 2015) and pearl millet (Moumouni et al. 2015).

Apart from grain, pearl millet is also important as a forage and stover crop (Anand Kumar 1989; Andrews and Kumar 1992). Its pre-flowering vegetative parts provide excellent forage because of their low hydrocyanic (HCN) acid content and high levels of protein, calcium, phosphorous and other minerals (Athwal and Gupta 1966). Sorghum is the main C4 forage, having potential for toxic levels of HCN, which are hazardous to livestock when fed green. In contrast, pearl millet forage (and grain) has low levels of cyanogenic glucosides, but juvenile plants can accumulate nitrates at levels that are dangerous for livestock and when stressed are known to accumulate oxalates to levels that make the forage unpalatable (Anand Kumar 1989; Andrews and Kumar, 1992). Lack of adequate foliar disease resistance can dramatically reduce the livestock feed value of pearl millet green forage, hay, silage or crop residues remaining after harvest of a pearl millet grain crop (Monson et al. 1986; Wilson et al. 1991). Among the various foliar diseases of economic importance in pearl millet such as downy mildew (Sclerospora graminicola (Sacc.) J. Schroet.), rust (Puccinia substriata var. penicillariae (Speg.) Ramachar & Cumm.) and blast (Pyricularia grisea (Cke.) Sacc.), rust, caused by the fungus P. substriata var. penicillariae (de Carvalho et al. 2006), is the most important forage production constraint for this crop worldwide, leading to losses of up to 76 % in grain production and major losses in fodder yield and quality (Wilson et al. 1996) as well as substantial reductions in biomass yield and quality when pearl millet is grown as the mulch component of minimum tillage systems in Brazil (de Carvalho et al. 2006). Visual effects of this rust are severe, ranging from death of young plants from early infection to premature desiccation and/or death of leaves with later infection. Green yield, dry-matter yield and forage quality as measured by in vitro digestibility are negatively correlated with rust severity (Monson et al. 1986; Wilson et al. 1991, 1996). Therefore, improving pearl millet rust resistance to reduce yield and quality losses has become a high priority for breeders in regions where this disease is prevalent. Although resistance to rust has been reported in some pearl millet germplasm accessions and breeding lines (Rao and Rao 1983; Wilson 1993a; Singh et al. 1997), identification of new physiological races of the pathogen (Wilson 1991, 1993b; Tapsoba and Wilson 1996) suggests that continuous evaluation of new sources of resistance is required. Rust resistance has been reported to be conferred by a single dominant gene and susceptibility by its recessive allele (Andrews et al. 1985; Hanna et al. 1985; Wilson 1993a), with several different sources of major gene and quantitative resistance having been identified and exploited (Rao and Rao 1983; Singh et al. 1987, 1990; Singh 1990; Wilson 1993a, 2006; Wilson et al. 1994, 2001). Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping is a highly effective approach for studying genetically complex forms of plant disease resistance. Morgan et al. (1998) used a combination of RAPD and RFLP markers to map the Rr1 gene from wild pearl millet (P. glaucum spp. monodii) to linkage group 3 (LG3); however, this major gene resistance was overcome by the pathogen population in the southeastern USA soon after its deployment in forage and grain hybrids following its backcross transfer to elite hybrid seed parent maintainer background Tift 85D2A1/85D2B1 (Hanna et al. 1987; Wilson 1993b; Wilson et al. 1994, 1996). Hash et al. (2003) suggested quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and marker-assisted selection (MAS) for stover yield, foliar disease resistance and in vitro estimates of the nutritive value of various stover fractions for ruminants in pearl millet and sorghum as ways to improve the economic value of residues of these crops that are available following grain harvest. In addition to this, QTL mapping of downy mildew resistance (Jones et al. 1995, 2002; Hash and Witcombe 2001; Breese et al. 2002; Gulia et al. 2007), rust and blast resistance (Morgan et al. 1998), drought tolerance (Yadav et al. 2002, 2004; Bidinger et al. 2007; Kholová et al. 2012; Sehgal et al. 2012, 2015; Aparna et al. 2015) and the association of flowering time with the genotype \times environment interaction of grain and stover yield (Yadav et al. 2003) has been done. However, so far there are no reports on the identification and mapping of rust resistance QTLs in pearl millet that are effective in Asia or Africa. This article reports the development of an integrated high-density genetic linkage map based on DArT and SSR markers that has been used for mapping QTLs for pearl millet rust resistance that is effective in India.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A mapping population of 168 F_7 RILs derived from cross 81B-P6 × ICMP 451-P8 was used to construct an integrated DArT + SSR based linkage map and was screened for rust resistance.

DNA extraction and quantification

Pot-grown pearl millet seedlings grown under greenhouse conditions at ICRISAT- Patancheru were used. The youngest 3–5 leaves were taken, and DNA was extracted using the SDS-potassium acetate method (Dellaporta et al. 1983). DNA quantification was done by agarose gel electrophoresis (0.8 %), and it was

further diluted to 50 ng/ μ l for DArT and 5 ng/ μ l for SSR genotyping.

Genotyping of individual DNA samples using DArT array

One hundred sixty-eight F_7 RILs of the mapping population were used individually to prepare the genomic representations using the same complexity reduction method used for library construction (*PstI/Ban*II), and genotyping was done as described in Supriya et al. (2011).

Genotyping with Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs)

PCR using SSR markers

A set of 30 genic and genomic SSRs (27 fluorescently labeled SSRs and 3 M13-labeled SSRs) (Table 1) was screened using the two parental lines and 168 F₇ RILs. Multiplex PCR was carried out to amplify SSRs. For M13-labeled primers a three-primer strategy was used with a 1:15:15 ratio for the forward primer with an M13 tail, regular reverse primer and universal fluorescent-labeled M13 primer, respectively. PCR reactions were carried out in 5 µl reactions containing 1X PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 pm primers, 0.2 mM dNTPs and 0.2 U Taq polymerase (NEB, UK). Touchdown PCR was performed using the following program: 94 °C for 3 min and five cycles of touchdown at 94 °C for 1 min, 56 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 51 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min and final extension at 72 °C for 20 min.

Analysis of PCR products on the ABI 3730 DNA analyzer

Two μ l of PCR product was taken from each marker of the multiplex set (markers labeled with different dyes) and pooled together for simultaneous detection of the amplified alleles. Seven μ l of formamide and 0.2 μ l of fragment-size standard GeneScanTM 500 LIZ were added to the pooled PCR product and run on an ABI 3730 DNA genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The data were collected automatically by the detection of the different fluorescences and analyzed using GeneMapper v4.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

Linkage map construction

The scores of all polymorphic DArT and SSR markers were converted into genotype codes ('A', 'B') according to the scores of the parents. Data for 40 polymorphic ICRISAT pearl millet EST stress (IPES) EST-SSR markers (Rajaram et al. 2013) were also added prior to linkage map construction, and linkage groups were obtained using JoinMap (Stam 1993) at logarithm of odds (LOD) threshold values ranging from 2 to 10. The order of markers in each linkage group was finalized by RECORD software (van Os et al. 2005) and the Haldane mapping function. The graphical representation of the map was drawn using MapChart software (Voorrips 2002). DArT markers were named with the prefix "PgPb" where 'Pg' stands for P. glaucum, 'P' for PstI (primary restriction enzyme used) and 'b' for BanII (secondary restriction enzyme used) followed by numbers corresponding to unique clone ID following Supriya et al. (2011).

Phenotyping and QTL mapping for rust resistance

Greenhouse screening for rust resistance

Seed of susceptible check entries (ICMB 89111 and ICMB 06222) and resistant (ICML 11 and ICMP 451) and 167 F7 RILs segregating for rust resistance from the cross 81B-P6 (susceptible) \times ICMP 451-P8 (resistant) were sown in pots (15 seeds/pot) filled with a sterilized soil-sand-farmyard manure (FYM) mix (2:1:1 by volume) and placed in a completely randomized design in a greenhouse maintained at 35 °C. The experiment was conducted with four replications, and there were two pots per replicate for each entry. Pots were watered daily, and seedlings were thinned to ten plants/pot. Twelve days after germination, when the seedlings were at the third leaf stage, they were spray-inoculated with an aqueous urediniospores suspension ($\approx 1.0 \times 10^5$ urediniospores ml⁻¹) of *P. substriata* (spores were collected from the Pathology Section, ICRISAT-Patancheru) and exposed to high humidity (>90 % RH) under misting. Rust severity was recorded 10 days after inoculation using the modified Cobb's rating scale for the percentage of infected leaf area within each pot (Thakur et al. 2011).

Table 1	Pearl millet St	SR markers	s used for genotypin	g of 168 F_7 RILs derived from cross (81B-	$P6 \times ICMP 451-P8)$		
Sample no.	Marker locus	Size (bp)	Repeat motif	Forward primer sequence (5'-3')	Reverse primer sequence $(5'-3')$	References	Linkage group
1	Xctm10	180–200	(CT)22	GAGGCAAAAGTGGAAGACAG	TTGATTCCCGGTTCTATCGA	Budak et al. (2003)	LG3
7	Xctm12	310–340	(CT)12	GTTGCAAGCAGGAGTAGATCGA	CGCTCTGTAGGTTGAACTCCTT	Budak et al. (2003)	LG1
б	Xctm25	255–280	(CT)34	GCGAAGTAGAACACCGCGCT	GCACTTCCTCGCCGTCA	Budak et al. (2003)	
4	Xpsmp2031	180 - 200	(CCA)3(TCC)3	CACATCCGCAAGAGACACCCAAAT	TTTGGGGGGGGTGTAGGTTTTGTTG	Qi et al. (2004)	
5	Xpsmp2069	210-230	(CA)26	CCCATCTGAAATCTGGCTGAGAA	CCGTGTTCGTACATGGTTTTGC	Qi et al. (2001)	LG1
9	Xpsmp2080	155-190	(AC)14	CAGAATCCCCACATCTGCAT	TGCAACTGAGCGAAGATCAA	Qi et al. (2004)	LG1
7	Xpsmp2089	110-130	(AC)15	TTCGCCGCTGCTACATACTT	TGTGCATGTTGCTGGTCATT	Qi et al. (2004)	
8	Xpsmp2208	230–300	(GT)10	GAAGGAGCAAACTGAACAATCCC	ACTTTGCCCTGGATGATCCTC	Qi et al. (2001)	LG5
9	Xpsmp2219	210-280	(GT)7	ACTGATGGAATCTGCTGTGGAA	GCCCGAAGAAAGAGAGAACATAGAA	Qi et al. (2001)	LG5
10	Xpsmp2225	220-240	(GT)12	CCGTACTGATGATACTGATGGTT	TGGGAGGTAAGCTCAGTAGTGT	Qi et al. (2001)	LG2
11	Xpsmp2227	175–190	(GT)7	ACACCAAACACCAACCATAAAG	TCGTCAGCAATCACTAATGACC	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG3
12	Xpsmp2229	220–280	(GT)5	CCACTACCTTCGTCTTCCTCCATTC	GTCCGTTCCGTTAGTTGTTGCC	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG3, LG5 and LG7
13	Xpsmp2231	210-235	(TG)12GG(TA)4	TTGCCTGAAGACGTGCAATCGTCC	CTTAATGCGTCTAGAGAGTTAAGTTG	Qi et al. (2001)	LG2
14	Xpsmp2232	220–240	(TG)8	TGTTGTTGGGAGGGGGGTATGAG	CTCTCGCCATTCTTCAAGTTCA	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG2
15	Xpsmp2236	210–235	(TG)4(GT)4	ATAAGTGGGACCCACATGCAGCAC	CGAAAGACTAGCAAAATTGCGCCTTC	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG7
16	Xpsmp2237	245-265	(GT)8	TGGCCTTGGCCTTTCCACGCTT	CAATCAGTCCGTAGTCCACACCCCA	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG2
17	Xpsmp2248	145–165	(TG)10	TCTGTTTGTTTGGGTCAGGTCCTTC	CGAATACGTATGGAGAACTGCGCATC		
18	Xpsmp2249	115-160	(GT)7imperfect	CAGTCTCTAACAAACAACACGGC	GACAGCAACCAACTCCAAACTCCA	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG3
19	Xpsmp2251	140–200	(TG)6	TCAAACATAGATATGCCGTGCCTCC	CAGCAAGTCGTGAGGTTCGGATA	Allouis et al. (2001)	
20	Xpsmp2255	255-300	(TG)34	CATCTAAACACCAACCAATCTTGAAC	TGGCACTCTTAAATTGACGCAT	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG6
21	Xpsmp2261	165–190	(GA)16	AATGAAAATCCATCCCATTTCGCC	CGAGGACGAGGGGGGGGATT	Allouis et al. (2001)	

🖄 Springer

Table 1	continued						
Sample no.	Marker locus	Size (bp)	Repeat motif	Forward primer sequence (5'-3')	Reverse primer sequence $(5'-3')$	References	Linkage group
22	Xpsmp2266	180-200	(GA)17	CAAGGATGGCTGAAGGGCTATG	TTTCCAGCCCACACCAGTAATC	Allouis et al. (2001)	
23	Xpsmp2270	130-155	(GA)26imperfect	AACCAGAGAAGTACATGGCCCG	CGACGAACAAATTAAGGCTCTC		
24	Xpsmp2273	140 - 160	(GA)12	AACCCCACCAGTAAGTTGTGCTGC	GATGACGACAAGACCTTCTCTCC	Allouis et al. (2001)	LG1
25	Xpsmp2275	260–290	(GTT)10	CCAGTGCCTGCATTCTTGGC3	GCATCGAATACTTCATCTCA	KM Devos (pers. comm)	TG6
26	Xpsmp2085	155-170	(AC)11	GCACATCATCTCTATAGTATGCAG	GCATCCGTCATCAGGAAATAA	Qi et al. (2004)	LG4
27	Xicmp3027	185–210	(GAT)6	ACACCATCACCGACAACAAA	AGTGACCTGGGGTACAGACG	Senthilvel et al. (2008)	LG5
28	Xicmp3032	180–200	(GCT)8(ACAT)3	AGGTAGCCGAGGAAGGTGAG	CAACAGCATCAAGCAGGAGA	Senthilvel et al. (2008)	LG1
29	Xicmp3050	195–215	(TA)8	ATGTCCAGTGTTGACGGTGA	CGGGGAAGAGACAGGCTACT	Senthilvel et al. (2008)	LG6
30	Xicmp3088	150–175	(TCC)8	TCAGGTGGAGATCGATGTTG	TTACGGGAGGATGAGGATG	Senthilvel et al. (2008)	LG1

Statistical analysis of disease severity data

Statistical analysis was performed using Genstat 12th edition from Rothamsted, UK. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using a completely randomized design, and the mean of rust severity %, S.E., C.V. and heritability were calculated.

QTL analysis

For QTL mapping, the linkage map constructed with marker data from 146 F_7 RILs derived from the cross (81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8) was used. The entry means of raw data scored for resistance percentage were used for QTL analysis, which was performed by composite interval mapping (CIM) with PlabQTL (Utz and Melchinger 1996) using a LOD of 2.5 as the threshold value for QTL significance.

Results

Genotyping using DArT array and SSRs

After screening a mapping population of 168 F₇ RILs from the cross 81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8, 256 polymorphic clones (DArT markers) were identified in a total of 7680 clones (3.3 % of polymorphic clones) on the array. The call rate ranged from 80.3 to 98.4 % with an average of 89.5 %, and the scoring reproducibility was 100 %. The DArT markers used displayed high polymorphism information content (PIC) values, ranging from 0.27 to 0.50 with an average of 0.46. The P and Q values, which are measurements of variation, were calculated as described by Storey and Tibshirani (2003), and across individuals they ranged from 65.1to 92.9 % (average 81.3 %) and 64.8 to 92.5 % (average 80.9 %), respectively. Out of 30 SSR primer pairs (Table 1) used for capillary electrophoretic separation of fluorescent-labeled PCR products, 25 SSRs (83.3 %) detected reliably scorable polymorphism.

Genetic linkage mapping

To assemble the linkage map, 326 polymorphic markers (256 DArT and 70 SSRs) were used. Out of these, 286 loci (229 DArT markers and 57 SSRs) were distributed across the expected 7 linkage groups using LOD thresholds ranging from 2 to 10 and a

recombination frequency (r) threshold <0.4 using JoinMap, and 40 markers (27 DArTs and 13 SSRs) remained unlinked, probably because of the extremely high recombination rates observed in subtelomeric regions of pearl millet chromosomes (Devos et al. 2000). The order of markers in each linkage group was finalized using RECORD software. The map built with JoinMap was inflated by 47 % when compared with that built using RECORD. Markers violating map stability were removed, and linkage groups were reanalyzed to construct a stabilized map, which spanned a total length of 740.3 cM (Haldane) (Fig. 1a, b) with an average adjacent-marker distance of 2.7 cM, and an average density of 0.39 markers/cM. The total number of mapped loci per linkage group ranged from 23 on LG6 to 59 on LG2, and the average was 40.9 loci/ LG. The longest individual linkage group map was for LG7 (153.5 cM), the shortest was for LG6 (45.0 cM), and the average LG length was 105.8 cM. The density of markers on the individual linkage groups ranged from 0.29 markers/cM on LG5 to 0.51 markers/cM on LG6. Map distances between two consecutive markers varied from 0 to 21 cM, and 263 of the 279 intervals (94.3 %) were <10 cM. There were only 16 intervals (5.7 %) larger than 10 cM, and the largest gap between markers was observed on LG7 (21.0 cM). Many DArT markers were present as clusters in subtelomeric regions (e.g., the top of LG1) (Fig. 1a). Of the 286 markers placed on the genetic map, 54 were distributed on LG1, 59 on LG2, 35 on LG3, 42 on LG4, 27 on LG5, 23 on LG6 and 46 on LG7 (Table 2).

Significant segregation distortion from the expected 1:1 Mendelian ratios was found for 124 (38.0 %) out of 326 markers genotyped across these 146 RILs. Sixty markers (18.4 %) showed distortion in favor of the 81B-P6 allele, whereas 64 (19.6 %) showed distortion in favor of the ICMP 451-P8 allele. Of the 286 markers mapped, 118 (41.2 %) showed distorted segregation with 57 markers (19.9 %) showing distortion in favor of the 81B-P6 allele and 61 (21.3 %) in favor of the ICMP 451-P8 allele. Distorted markers (Fig. 1a, b) favoring the 81B-P6 alleles were found primarily on LG2 (49 out of 59 markers mapping to this group), LG3 and LG6, while those favoring the ICMP 451-P8 alleles were mapped on LG1, LG3, LG4 (41 out of 42 markers mapping to this group), LG5 and LG7. LG3 showed skewed markers favoring alleles from both parents in different portions of the linkage group.

QTL identification for rust resistance

General statistics

Rust severity (%) in the test lines ranged from 0 to 95 % in the RILs derived from the cross (81B- $P6 \times ICMP$ 451-P8). Highly significant differences were detected by ANOVA between individual RIL progenies. Mean rust severity (%) was calculated for each RIL using the data from four replications, and it ranged from 0.25 to 89.38 % (grand mean 35.0 %) with an operational heritability (repeatability) of 99 %, SE_m of 2.5 % and CV of 7.1 %. Parental line ICMP 451-P8 was resistant and exhibited mild symptoms in a few replications with a mean rust severity of 4.6 %, while parental line 81B-P6 was highly susceptible recording 77.8 % severity. Among various control entries, ICML 11 was moderately resistant (10.6 % rust severity), ICMB 89111 (55.4 %) was susceptible, and ICMB 06222 (83.5 %) was highly susceptible. Of the 167 RILs, 32 were resistant ($\leq 10\%$ severity), 18 moderately resistant (11-20 % severity), 73 moderately susceptible (21-50 % severity) and 40 susceptible (51–75 % severity), and the remaining four lines were highly susceptible (>75 % severity), as shown in the histogram in Fig. 2.

QTL mapping

QTL analysis was performed with PlabQTL using the integrated DArT-SSR genetic map. A major QTL with a LOD value of 27 (Fig. 3) was mapped near the top of the LG1 (Fig. 1), explaining 58 % of the observed phenotypic variation in rust reaction of the RIL progenies (Table 3). At this locus the allele of resistant parent ICMP 451-P8 conferred resistance. In addition to this major QTL, two modifiers were also detected, one each on LG4 and LG7, explaining 9.0 and 8.3 % of the observed phenotypic variation, respectively (Table 3). The favorable allele for the LG4 modifier was inherited from susceptible parent 81B-P6, whereas that for the LG7 modifier was inherited from ICMP 451-P8.

Discussion

DArT technology enabled identification of many markers with relatively high polymorphism content [i.e., 256 polymorphic DArT markers having 100 %



◄ Fig. 1 a Linkage groups LG 1 thru LG 4 of the genetic linkage map for the (81B-P6 × ICMP 451-P8)-based pearl millet RIL population. Map distances (Haldane cM) and marker names are shown on the *left* and *right side* of each linkage group, respectively. SSR markers are *underlined*, and DArT marker names begin with the prefix PgPb. Markers that showed distorted segregation are shown in *italics*. QTL positions for rust resistance are shown on LG1 and LG4. b Linkage groups LG 5 thru LG 7 of the genetic linkage map for the (81B-P6 × ICMP 451-P8)-based pearl millet RIL population. Map distances (Haldane cM) and marker names are shown on the *left* and *right side* of each linkage group, respectively. SSR markers are *underlined*, and DArT marker names are shown on the *left* and *right side* of each linkage group, respectively. SSR markers are *underlined*, and DArT marker names are shown on the *left* and *right side* of each linkage group, respectively. SSR markers are *underlined*, and DArT marker names begin with the prefix PgPb. Markers that showed distorted segregation are shown in *italics*. QTL position for rust resistance is shown on LG7

repeatability were segregating in the (81B- $P6 \times ICMP 451-P8$)-derived mapping population] in a cost-effective manner in comparison to SSRs. Thus, the pearl millet DArT platform proved useful for application in genome-wide screening for QTL discovery. It can also be expected to prove useful for recurrent parent background recovery in markerassisted backcrossing, for isolation of genes via mapbased cloning, for comparative mapping and for genome organization studies. The availability of better-saturated molecular maps that are achievable using DArT, GBS-SNPs and other approaches will certainly provide breeders and geneticists with a much-wanted tool to identify various genomic regions of interest, which in turn will increase the efficiency of marker-assisted breeding (Moumouni et al. 2015).

A well-saturated pearl millet genetic linkage map was constructed spanning 740.3 cM with an average adjacent-marker distance of 2.7 cM and smaller marker intervals than any previously constructed maps with RFLPs and/or SSRs. The high level of genome coverage achieved in this map will be particularly useful to select markers for use in whole-genome breeding strategies and to saturate genomic regions of interest in other mapping populations. The distribution of markers was reasonably uniform including the distal regions of all chromosome arms because of inclusion of DArT and EST-SSRs. These markers typically show improved genome coverage compared to anonymous (non-coding) SSRs or AFLPs, which are characteristically clustered around the centromeric regions (Ramsay et al. 2000). The processes used to develop each type of marker accounts for this difference in genome coverage. Anonymous SSRs are usually developed from random genomic libraries in which microsatellites located in the heterochromatic regions are overrepresented (Röder et al. 1998), and the development of EST-SSRs from genic regions reduces the representation of regions that are rich in repetitive DNA (Parida et al. 2006).

In this study, a high proportion of DArT markers showed clustering in distal regions of several of the 14 chromosome arms, and such clustering of DArT markers was more frequent than that of SSRs, which was expected as DArT markers were over four times more abundant than the SSRs in the data sets (and the SSRs included both genic and genomic SSRs), and the endonuclease *PstI* was used in the preparation of the reduced representation libraries used for pearl millet DArT clone development. It appears that DArT markers prepared using *PstI* may have a stronger tendency than genomic SSR and AFLP markers in particular to map to such gene-rich regions (Vuylsteke et al. 1999), which may be due to use of the methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme *PstI* in the complexity reduction of

Linkage group	DArT marker loci	SSR marker loci	Total marker loci	Length (cM)	Adjacent-marker interval (cM)	Density (markers/cM)
LG1	40	14	54	128.0	2.42	0.42
LG2	49	10	59	118.5	2.04	0.50
LG3	28	7	35	69.6	2.05	0.50
LG4	36	6	42	133.6	3.26	0.31
LG5	21	6	27	92.1	3.54	0.29
LG6	15	8	23	45.0	2.05	0.51
LG7	40	6	46	153.5	3.41	0.30
Total	229	57	286	740.3	2.65	0.39

Table 2 Linkage group details of the DArT- and SSR-based genetic map for a pearl millet RIL population based on cross (81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8)

Fig. 2 Frequency distribution of rust severity (%) among F_7 RIL progenies from the pearl millet cross (81B-P6 × ICMP 451-P8)



the initial library. The occurrence of DArT marker clusters in distal regions of chromosome arms was observed in previous DArT mapping studies on barley (Wenzl et al. 2004) and wheat (Akbari et al. 2006; Semagn et al. 2006). Similar clustering in distal regions was also found in tetraploid wheat using PstI-based AFLP markers (Peng et al. 2000), which is related to the trend of PstI-based markers to map in gene-rich, hypomethylated regions of the genome (Langridge and Chalmers 1998; Moore 2000), although it could also be a consequence of the presence of redundant clones on the arrayed genomic representation (Semagn et al. 2006). Almost all types of markers illustrate clustering around centromeres due to centromeric suppression of recombination (Tanksley et al. 1992; Korol et al. 1994). The high proportion of DArT markers clustering away from the centromeres may therefore be indicative of gene-rich regions, and it is an additional advantage of DArT markers as they can be helpful for fine mapping of genes/QTLs residing in gene-rich regions, thereby facilitating positional cloning. Of course, genotyping-by-sequencing SNPs identified using reduced representation libraries constructed using PstI will have similar advantages. However, DArT marker data sets have inherently lower frequencies of missing data points than do GBS-SNP data sets unless higher than normal sequencing densities are used for GBS.

The marker orders of SSRs from the present study were compared with those from maps based on SSRs only (Rajaram et al. 2013) and were almost identical except for swapping of some marker orders within several blocks on a few linkage groups (data not shown). Such differences in marker order among genetic maps is not unexpected, as genetic mapping only gives an indication of the relative positions and genetic distances of the markers to each other (Sourdille et al. 2004), and structural rearrangements of chromosomes are relatively common in pearl millet (Varshney et al. submitted). Moreover, inconsistency in the map position of these few SSRs could be explained by the presence of closely linked DArT loci. The order of loci was also compared with an integrated DArT-SSR pearl millet map based on cross (H 77/ $833-2 \times PRLT 2/89-33$ (Supriva et al. 2011), which was also very similar with limited levels of marker position swapping. Seventy-eight markers representing all seven linkage groups of pearl millet were mapped in both populations, which will permit the development of a better-saturated pearl millet consensus linkage map combining DArT and SSR markers. In this study, segregation distortion was observed for 38 % of the total marker loci analyzed, which is similar to the report of Supriya et al. (2011).

The high-density linkage map for the RIL population based on cross $81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8$ was 30 25 20

15 10 5

0

-5

3.5

e 2.5 -2.5 -2 -0 -0 -0 -

3

3

2.5

-OD Score

LOD score



Fig. 3 Logarithm of odds (LOD) profiles for LG1, LG4 and LG7 for rust resistance QTLs segregating in the (81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8)-based pearl millet RIL population. The

50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160

Position (cM)

40

successfully used to identify QTLs for rust resistance, and this is the first report on QTL mapping for rust resistance in pearl millet from India. The only prior report of rust resistance mapping (Morgan et al. 1998) reported QTLs for resistance to pathogen populations present in the southeastern USA, and these mapped to LG3 and LG4. In contrast, in the present study a major QTL effective against an Indian population of *P. substriata* was detected on LG 1, along with two QTL modifiers (one each on LG4 and LG7), explaining 58 % of the observed phenotypic variation in rust reaction among the RIL progenies (Table 3). Highly significant differences detected by ANOVA between individual progenies and high operational heritability

horizontal line across each graph indicates the threshold level (LOD = 2.5) used for QTL identification

of 0.99 demonstrated that resistance was segregating in the population and that much of the observed variation in the rust reaction phenotype was attributable to genetic variation. The host rust reaction was continuously distributed in the population (Fig. 2). However, this does not necessarily imply that the inheritance of rust reaction is complex and that many genes are segregating. In fact, as the frequency distribution of the RILs showed two peaks, it was anticipated that a large portion of the variation would prove to be attributable to a single genomic region of large effect, and this was indeed the outcome of the QTL analysis. Andrews et al. (1985), Hanna et al. (1985), and Wilson (1993a) have previously reported

Linkage group	Flanking markers	Position (cM)	LOD	Variance explained (%)	Additive effect	Inheritance
LG1	PgPb9412- PgPb7328	8.0	27.30	57.8	16.9	Major QTL; ICMP 451-P8 allele contributes to resistance
LG4	PgPb12440- PgPb10793	38.0	2.97	9.0	-1.1	QTL modifier; 81B-P6 allele contributes to resistance
LG7	PgPb12801- Xpsmp2236	68.0	2.73	8.3	2.6	QTL modifier; ICMP 451-P8 allele contributes to resistance

Table 3 Summary of QTLs for pearl millet rust resistance detected using PlabQTL and data from RILs derived from cross (81B-P6 \times ICMP 451-P8)

that pearl millet rust resistance is conferred by single dominant genes (individually named Rpp1, Rr1, Rr2 and Rr3, respectively) and susceptibility by their recessive alleles. The major rust resistance gene mapped in the present study is also expected to be genetically dominant, although this was not tested. Further, unlike the Rr_1 gene reported by Hanna et al. (1985), it has proven durable, as it is still effective >20 years after its initial large-scale deployment in India in 1986 in dual-purpose pearl millet hybrid ICMH 451 (MH 179) = $81A \times ICMP$ 451 (i.e., a commercial hybrid having the same nuclear genotype as the F1 from which the RIL population used in the present study was generated). This study will help to assess the role of this rust resistance locus in providing a framework for MAS and positional cloning of resistance genes in pearl millet.

The results obtained from the present study indicate that DArT provides high-quality markers that can be used to construct medium-density genetic linkage maps for plants even when no sequence information is available. The development of a reasonably wellsaturated genetic linkage map of the RIL population could be useful for precise and fine QTL mapping as compared to earlier studies based on SSRs only. It is anticipated that this DArT array will also prove useful for background genotyping in marker-assisted backcrossing programs to speed up recovery of elite recurrent parent genetic backgrounds on genomic regions outside that targeted for introgression of donor parent alleles. The rust resistance locus identified on LG1 is a novel report (although its presence there was previously suspected, as it often accompanies markerassisted introgression of a downy mildew resistance QTL that maps to the same chromosome arm of mapping population parent ICMP 451-P6, which was used as a donor in marker-assisted breeding of the male parent of pearl millet hybrid "HHB 67 Improved" (Hash et al. 2006) and will be useful for providing a framework for more effective MAS and cloning of such resistance genes. An additional advantage is that DArT clones can be sequenced readily and thus provide information for their conversion into PCR-based markers (Fiust et al. 2015). This can be advantageous in cases when there are not yet any inexpensively assayed markers closely flanking a potential target QTL that could be used in foreground selection for the favorable allele. In addition, in comparison with a DArT assay, the other highly parallel genotyping tool available is GBS and SNP. This approach has also been proven to be significantly efficient while not requiring any prior marker discovery work in the form of array development. Moumouni et al. (2015) demonstrated the usefulness of such a GBS approach to quickly produce a genetic map densely populated with SNP markers for pearl millet. They further reported that GBS can rapidly and efficiently provide high-quality, codominant SNP markers that can be used to construct densely populated genetic maps even in the absence of a reference genome, which will certainly be helpful for breeders and geneticists. The host plant resistance QTLs detected in the present study are likely to have longer economic life spans if deployed in heterogenous cultivars such as those created using a multiline approach (Witcombe and Hash, 2000; Hash and Witcombe 2002) or dynamic multiline approach (Wilson et al. 2001).

Acknowledgments This study was supported by the Generation Challenge Programme (GCP) of Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) and Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India. We thank DArT P/L, Australia, for providing the technical knowhow, software and helpful discussion. The authors also

acknowledge the help provided by the pathology and pearl millet breeding staff at ICRISAT-Patancheru during greenhouse screening. This work was published as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Dryland Cereals. ICRISAT is a member of the CGIAR Consortium.

References

- Akbari M, Wenzl P, Caig V, Carling J, Xia L, Yang S, Uszynski G, Mohler V, Lehmensiek A, Kuchel H, Hayden MJ, Howes N, Sharp P, Vaughan P, Rathmell B, Huttner E, Kilian A (2006) Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) for high throughput profiling of hexaploid wheat genome. Theor Appl Genet 113:1409–1420
- Allouis S, Qi X, Lindup S, Gale MD, Devos KM (2001) Construction of a BAC library of pearl millet, *Pennisetum* glaucum. Theor Appl Genet 102:1200–1205
- Anand Kumar K (1989) Pearl millet: current status and future outlook. Outlook Agric 18:46–53
- Andrews DJ, Kumar KA (1992) Pearl millet for food, feed and forage. Adv Agron 48:89–139
- Andrews DJ, Rai KN, Singh SD (1985) A single dominant gene for rust resistance in pearl millet. Crop Sci 25:565–566
- Aparna K, Nepolean T, Srivastava RK, Kholová J, Rajaram V, Kumar S, Rekha B, Sl Senthilve, Hash CT, Vadez V (2015)
 Quantitative trait loci associated with constitutive traits controlling water use in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. Plant Biol 17(5):1073–1084. doi:10.1111/plb. 12343
- Athwal DS, Gupta VP (1966) Genetic evaluation of grain and fodder quality of *Pennisetum*. Annual research report 1965–1966. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana
- Azhaguvel P, Hash CT, Rangasamy P, Sharma A (2003) Mapping the d_1 and d_2 dwarfing genes and purple foliage color locus *P* in pearl millet. J Hered 94:155–159
- Bertin I, Zhu JH, Gale MD (2005) SSCP-SNP in pearl millet-a new marker system for comparative genetics. Theor Appl Genet 110:1467–1472
- Bhattacharjee R, Bramel PJ, Hash CT, Kolesnikova-Allen MA, Khairwal IS (2002) Assessment of genetic diversity within and between pearl millet landraces. Theor Appl Genet 105:666–673
- Bidinger FR, Serraj R, Rizvi SMH, Howarth C, Yadav RS, Hash CT (2005) Field evaluation of drought tolerance QTL effects on phenotype and adaptation in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] topcross hybrids. Field Crops Res 94:14–32
- Bidinger FR, Nepolean T, Hash CT, Yadav RS, Howarth CJ (2007) Quantitative trait loci for grain yield in pearl millet under variable post flowering moisture conditions. Crop Sci 47:969–980
- Breese WA, Hash CT, Devos KM, Howarth CJ (2002) Pearl millet genomics—an overview with respect to breeding for resistance to downy mildew. In: Leslie JF (ed) Sorghum and millets pathology 2000. Iowa State Press, Ames, pp 243–246
- Budak H, Pedraza F, Cregan PB, Baenzinger PS, Dweikat I (2003) Development and utilization of SSRs to estimate the degree of genetic relationships in a collection of pearl millet germplasm. Crop Sci 43:2284–2290

- Burton GW, Wilson JP (1995) Identification and transfer of heterotic chromosome blocks for forage yield in short-day exotic pearl millet landraces. Crop Sci 35:1184–1187
- Busso CS, Liu CJ, Hash CT, Witcombe JR, Devos KM, de Wet JMJ, Gale MD (1995) Analysis of recombination rate in female and male gametogenesis in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) using RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 90:242–246
- Busso CS, Devos KM, Ross G, Mortimore M, Adams WM, Ambrose MJ, Alldrick S, Gale MD (2000) Genetic diversity within and among lanfraces of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under farmer management in West Africa. Genet Resour Crop Evol 47:561–568
- de Carvalho AO, Soares DJ, do Carmo MGF, da Costa ACT, Pimentel C (2006) Description o the life-cycle of the pearl millet rust fungus—*Puccinia substriata* var. *penicillariae* with a proposal of reducing var. indica to a synonym. Mycopathologia 161:331–336
- Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Rept 1:19–21
- Devos KM, Pittaway TS, Reynolds M, Gale MD (2000) Comparative mapping reveals a complex relationship between the pearl millet genome and those of foxtail millet and rice. Theor Appl Genet 100:190–198
- Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q, Poland JA, Kawamoto K, Buckler ES, Mitchell SE (2011) A robust, simple genotyping- by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS One 6(5):e19379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0019379
- Fiust A, Rapacz M, Wójcik-Jagła M, Tyrka M (2015) Development of DArT-based PCR markers for selecting droughttolerant spring barley. J Appl Genet 56:299–309. doi:10. 1007/s13353-015-0273-x
- Gale MD, Devos KM, Zhu JH, Allouis S, Couchman MS, Liu H, Pittaway TS, Qi XQ, Kolesnikova-Allen M, Hash CT (2001) New molecular marker technologies for pearl millet improvement. Int Sorghum Millets Newsl 42:16–22
- Gemenet DC, Leiser WL, Zangre RG, Angarawai II, Sanogo MD, Sy O, Mahendar T, Hash CT, Haussmann BIG (2015) Association analysis of low-phosphorus tolerance in West African pearl millet using DArT markers. Mol Breed 35:171. doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0361-y
- Gulia SK, Hash CT, Thakur RP, Breese WA, Sangwan RS (2007) Mapping new QTLs for downy mildew [Sclerospora graminicola (Sacc.) J. Schroet.] resistance in pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.). In: Singh DP, Tomar VS, Behl RK, Upadhyaya SD, Bhale MS, Khare D (eds) Crop production in stress environments—genetic and management options. Agrobios Publishers, Jodhpur, pp 373–386
- Hanna WW, Wells HD, Burton GW (1985) Dominant gene for rust resistance in pearl millet. J Hered 76:134
- Hanna WW, Wells HD, Burton GW (1987) Registration of pearl millet inbred parental lines, Tift 85D2A1 and Tift 85D2B1. Crop Sci 25(5):1324–1325
- Hash CT, Witcombe JR (2001) Pearl millet molecular marker research. Int Sorghum Millets Newsl 42:8–15
- Hash CT, Witcombe JR (2002) Gene management and breeding for downy mildew resistance. In: Leslie JF (ed) Sorghum and millets pathology 2000. Iowa State Press, Ames, pp 27–36

- Hash CT, Bhasker Raj AG, Lindup S, Sharma A, Beniwal CR, Folkertsma RT, Mahalakshmi V, Zerbini E, Blümmel M (2003) Opportunities for marker-assisted selection (MAS) to improve the feed quality of crop residues in pearl millet and sorghum. Field Crops Res 84:79–88
- Hash CT, Sharma A, Kolesnikova-Allen MA, Singh SD, Thakur RP, Bhasker Raj AG, Ratnaji Rao MNV, Nijhawan DC, Beniwal CR, Sagar P, Yadav HP, Yadav YP, Srikant S, Bhatnagar SK, Khairwal IS, Howarth CJ, Cavan GP, Gale MD, Liu C, Devos KM, Breese WA, Witcombe JR (2006) Teamwork delivers biotechnology products to Indian small-holder crop-livestock producers: pearl millet hybrid "HHB 67 Improved" enters seed delivery pipeline. SAT eJournal 2(1):1–3. http://www.icrisat.org/Journal/ bioinformatics/v2i1/v2i1teamwork.pdfb
- Jones ES, Liu CJ, Gale MD, Hash CT, Witcombe JR (1995) Mapping quantitative trait loci for downy mildew resistance in pearl millet. Theor Appl Genet 91:448–456
- Jones ES, Breese WA, Liu CJ, Singh SD, Shaw DS, Witcombe JR (2002) Mapping quantitative trait loci for resistance to downy mildew in pearl millet: field and glasshouse screens detect the same QTL. Crop Sci 42:1316–1323
- Kannan B, Senthilvel S, Bhasker Raj AG, Chandra S, Muthiah A, Dhanapal AP, Hash CT (2014) Association analysis of SSR markers with phenology, grain and stover-yield related traits in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.). TSWJ 2014:562327. doi:10.1155/2014/562327
- Kholová J, Nepolean T, Hash CT, Supriya A, Rajaram V, Senthilvel S, Kakkera A, Yadav RS, Vadez V (2012) Water saving traits co-map with a major terminal drought tolerance quantitative trait locus in pearl millet (*Pennisetum* glaucum (L.) R. Br.). Mol Breed 30:1337–1353. doi:10. 1007/s11032-012-9720-0
- Kilian A, Huttner E, Wenzl P, Jaccoud D, Carling J, Caig V, Evers M, Heller-Uszynska K, Cayla C, Patarapuwadol S, Xia L, Yang S, Thomson B (2005) The fast and the cheap: SNP and DArT-based whole genome profiling for crop improvement. In: Tuberosa R, Phillips RL, Gale M (eds) Proceedings of the international congress "In the wake of the double helix: from the green revolution to the gene revolution", 27–31 May, 2003. Avenue Media, Bologna, Italy, pp 443–461
- Korol AB, Preygel IA, Preygel SI (1994) Recombination variability and evolution. Chapman & Hall, London
- Langridge P, Chalmers K (1998) Techniques for marker development. In: Proceedings of the 9th international wheat genet symposium, vol 1, Saskatchewan, Canada, pp 107–117
- Lasky JR, Ramu P, Upadhyaya H, Deshpande S, Hash CT, Bonnette J, Juenger TE, Hyma K, Acharya C, Mitchell SE, Buckler ES, Brenton Z, Kresovich S, Morris GP (2015) Genome-environment associations in sorghum landraces predict adaptive traits. Sci Adv 1:e1400218. doi:10.1126/ sciadv.1400218
- Liu CJ, Witcombe JR, Pittaway TS, Nash M, Hash CT, Busso CS, Gale MD (1994) An RFLP-based genetic map in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). Theor Appl Genet 89:481–487
- Liu CJ, Devos KM, Witcombe JR, Pittaway TS, Gale MD (1996) The effect of genome and sex on recombination rates in *Pennisetum* species. Theor Appl Genet 93:902–908

- Liu CJ, King IP, Pittaway TS, Abbo S, Reader SM, Miller TE, Gale MD (1997) Physical and genetical mapping of rDNA sites in *Pennisetum* (pearl millet). Heredity 78:529–531
- Mariac C, Luong V, Kapran I, Mamadou A, Sagnard M, Deu M, Chantereau J, Gerard B, Ndjeunga J, Bezançon G, Pham J, Vigouroux Y (2006a) Diversity of wild and cultivated pearl millet accessions (*Pennisetum glaucum* [L.] R. Br.) in Niger assessed by microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 114:49–58
- Mariac C, Robert T, Allinne C, Remigereau MS, Luxereau A, Tidjani M, Seyni O, Bezancon G, Pham J-L, Sarr A (2006b) Genetic diversity and gene flow among pearl millet crop/weed complex:a case study. Theor Appl Genet 113(6):1003–1014. doi:10.1007/s00122-006-0360-9
- Mariac C, Jenin L, Saïdou AA, Thuillet AC, Couderc M, Sire P, Judge H, Adam H, Bezancon G, Pham J-L, Vigouroux Y (2011) Genetic basis of pearl millet adaptation along an environmental gradient investigated by a combinationof penome scan and association mapping. Mol Ecol 20(1):80–91. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04893.x
- Monson WG, Hanna WW, Gaines TP (1986) Effects of rust on yield and quality of pearl millet forage. Crop Sci 26:637–639
- Moore G (2000) Cereal chromosome structure, evolution and pairing. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 51:195–222
- Morgan RN, Wilson JP, Hanna WW, Ozias-Akin P (1998) Molecular markers for rust and *Pyricularia* leaf spot disease resistance in pearl millet. Theor Appl Genet 96:413–420
- Morris GP, Ramu P, Deshpande SP, Hash CT, Shah T, Upadhyaya HD, Riera-Lizarazu O, Acharya CB, Mitchell SE, Harriman J, Glaubitz JC, Buckler ES, Brown PJ, Kresovich S (2013a) Population genomic and genome-wide association studies of agroclimatic traits in sorghum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110(2):453–458. doi:10.1073/pnas1215985110
- Morris GP, Rhodes DH, Brenton Z, Ramu P, Thayil VM, Deshpande S, Hash CT, Acharya C, Mitchell SE, Buckler ES, Yu J, Kresovich S (2013b) Dissecting genome-wide association signals for loss-of-function phenotypes in sorghum flavonoid pigmentation traits. Genes Genomes Genet (G3) 3:2085–2094. doi:10.1534/g3.113.008417
- Moumouni KH, Kountche BA, Jean M, Hash CT, Vigouroux Y, Haussmann BIG, Belzile F (2015) Construction of a genetic map for pearl millet, *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br., using a genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach. Mol Breed 35:5. doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0212-x
- Nelson JC, Wang S, Wu Y, Li X, Antony G, Whote FF, Jet Yu (2011) Single-nucleotide polymorphism discovery by high-throughput sequencing in sorghum. BMC Genom 12:352. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-352
- Nepolean T, Gupta SK, Dwivedi SL, Bhattacharjee R, Rai KN, Hash CT (2012) Genetic diversity in maintainer and restorer lines of pearl millet. Crop Sci 52(6):2555–2563
- Parida SK, Kumar KAR, Dalal V, Singh NK, Mohapatra T (2006) Unigene derived microsatellite markers for the cereal genomes. Theor Appl Genet 112:808–817
- Peng JH, Fahima T, Röder MS, Li YC, Grama A, Ronin YI, Korol AB, Nevo E (2000) Molecular genetic maps in wild emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*: genome-wide coverage, massive negative interference, and putative quasilinkage. Genome Res 10:1509–1531

- Poncet V, Lamy F, Devos KM, Gale MD, Sarr A, Robert T (2000) Genetic control of domestication traits in pearl millet (Poaceae). Theor Appl Genet 100:147–159
- Poncet V, Martel E, Allouis S, Devos KM, Lamy F, Sarr A, Robert T (2002) Comparative analysis of QTLs affected by between two domesticated × wild pearl millet (*Pennisetum* glaucum L., Poaceae) crosses. Theor Appl Genet 104:965–975
- Qi X, Lindup S, Pittaway TS, Allouis S, Gale MD, Devos KM (2001) Development of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers from bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) without sub-cloning. Biotechniques 31(2):355–362
- Qi X, Pittaway TS, Lindup S, Liu H, Wateran E, Padi FK, Hash CT, Zhu J, Gale MD, Devos KM (2004) An integrated genetic map and new set of simple sequence repeat markers for pearl millet, *Pennisetum glaucum*. Theor Appl Genet 109:1485–1493
- Rajaram V, Nepolean T, Senthilvel S, Varshney RK, VadezV Srivastava RK, Shah TM, Supriya A, Kumar S, Ramana Kumari B, Bhanuprakash A, Narasu ML, Riera-Lizarazu O, Hash CT (2013) Pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] consensus linkage map constructed using four RIL mapping populations and newly developed EST-SSRs. BMC Genom 14:159. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-15
- Ramana Kumari B, Kolesnikova-Allen MA, Hash CT, Senthilvel S, Nepolean T, Witcombe JR, Kavi Kishor PB, Riera-Lizarazu O, Srivastava RK (2014) Development of a set of chromosome segment substitution lines in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. Crop Sci 54(5):2175–2182. doi:10.2135/cropsci2013.09.0589
- Ramsay L, Macaulay M, Degli Ivanissevich S, MacLean K, Cardle L, Fuller J, Edwards KJ, Tuvesson S, Morgante M, Massari A, Maestri E, Marmiroli N, Sjakste T, Ganal M, Owell W, Waugh R (2000) A simple sequence repeatbased linkage map of barley. Genetics 156:1997–2005
- Rao AP, Rao MPP (1983) Resistance to rust in bajra germplasm [pearlmillet]. Andhra Agric J 30(1):57–58
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- Saïdou AA, Mariac C, Luong V, Pham JL, Bezancon G, Vigouroux Y (2009) Association studies identify natural variation at *PHYC* linked to flowering time and morphological variation in pearl millet. Genetics 182(3):899–910. doi:10.1534/genetics.109.102756v1182/3/89
- Saïdou AA, Clotault J, Couderc M, Mariac C, Devos KM, Thuillet A-C, Amoukou IA, Vigouroux Y (2014a) Association mapping, patterns of linkage disequilibrium and selection in the vicinity of the PHYTOCHROME C gene in pearl millet. Theor Appl Genet 127(1):19–32. doi:10.1007/ s00122-013-2197-3
- Saïdou AA, Thuillet A-C, Couderc M, Mariac C, Vigouroux Y (2014b) Association studies including genotype by environment interactions: prospects and limitations. BMC Genet 15:3. doi:10.1186/1471-2156-15-3
- Sehgal D, Rajaram V, Armstead IP, Vadez V, Yadav YP, Hash CT, Yadav RS (2012) Integration of gene-based markers in a pearl millet genetic map for identification of candidate genes underlying drought tolerance quantitative trait loci. BMC Plant Biol 12:9. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-12-9

- Sehgal D, Skot L, Singh R, Srivastava R, Das SK, Taunk J, Sharma PC, Pal R, Bhasker Raj AG, Hash CT, Yadav RS (2015) Exploring potential of pearl millet germplasm association panel for association mapping of drought tolerance traits. PLoS One 10(5):e0122165. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0122165
- Semagn K, Bjornstad A, Skinnes H, Maroy AG, Tarkegne Y, William M (2006) Distribution of DArT, AFLP, and SSR markers in a genetic linkage map of a doubled-haploid hexaploid wheat population. Genome 49:545–555
- Senthilvel S, Jayashree B, Mahalakshmi V, Sathish Kumar P, Nakka S, Nepolean T, Hash CT (2008) Development and mapping of Simple Sequence Repeat markers for pearl millet from data mining of Expressed Sequence Tags. BMC Plant Biol 8:119–127
- Singh SD (1990) Sources of resistance to downy mildew and rust in pearl millet. Plant Dis 74(11):871–874
- Singh SD, Andrews DJ, Rai KN (1987) Registration of ICML 11 rust-resistant pearl millet germplasm. Crop Sci 27(2):367–368
- Singh SD, King SB, Reddy PM (1990) Registration of five pearl millet germplasm sources with stable resistance to rust. Crop Sci 30(5):1165
- Singh SD, Wilson JP, Navi SS, Talukdar BS, Hess DE, Reddy KN (1997) Screening techniques and sources of resistance to downy mildew and rust in pearl millet. Information Bulletin no. 48. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India
- Sourdille P, Singh S, Cadalen T, Brown-Guedira GL, Gay G, Qi L, Gill BS, Dufour P, Murigneux A, Bernard M (2004) Microsatellite based deletion bin system for the establishment of genetic-physical map relationships in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Funct Integr Genomics 4:12–25
- Stam P (1993) Construction of integrated genetic linkage maps by means of a new computer package: JoinMap. Plant J 3:739–744
- Stich B, Haussmann BIG, Pasam R, Bhosale S, Hash CT, Melchinger AE, Parzies H (2010) Patterns of molecular and phenotypic diversity in pearl millet [*Pennisetum* glaucum (L.) R. Br.] from West and Central Africa and their relation to geographical and environmental parameters. BMC Plant Biol 10:216
- Storey J, Tibshirani R (2003) Statistical significance for genome wide studies. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 100:9440–9945
- Supriya A, Senthilvel S, Nepolean T, Eshwar K, Rajaram V, Shaw R, Hash CT, Kilian A, Yadav RC, Narasu ML (2011) Development of a molecular linkage map of pearl millet integrating DArT and SSR markers. Theor Appl Genet 123(2):239–250. doi:10.1007/s00122-011-1580-1
- Tanksley SD, Ganal MW, Prince JP, de Vicente MC (1992) High density molecular linkage maps of the tomato and potato genomes: biological inferences and practical applications. Genetics 132:1141–1160
- Tapsoba H, Wilson JP (1996) Pathogenic variation in *Puccinia* substriata var. indica in the southeastern United States and screening for resistance in pearl millet germplasm. Plant Dis 80:395–397
- Thakur RP, Sharma R, Rao VP (2011) Screening techniques for pearl millet diseases. Information Bulletin No. 89.

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India

- Utz HF, Melchinger AE (1996) PLABQTL: a program for composite interval mapping of QTL. Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Science, and Population Genetics, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany
- van Os H, Stam P, Visser RGF, van Eck HJ (2005) RECORD: a novel method for ordering loci on a genetic linkage map. Theor Appl Genet 112:30–40
- Vengadessan V, Vinayan MT, Rai KN, Kannan Bapu JR, Hash CT, Bhattacharjee R, Senthilvel S, Nepolean T (2013) Construction of genetic linkage map and QTL analysis of sink-size traits in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). ISRN Genet 2013:471632. doi:10.5402/2013/471632
- vom Brocke K, Christinck A, Weltzien ER, Presterl T, Geiger HH (2003) Farmers' seed systems and management practices determine pearl millet genetic diversity patterns in semi-arid regions of India. Crop Sci 43:1680–1689
- Voorrips RE (2002) MapChart: software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. J Hered 93:77–78
- Vuylsteke M, Mank R, Antonise R, Bastiaans E, Senior ML, Stuber CW, Melchinger AE, Lübberstedt T, Xia XC, Stam P, Zabeau M, Kuiper M (1999) Two high-density AFLP linkage maps of *Zea mays* L.: analysis of distribution of AFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 99:921–935
- Wenzl P, Kudrna D, Jaccoud D, Huttner E, Kleinhofs A, Kilian A (2004) Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) for whole genome profiling of barley. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101(26):9915–9920
- Wilson JP (1991) Detection of pathogenic races of *Puccinia* substriata var. indica in the U.S. Phytopathology 81:815
- Wilson JP (1993a) Two new dominant genes for resistance to pearl millet rust. Phytopathology 83:1395

- Wilson JP (1993b) Identification of virulence in *Puccinia sub*striata var. indica to *Rr*1 in pearl millet. Plant Dis 77:100
- Wilson JP (2006) Inheritance of partial rust resistance in pearl millet. Plant Breed 116(3):239–243
- Wilson JP, Gates RN, Hanna WW (1991) Effect of rust on yield and digestibility of pearl millet forage. Phytopathology 81:233–236
- Wilson JP, Hanna WW, Gates RN (1994) Stability of forage yield and quality in pearl millet hybrids heterogeneous for rust resistance. Euphytica 72:163–170
- Wilson JP, Hanna WW, Gascho GJ (1996) Pearl millet grain yield loss from rust infection. J Prod Agric 80:543–545
- Wilson JP, Gates RN, Panwar MS (2001) The dynamic multiline approach to resistance gene management. Phytopathology 91(3):255–260
- Witcombe JR, Hash CT (2000) Resistance-gene deployment strategies in cereal hybrids using marker-assisted selection: gene pyramiding, three-way hybrids, and synthetic seed parent populations. Euphytica 112:175–186
- Yadav RS, Hash CT, Cavan GP, Bidinger FR, Howarth CJ (2002) Quantitative trait loci associated with traits determining grain and stover yield in pearl millet under terminal drought stress conditions. Theor Appl Genet 104:67–83
- Yadav RS, Bidinger FR, Hash CT, Yadav YP, Yadav OP, Bhatnagar SK, Howarth CJ (2003) Mapping and characterization of QTL \times E interactions for traits determining grain and stover yield in pearl millet. Theor Appl Genet 106:512–520
- Yadav RS, Hash CT, Bidinger FR, Devos KM, Howarth CJ (2004) Genomic regions associated with grain yield and aspects of post-flowering drought tolerance in pearl millet across stress environments and tester background. Euphytica 136:265–277