Structural, Functional and Genomic Diversity of Plant NLR proteins: an Evolved Resource for Rational Engineering of Plant Immunity.

Freddy Monteiro<sup>1</sup> and Marc T. Nishimura<sup>2</sup>

1. Center for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG) CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Spain; and Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280, USA.

2. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1870. <u>marcusn@csu.edu</u>.

Keywords: Innate immunity, NLR proteins, immune receptor, cell death, disease resistance

### **ABSTRACT:**

Plants employ a diverse intracellular system of NLR (Nucleotide-binding, Leucine rich-Repeat) innate immune receptors to detect pathogens of all types. These receptors represent valuable agronomic traits that plant breeders rely on to maximize yield in the face of devastating pathogens. Despite their importance, the mechanistic underpinnings of NLR-based disease resistance remain obscure. The rapidly increasing numbers of plant genomes are revealing a diverse array of NLR-type immune receptors. In parallel, mechanistic studies are describing diverse functions for NLR immune receptors. In this review, we intend to broadly describe how the structural, functional and genomic diversity of plant immune receptors can provide a valuable resource for rational engineering of plant immunity.

#### Introduction: Layers of the plant immune system.

Plants have evolved an elaborate innate immune system to detect and limit the growth of potential pathogens. Lacking an adaptive immune system with mobile cells, each plant cell must be able to detect and defend appropriately against pathogens. Plants mount a sophisticated multilayered defense response including local physical barriers and chemical weapons, systemic signaling to prime uninfected cells and programmed cell death to limit pathogens that rely on living host cells. To present an appropriate response, plants must have a mechanism to identify microbes of all types and discriminate between friend and foe. To integrate signals from their biotic environment, plants rely on a diverse collection immune receptors often numbering in the hundreds

per genome (53; 59; 99). Despite their numbers, exactly how a limited set of genomically-encoded immune receptors can protect plants against a deluge of rapidly-evolving microbial pathogens remains unknown.

Plant immune receptors come in two broad classes that have been proposed to play complementary roles (55). The first class of immune receptors, termed Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs), monitors the extracellular environment for signals derived from microbes (25; 112). The PRR class is responsible for transducing recognition of pathogens across the plasma membrane to activate a defense response known as PAMP-triggered (pathogen-associated molecular patterns) immunity, or PTI (25: 134). PTI-activating signals are usually conserved, essential microbe-derived or generated molecules (15; 86). PRRs typically have an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a transmembrane-spanning domain, and an intracellular kinase domain (145). PTI is sufficient to render plants immune to a large number of potential pathogens. Microbes that are successful pathogens on a given host have evolved tools to defeat PTI. In many cases, these evolved tools are secreted or translocated proteins known as "effectors" or small molecule toxins (16; 119). The second layer of the plant immune system has evolved to defeat these evolved pathogens, by recognizing pathogen virulence tools as reliable indicators of pathogenesis. This second layer of defense is composed NLR-type (Nucleotide-binding, Leucine-rich Repeat or, alternatively, NOD-Like Receptor) immune receptors (139). These NLR receptors recognize evolved pathogens either directly by the presence or indirectly, by the activity of translocated effectors and toxins. This effector-triggered immunity (ETI) "reboots" plant immune responses dampened by pathogen manipulation and results in strong disease resistance, often associated with programmed cell death known as the hypersensitive response (HR) (26). In some interesting cases, the boundaries between PTI and ETI are less distinct and PRR-type receptors can behave genetically like ETI-triggering NLRs (113). Together PRRs and NLRs must be sufficient to recognize and discriminate between environmental microbes, symbionts and pathogens (47).

Since the rise of agriculture, farmers and breeders have selected for resistance traits to maximize yield by reducing losses to pathogens. The genetic behavior of these traits led to Flor's gene-for-gene hypothesis which proposed single dominant genes in the plant somehow recognized single dominant genes in the pathogen (39). In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, researchers realized that the plant resistance traits are often encoded by NLR immune receptors. One of the most striking and unexpected findings was that immunity to pathogens of all kingdoms could be encoded by a single stereotyped class of immune receptor. Since then we have greatly expanded our knowledge of NLRs, but many basic mechanistic facts remain poorly understood. While commonalities between NLRs were striking when first discovered, intensive study has exposed a great deal of diversity in both their structure and function. As increasing numbers of genomes are sequenced,

evidence for NLR diversity at the species and population level is also rapidly accumulating. In this review we will focus on NLRs, their structural, functional and genomic diversity, and progress and prospects for engineered disease resistance.

#### NLRs are multi-domain molecular switches.

Upon their identification, plant NLR proteins were recognized to contain conserved domains in a stereotypical configuration: a variable N-terminal domain, a central nucleotide binding site (NBS) domain with similarity to the AAA-ATPase family and a C-terminal LRR. The variable N-terminal domains are typically a TIR (Toll-interleukin-1 receptor) or a CC (Coiled-Coil) domain (Figure 1). More recently, evolutionarily distinct classes of CC domains have been described, and many CC-type NLRs (CNLs) have been refined as RPW8-type CC-NLRs (CCr-NLRs or RNLs) based on their similarity to the CC-only disease resistance gene *RPW8* (24; 132; 144). How these domains interact during both the inactive resting state and the activated, signaling state remains unknown. How plant NLRs function is ultimately a difficult structural biology problem. Unfortunately, the structure of any full-length plant NLR has not yet been determined.

NLR proteins are proposed to function as multi-domain switches with inactive and active states driven by the nucleotide-bound status of the central NBS domain; binding to ADP promotes a closed, inactive conformation and binding to ATP promotes an open, active conformation (Figure 1) (reviewed in (12)). The basis for thinking of plant NLRs as NBS-driven switches is largely drawn from structurally-related NBS domains of animal proteins (56; 109). Homology modeling to the NBS domain of animal proteins such as the apoptosome component APAF1 reveal that NLRs contain conserved motifs with predictable functions (109). The P-loop nucleotide binding motif is required for nucleotide binding and can be reliably mutated to generate a loss of function mutant (7; 32; 111; 127). A second motif, characterized by the amino acid sequence MHD, can be mutated to generate gain of function autoactive alleles (7; 28; 50; 127). Despite the strikingly similar domain structure of plant and animal NLRs, as well as mechanistic similarities they are likely products of convergent evolution (117).

If the NBS domain is responsible for controlling the switch between resting and activated states of NLRs, which domain is responsible for signaling downstream? Deletion analysis of the various domains has found that for a number of NLRs the N-terminal CC, CCr or TIR domain is required and often sufficient for cell death signalling (10; 24; 76; 108). Thus the N-terminus is proposed to transduce the activation signal to downstream pathways. In the case of both CC and TIR domains, oligomerization of the N-terminus is proposed to be the critical event in activation. At the whole NLR level, oligomerization can be effector induced, as with the tobacco N gene (81) or with Arabidopsis RPP1 (97). Other NLRs, such as the RPS4/RRS1 complex appear to constitutively self-associate pre-activation, and then subsequently form post-activation

N-terminal multimers to activate defense (51) (Figure 1). Several dimeric CC and TIR structures now exist for NLR N-termini, but the exact conformations of resting and activated multimers and the extent of their oligomerization remains elusive (33; 138). How N-terminal domain multimers form in response to conformational change in the NBS in response to nucleotide binding remains an important unanswered question. While there is much agreement that NLRs behave as switches, exactly how these three domains respond to pathogens and activate cell death remains mechanistically unclear (77; 110).

### NLRs can directly or indirectly recognize pathogen effectors

Evolved pathogens of all kingdoms deliver intracellular effector molecules to immunosuppress and manipulate the host. Thus, these effectors are excellent reliable signals for the plant to monitor. In many cases, NLRs can directly recognize pathogen effectors by binding to them (Figure 1). There do not appear to be generalizable rules to how or where NLRs bind pathogen effectors. The LRR of the rice CNL Pi-ta was first proposed as an effector binding domain (54). A role in substrate binding makes intuitive sense given the known role of LRRs as diverse substrate-binding platforms (40). Subsequently, LRRs have been found to directly bind diverse effectors and also to be under diversifying selection, presumably driven by effector diversity and diversification (44; 64). Beyond the LRR, other domains are also clearly regulating recognition. In the case of genes at the L locus of flax, TNLs with identical LRRs, but slightly divergent TIR domains have distinct specificities (34).

How effector-binding to the LRR (or other domains) opens NLRs and promotes oligomerization remains unclear. Analysis of alleles of the flax TNLs L6 and L7 suggest that in the absence of pathogens NLRs may be in an equilibrium in the "on" and "off" states, and that effectors stabilizes the active, ATP-bound state (9). Consistent with this model, for L6 and L7, the activating effector was found to bind inactive versions of the NLR more weakly than active forms (9). If closed, inactive NLRs have multiple points of intramolecular contact between or among domains, then effectors could bind to any of them and disrupt a closed state, or stabilize an open one.

# NLRs can indirectly recognize pathogen effectors by guarding important immune targets

NLRs can also indirectly recognize the presence of pathogen effectors by monitoring their impact on host targets (Figure 1). This model was first proposed to explain the Pto/Prf/AvrPto system, where targeting of the Pto kinase by AvrPto is detected by the CNL Prf (118). This "guard hypothesis" proposes that by guarding important, conserved targets of pathogens (or decoys of targets) the plant immune system can detect all

pathogens without a separate, genomically encoded receptor for each pathogen (27). Another important outcome of the guard hypothesis is that we can better understand the plant immune system by knowing the set of proteins that evolution has selected to be guardees of NLRs. Indirect recognition can allow plants to detect mechanistically-distinct effectors that target the same host protein.

#### Downstream signalling events are not understood.

Surprisingly, the main function of NLRs, the downstream activation of disease resistance and cell death remains mechanistically obscure. How effector activation eventually is transduced into disease resistance, and often cell death, is unknown for any NLR. Downstream events have proven remarkably resistant to forward genetic analysis. The lack of mutable genes required for NLR signalling has prompted hypotheses of redundancy or lethality. An alternative is that the pathways are extremely direct and lack a downstream element. A direct action hypothesis proposes that NLRs are capable of directly activating immune responses and/or killing cells. Intriguingly, it has been proposed that the CNLs Rx1 and I-2 can bind and deform DNA in an effector-dependent manner (36; 37). How DNA binding and deformation by NLRs promotes an "immune-competent" state remains to be determined, but could represent an extremely direct and redundant pathway.

There are however a few identified genes that are required for NLR function. Chaperones such as HSP90, RAR1 and SGT1 are generally required for NLR protein accumulation (100). Genetic analysis of suppressors of autoactive NLRs has revealed a number of novel regulators of NLR homeostasis (reviewed in (72)). Signalling components downstream of NLR accumulation are more rare. Interestingly, the downstream genes appear to split NLR function by TIR vs CC class. All TNLs tested require a lipase-like gene called EDS1 (125). In addition to EDS1, TNLs also require EDS1-like family members such as PAD4 and SAG101, which function in complexes with EDS1 (121). These proteins interact with TNLs and shuttle in and out of the nucleus. Their biochemical function remains mysterious as conserved lipase catalytic residues are not required for supporting TNL immune function (121). CNLs do not appear to directly require EDS1, but several are strongly dependent on the function of NDR1, a protein with homology to integrins (62). Exactly how NDR1 is required for CNL function remains obscure and NDR1 function may not be limited to NLR signalling, as ndr1 mutant plants also have altered responses to compatible Pseudomonas syringae, which lacks recognized ETI-triggering effectors (62).

#### **Diversity of NLR domain structure**

In spite of the fact that NLRs were initially recognized to have a stereotyped domain structure, sequencing of the Arabidopsis genome revealed an unexpected diversity in

NLR-like sequences (84). Not only full CNL and TNL receptors exist, but also "truncated" versions that could lack LRR or NBS-LRR domains (Figure 1). These truncated forms are reminiscent of truncated animal immune receptors such as Mvd88. a TIR protein that serves as a cytoplasmic adapter for a number of Toll-like receptors. Myd88, and similar TIR adaptor proteins act downstream of multiple receptors to transduce receptor activation (90). In the case of truncated plant TNLs, their function appears to be more specific, although the number of cases tested remains low. RLM3, a TIR-NBS protein is the first example of a "truncated TNL" protein which is required for disease resistance (103). Other TIR-NBS proteins such as TN2 and CHS1 have loss of function or overexpression phenotypes consistent with immune receptors (123; 136; 142). The TIR-only protein RBA1 is required for cell death in response to the type III effector protein HopBA1 (Figure 1) (87). Exactly how truncated TNLs function in the immune system remains unclear, but a compelling hypothesis is that they form heterocomplexes with full-length TNLs. Consistent with a hetero-interaction hypothesis, chs1 autoimmunity phenotypes were recently shown to require SOC3, a full-length TNL (Figure 1) (140).

#### Genomic pairs and "integrated domains" are a shortcut to novel virulence targets

The most important recent NLR discovery has been the realization that some NLRs function as genomically-linked pairs (20; 126; 137). These dual NLR systems are proposed to be made up of a signaling NLR and a receptor NLR (18). The signalling NLR behaves much like a traditional NLR and guards the receptor NLR. Remarkably, the receptor NLR behaves as an effector binding platform, containing unusual motifs (i.s. not CC/TIR, RPW8, NBS or LRR domains) that are recognized by pathogen effectors. Integrated domains can be found in many locations within an NLR (Figure 1). These effector-interacting NLR motifs have similarity to the intended pathogen virulence targets and have been referred to as "integrated domains", or "integrated decoys" (IDs) (18; 88). In the case of RPS4 and RRS1, the two molecules preexist as a complex, and the signaling TNL RPS4 is activated after the effector PopP2 acetylates an RRS1integrated WRKY transcription factor domain (Figure 1). PopP2 "intended" targets are WRKY transcription factors; PopP2 acetylation targets the DNA-binding domain of WRKY transcription factors required for proper immune responses (69). Some RRS1 alleles are capable of recognizing both PopP2 and the sequence-unrelated effector AvrRps4, apparently through mechanistically distinct targeting of RRS1 (96). Similarly, CNLs such as RGA4/RGA5 also exist in genetically linked pairs that contain a decoy domain (RATX1/HMA, a putative metal-binding domain in RGA5) that is targeted by multiple effectors (19; 78).

As more plant genomes are made available, the list of atypical domains integrated into NLRs is rapidly expanding (Supplemental Table 1). These genomic pairs reveal important information solely through their primary sequence and can be identified

across the plant phylogeny (65). There are many useful hypotheses that follow from these observations. First, pairs at a locus (especially head to head) are now reasonably hypothesized to function as a unit. To test this hypothesis, mutations in one locus should suppress the second. Accordingly, transient reconstruction assays of paired loci should include both genes. Second, unusual domains should be considered as effector binding targets and their homologs as relevant to pathogenicity. Thus the universe of NLR IDs across the plant phylogeny is now a minimal set of pathogen virulence targets. Many of these IDs have not been previously indicated as pathogen virulence targets. These domains are a hypothesis generator based solely on genome sequences. While it has not yet been demonstrated, it remains an open possibility that some IDs may retain their former biochemical function (131).

### Helpers and genetic interactions across NLR-type.

Canonically, NLRs have been associated with recognizing a specific pathogen and conferring qualitative disease resistance. More recently, "helper" NLRs have been identified that are required for (or "help") the function of other NLRs. One of the first cloned NLRs was the N-gene in tobacco; a TNL that confers resistance to the tobacco mosaic virus (124). To identify other component of N-mediated disease resistance, Peart et al. performed a VIGS assay looking for loss of N-mediated cell death (92). This screen identified NRG1 as an CCr-containing RNL required for the function of the TNL N-gene. NRG1 is a member of a gene family that also includes ADR1 RNL proteins. Silencing NRG1 and ADR1 in combination resulted in loss of cell death mediated by the CNL Rx2, while single silencing constructs had no effect. Similar redundancy and crosstype interaction was reported in Arabidopsis for the ADR family (13). Interestingly, in Arabidopsis the function of ADR1-L2 as a helper NLR is independent of the p-loop, which is typically required for ETI across NLRs (13). Despite this functional divergence, the N-termini of ADR1 and NRG1 proteins are capable of triggering cell death, indicating that helpers may have functions as ETI-triggers as well as helpers for other NLRs (24). Intriguingly, RNL NRG1 helpers appear to have been co-retained or lost with TNLs multiple times during plant evolution (24). More recently, the NRC family of CNL helpers has been found to be required for clade-specific NLR function (130).

## PigmR/PigmS: A novel genomically-paired NLR "helper" mechanism to reduce the cost of resistance

Not all helper NLRs are positive regulators of another NLR's function. In rice, cloning of the rice blast gene *Pigm* revealed a novel, agronomically important mechanism imparted using a canonical CC-NBS-LRR domain structure (30). Deng, et al. found that *PigmR*, which encodes a CNL is responsible for broad-spectrum, durable resistance to rice blast. Interestingly, *PigmR* is found at an NLR cluster with an extremely closely related partner CNL *PigmS* (only four polymorphic AA between PigmR and PigmS).

Intriguingly, this genomically-linked pair does not follow the integrated decoy model of RPS4/RRS1. Instead, PigmS heterodimerizes with PigmR and suppresses PigmRbased resistance. This suppression apparently counteracts a cost of PigmR-mediated resistance, as it results in increased grain yield. The PigmS impact on productivity may be determined in a tissue-specific manner as while PigmR is constitutively expressed throughout the plant, PigmS is pollen-specific. This presents a novel, potentially engineerable, mechanism of NLR-improvement: tissue-specific expression of dominant-negative "inhibitor NLRs" to decrease fitness costs of NLRs.

#### Unveiling the diversity of NLR-coding genes

Much of the mechanistic study of NLR function described above has been derived from a limited number of model organisms and genes. With improvement of costs and capabilities of next-generation sequencing technologies, genomic approaches became front line resources to characterize NLR diversity across plant species and populations. To date, the NLR repertoires of over 100 species are available, or can be easily obtained from genome annotations (Supplemental Table 2 lists genome-wide NLR interrogation studies performed to date). Taken together, those repertoires allow extensive comparative analyses and the definition of evolutionary paths.

### An intricate evolutionary history explains current diversity

Knowledge of NLR diversity and distribution can reveal novel sources of resistance with enormous biotechnological potential. Preliminary efforts towards characterization of NLR diversity started immediately after the first R-genes were cloned in the mid 1990's (8; 85; 124). At that time, comparative analysis focused on the LRR region, given the results from seminal studies showing significant clustering of nonsynonymous substitutions in that region (14; 34; 80; 89; 122), and the preliminary indications showing that *R* gene specificity was determined by LRRs (40; 54; 105). An early population-level study aimed at characterizing intraspecific NLR polymorphisms was developed in Arabidopsis thaliana by Bakker et al. in 2006. This study provided a snapshot of LRR domain diversity across 27 NLRs from 96 accessions of Arabidopsis (4). The methodological innovation, at the time, was to compare LRR polymorphisms to a genome-wide empirical distribution of polymorphisms, rather than to neutral models. This approach identified RPP13 as highly polymorphic and with signatures of balancing selection, adding to the already known genes under balancing selection: RPP1 (14), RPS2 (17), RPP5 (89), RPM1 (104), RPS5 (114), and elucidated seven more loci with weaker balancing selection signatures: AT1G56540, AT1G59780, AT3G50950, AT4G14370, AT4G14610, AT5G58120, and AT5Gg63020 (4). PCR-based approaches have been employed to address sequence recombination, conversion, indels and copynumber variation at particular gene clusters in species other than A. thaliana (5; 66; 67;

73). Those studies aimed to characterize the complex selective forces on the evolution of individual genes or clusters.

Genome-wide studies, such as those in *A. thaliana* (46) and rice (133) have provided a deeper insight into NLR distribution, diversity and evolution. In those studies, researchers found that genetically clustered NLR genes frequently swap sequences and are thus more polymorphic than singleton loci. Distinct evolutionary paths and rates for TIR- and non-TIR containing NLRs are apparent in *A. thaliana* (23). NLRs evolve rapidly, and copy number variants were more often found in NLR genes relative to the genome as a whole. 33.3 % of NLR-coding genes from the reference Col-0 accession appeared to be deleted in at least one of the 80 accessions, compared to 12.5% of genes in the entire genome (46). An equivalent number of NLRs must be absent from the Col-0 reference genome. This indicates that there is much to be learned from a deep dive into closely related genomes.

With the advent of second and third generation sequencing technologies, efforts definitively shifted towards genome-wide comparative studies. An early attempt to characterize genome-wide variation among 18 A. thaliana ecotypes employed pairedend Illumina reads and a combination of reference-based and *de novo* assembly (41). Bioinformatic limitations of short-read assembly forced authors to limit the analysis to single-copy regions homologous to the the reference (Col-0) genome. Accordingly, analysis of copy-number and structural variation was hampered, as well as the discovery of novel NLRs (93). The currently reported A. thaliana pan-NLRome (AtpanNLRome), defined as the union of NLR genes of the different ecotypes, is thus restricted to the genes known in a single genotype reference accession (Col-0) (93). Nevertheless, accumulated knowledge shows that the At-panNLRome expands beyond the Col-0 NLR repertoire (31; 89). An interesting example is the A. thaliana DANGEROUS MIX2 (DM2) cluster, which in Col-0 contains two RPP1-like genes, but in Ler contains up to seven RPP1-like genes (21, 107). To date, it is still unknown if NLRs in the different DM2 loci contribute to recognition of different pathogens. Further expansion of the At-panNLRome will help describe how NLR genes expand and contract across populations in response to pathogen selective pressures.

The NLR content of the *A thaliana* Col-0 genome was first described in 2003 (83), since then our knowledge of NLR gene content across the plant phylogeny has rapidly expanded. Bioinformatic comparative analysis opened a new avenue for studying NLR genetic diversity and evolution. In a recent study, a panel of 6,000 NLR genes from 22 Angiosperm species were incorporated in a comparative analysis and phylogenetic reconstruction. The reported results elucidate how all currently known NLRs likely diversified from 23 NLRs belonging to three distinct ancestral TNL, CNL and RNL lineages (99). A similar ancestral state reconstruction analysis using 38 sequenced species representing the six kingdoms of life (eubacteria, archaebacteria, fungi, protists, plants and animals) showed that the most basal plants analyzed had a very limited NLR repertoire (30 NLRs in *Physcomitrella patens* and 17 in *Selaginella moellendorffii*) (135). On the other hand, higher plant genomes typically encode numerous NLR genes, with hundreds of genes in gymnosperm and angiosperm genomes. Detailed analysis of plant lineages reveals expansion and contraction of particular NLR classes (99).

The scenario of NLR genes expansion and contraction is complex. While TNLs are expanded in Brassicaceae, the opposite is observed in Poaceae, with TNLdepletion and an expansion of the CNL class (Table 1). Family-level evolutionary paths are not that clear across the plant phylogeny. Comparative analysis of Fabaceae has shown multiple expansion and contraction events, leading to an increase in of NLRs in *Cajanus cajan* and *Medicago truncatula* and a decrease in the NLR repertoire of *Lotus japonicus*, *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Cicer arietinum* (143). Interestingly, whole genome duplication does not seem to necessarily contribute to net increase the number of NLR genes. NLRs seem to be rather maintained in a dosage- or diploidization-sensitive scheme. In fact, the mechanisms governing NLR gene expansion and/or contraction in the different species might depend on the intraspecific diversity, widespread or restricted geographic distribution, ploidy, mating system (inbreeding or outcrossing), generation time and domestication history (in the case of crops).

As more plant genomes are sequenced, comparative analyses of NLRs and NLRomes will provided a better understanding of its diversity and evolutionary history. For that, the establishment of rigorous and reproducible analysis pipelines will be key. In some cases, analyses of the NLR content reported by different groups can be strikingly inconsistent (Table 1). The observed variance might be due, at least in some cases, to the use of different genome annotation versions, or to which bioinformatic tools and settings are used to perform the analysis. Use and reporting of standardized methods could reduce variance reported between publications and facilitate comparisons [see SIDEBAR].

#### Good practices for Genome-wide identification of NLRs.

Exploratory descriptions of NLR repertoires provide a valuable glimpse at the specieslevel NLR diversity and allow comparative NLRome analysis across the different taxonomic clades (3; 60; 65; 95; 99). To that end, a variety of bioinformatic tools have proven useful to identify NLR genes from genome sequences and annotated gene models. Available methods include *ab initio* predictors, identification of functional domains or motifs, similarity searches against databases, PCR amplification with partially degenerated primers, and R-gene enrichment and sequencing (several studies in Supplementary Table 2 use those methods).

Accurate identification of protein domains in a collection of sequences is critical to defining and organizing proteins into families. Multidomain NLR proteins can be further classified according to domain architectures. To this end, hidden Markov model (HMM) profiles have become a popular means to identify protein domains. High quality, manually curated and biologically relevant HMM profiles for a wide range of domains are available via Pfam (38), TIGRFAM (48) and SMART (71). Each HMM profile in the Pfam-A database contains curated bit score thresholds (38).

One limitation in reproducibly defining NLRomes, may simply be the lack of a unified definition for NLR-coding genes. Given the current mechanistic understanding of plant NLR biology, a putative NLR-coding gene would contain either an NB-ARC, or TIR, or RPW8 domain. LRR domains commonly occur in other protein families and should not be considered part of the primary definition of NLRs. CC folds can't be easily detected using domain profiles, and often require secondary structure prediction such as Paircoil2 (79), MARCOIL (29), COILS (75), MultiCoil (129), and PCOILS (45). The different CC prediction tools generate slightly different outputs, but their union and/or intersections can be informative and assist identification of high probability CC signatures.

Criteria for defining NLRs has changed as our mechanistic understanding of NLR has deepened. Historically, NB-ARC alignments and NB-ARC motifs have been used to discriminate between TIR, or non-TIR NLRs (40; 82; 91). When the first NB-LRR and RPW8 NLRs were reported (132), it became relevant to distinguish between CC-NB-LRRs, RPW8-NB-LRRs and NB-LRRs. A curated RPW8 HMM profile is available from Pfam-A, allowing distinction between CC and CCr classes. TIR and TIR-NB proteins are increasingly being described with immune receptor-like function (discussed above), thus a broader definition of "NLR" is likely warranted. Recent reports have also pointed to the importance of considering TIR\_2 domains in addition to TIR domains when defining NLRs (95).

#### Resistance from relatives in the post genomic era

Plant species with major agricultural and economic interest frequently have large and complex genomes. Therefore, cheap and efficient methods to identify NLRs at a genome-wide level are invaluable. R-gene enrichment and sequencing (RenSeq) is a method that allows selective sequencing of NLR-containing genomic fragments (42; 58). The method allows the definition of the NLRome of any plant by using an RNA bait

library (complementary to known or partially annotated NLRs from related species) combined with a HT sequencing platform (typically Illumina, Pacbio or Nanopore) (43; 57). The technique reduces the overall complexity of the genomic sample, and allows focused sequencing on the enriched gene family.

RenSeq technology allowed refinement of NLR gene annotations, as well as the identification of 317, 105 and 126 previously unreported NLRs in S. tuberosum DM clone, S. lycopersicum Heinz 1706 and S. pimpinellifolium LA1589, respectively (1). Most of the novel genes mapped to unannotated or gapped regions of the genomes. RenSeq allowed thus the definition of previously unidentified or incomplete NLR clusters, in which the novel genes were found to reside (1; 2; 58; 94; 115). This technique can also be applied to plant species for which there is no available draft genome. RenSeg applied to wild relatives of tomato allowed the identification of markers that cosegregated with resistance to Phytophtora infestans (58). RenSeq combined with long read Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing is effective at resolving NLR clusters that are notoriously difficult to sequence. (42; 43; 128). RenSeq has also been successfully used to enrich NLR cDNAs, allowing transcript validation of 167 S. lycopersicum Heinz 1706 and 154 S. pimpinellifolium LA1589 NLRs (1). Identification of sources of resistance from wild relatives, or ancestral progenitors from the primary geographic diversity centers will provide novel NLR variants to further increase the disease resistance gene pool available for breeding programs (70; 120)

#### NLR transfers between genomes

Understanding NLR diversity at the mechanistic and genomic levels provides an invaluable resource for breeding and, eventually, rationally engineering disease resistance. Traditionally, sources of resistance have been selected for, or found in closely related genomes. Plant genomes have followed independent evolutionary paths and each has a unique set of immune receptors. To what extent are immune receptors transferable between more distant genomes? To what extent will genomic studies define a pan-NLRome allowing the use of these diverse products of evolution from across the kingdom as resistance traits?

The first example of interfamily immune receptor transfer was between Arabidopsis and the solanaceous plants *Nicotiana benthamiana* and Tomato (68). EFR is a PRR receptor-like kinase that perceives the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu (elf18 peptide), but it is only present in the Brassicaceae. After transferring it into solanaceous genomes, EFR was able to confer responsiveness to elf18. Importantly, it also resulted in strong bacterial disease resistance in tomato. NLRs can also be transferred between genomes. Rice genomes don't have a known resistance specificity for *Xanthomonas* 

*oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*. After identifying a disease resistance trait in maize, Zhao, et al. were able to transfer RXO1, a CNL, into rice and generate resistant plants (141).

Even further phylogenetic distances are possible. The monocot CNL *MLA1* has been transferred from barley into the dicot Arabidopsis (74). Amazingly, MLA1 is functional in Arabidopsis and recognizes the pathogen effector AVRa1. This result indicates that the machinery required for NLR function can be conserved over extremely large phylogenetic distances. A high level of conservation is also supported by the general feasibility of transient assays in *Nicotiana* and the conservation of *Nicotiana* EDS1 function to support phylogenetically distant Arabidopsis TIR and TNL functions (87; 126).

There are likely limits to the transfer of immune receptors. To serve as useful traits NLRs must be functional and properly regulated. Functionality requires that the NLR can integrate into a largely unknown system required for recognition and downstream function. Proper regulation is required to ensure that NLRs do not have negative impacts on fitness via autoactivity. Autoactivity is a frequent outcome of transgenic expression of NLRs. This is likely due to the idiosyncratic nature of transgenic lines and resulting over- or mis-expression. In other cases the autoactivity may be genetically determined. In the case of the "Dangerous Mix" loci, incompatibilities can be revealed by outcrosses of Arabidopsis genomes that have undergone independent evolution (11). Several of these loci map to NLR immune receptors and may reflect drift between NLRs and guardees that results in inappropriate physical interaction and the resulting autoactivity (21). Thus, in the case of NLRs that guard host proteins, there may be a limitation based on conservation between the guardee and the adopted guardee in the new genome. NLRs themselves may form incompatible heteromeric complexes and one NLR may activate a second when they encounter each other via outcrossing (116). In other cases, NLRs may negatively regulate each other. Transfer of the rye Pm8 resistance gene into wheat is limited in some genotypes by the dominant action of the wheat *Pm3* resistance gene (52). As both genes are CNLs, it is intriguing to speculate that the suppression is via the formation of an inappropriate, inactive heteromeric receptor complex.

#### NLR tinkering: fine tuning responses

Existing NLRs can also be tinkered with, to either expand recognition or tune responsiveness. An early attempt at modifying NLR specificity mutagenized the Rx CNL in ordered to expand recognition of potato virus X strains (35). By using random mutagenesis targeted at the LRR, they were able to find Rx mutants that could recognize not only the wild-type version of PVX coat protein (CP), but also mutant CP that could evade wild-type Rx. Interestingly, the Rx mutants now also recognized CP from the distantly-related poplar mosaic virus (PoMV). One of the mutants, Rx N846D,

displayed systemic necrosis when challenged with PoMV, demonstrating a cost to increased recognition. Further mutagenesis of Rx N846D was able to find new mutations that were able to convert the systemic necrosis into a strong resistance able to control PoMV (49). Interestingly, while N846D is located in the LRR, the suppressing mutations are in the NBS domain, suggesting an interdomain contact. Similar attempts to generate expanded specificities for the potato NLR R3a were able to expand recognition to "stealthy" versions of the AVR3a effector (22; 98).

Study of the wheat CNL Pm3 indicates that the NBS domain of NLRs are tuned in their responses and that this tuning can be downstream of "triggerability" (106). In this case, immune output can be altered independently of propensity to be activated by mutation of only two residues in the ARC2 subdomain. This is consistent with a hypothesis that initial pathogen detection is translated into an appropriately tuned resistance response. These two tuning residues are surface exposed in NBS models, but higher order true structures of the NBS in combination with other domains will be required to understand how they are promoting an ATP-bound active conformation. By all indications, NLRs have multiple intramolecular interactions that can be tuned for a combination of activation and output strength.

### NLR re-engineering: building better mousetraps

Beyond single point mutations, more extensive re-engineering of NLRs has also been attempted. Domain swaps between closely related NLRs (such as Rx1 and Gpa2) can result in a corresponding specificity swap (101). Domain swaps indicate that NBS and LRR intramolecular interactions are critical for maintaining the resting state of NLRs to avoid inappropriate, elicitor-independent activation (102). These Rx1/Gpa2 domain swaps used existing specificities to engineer NLR function, what are the prospects for novel specificities?

Recently, breakthrough studies of the RPS5 system has presented an excellent opportunity to rationally engineer NLR immune recognition. In this case, the CNL RPS5 indirectly recognizes AvrPphB proteolytic cleavage of the decoy kinase protein PBS1. The elegant solution described by Kim et al, is that replacement of the AvrPphB cleavage site with an engineered protease site will allow an unmodified RPS5 to activate defenses to novel proteases (61). By engineering the guardee they were able to obviate problems of autoactivation created by modifying the NLR itself. But even with a WT NLR, there are likely issues that will have to be solved for any engineered PBS1/RPS5 system. The authors found that activation of RPS5 defenses against turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) (using an engineered PBS1 cleaved by TuMV NIa protease) was slower than needed to limit systemic spread. They proposed that the plasma membrane localization of WT RPS5 may be inappropriate for detection of an effector protease found mostly in the nucleus. If these sorts of pathogen-specific issues can be overcome,

the abundance of protease effectors in pathogen effector repertoires suggests that RPS5/PBS1 may be a widely useful NLR engineering approach.

In most cases, our understanding of how an NLR functions is limited. In the case of RPS5 and PBS1 years of research was required to adequately understand how to use it as an NLR engineering platform (63). The recent discovery of paired NLRs with integrated domains (described above) suggests a powerful shortcut for identifying engineering targets. NLR pairs are relatively easy to identify and are present in many plant genomes. Importantly, following the model of RPS4 and RRS1, if integrated domains are effector decoys, then we will not have to genetically identify an unknown guardee. The loci should be transferable to novel genomes, and as they contain both components of the receptor complex (receptor NLR and signaling NLR). As they define a complete receptor complex, they should be less susceptible to problems arising from incompatibility due to independent evolution. Recent study by Bailey et al. indicates that NLRs with integrated domains are quickly gaining and losing novel unsual domains (3), and thus may be rapidly changing specificity within a conserved receptor context. It will be extremely informative to understand what mutations in the canonical NLR domains are required to accomodate a novel ID. These mutations will undoubtedly be critical for both maintenance of the resting state and/or appropriate activation in response to effector modification of the ID. Replacement of an ID with a novel effector target or with a homologous one derived from the recipient genome may be a viable approach to engineering NLRs.

#### **Unanswered Questions and Outlook**

Many of the basic questions about NLR function remain unanswered. A better understanding of how individual NLR domains interact with one another is critical to understanding how the molecules function as a switch. This is important for limiting the costs of inappropriate activation, as well as for understanding pathogen specificity and strength of response. We need to understand how NLRs activate downstream events: how disease resistance and cell death are triggered remains, remarkably, a black box. How do NLRs homo and hetero-oligomerize to generate an immune system? To what extent do the two tiers of the immune system (NLRs and PRRs) functionally cooperate to form an immune system? Can we identify characteristics of NLRs that promote durable resistance?

Rational engineering of immune receptors is an increasingly achievable goal. By mechanistically understanding how NLRs function, we will be able to modify existing NLRs or generate novel receptor systems that recognize pathogens of interest. By exploring the breadth and depth of plant NLR natural variation, we will expand our toolbox of deployable disease resistance traits. Accelerating climate change is predicted to generate novel pathogen/plant interactions, demanding rapid responses by plant

breeders (6). Rational design of plant immune systems will be one tool, of many, that enables agricultural systems to keep pace with pathogens.

## REFERENCES

- Andolfo G, Jupe F, Witek K, Etherington GJ, Ercolano MR, Jones JDG. 2014. Defining the full tomato NB-LRR resistance gene repertoire using genomic and cDNA RenSeq. *BMC Plant Biol*. 14:120
- 2. Andolfo G, Sanseverino W, Rombauts S, Van de Peer Y, Bradeen JM, et al. 2012. Overview of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) candidate pathogen recognition genes reveals importantSolanumR locus dynamics. *New Phytol.* 197:223-37
- 3. Bailey PC, Schudoma C, Jackson W, Baggs E, Dagdas G, et al. 2017. Dominant integration locus drives continuous diversification of plant immune receptors with exogenous domain fusions. *bioRxiv*
- 4. Bakker EG, Toomajian C, Kreitman M, Bergelson J. 2006. A genome-wide survey of R gene polymorphisms in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 18:1803-18
- 5. Baurens F-C, Bocs S, Rouard M, Matsumoto T, Miller RNG, et al. 2010. Mechanisms of haplotype divergence at the RGA08 nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat gene locus in wild banana (Musa balbisiana). *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:149
- 6. Bebber DP. 2015. Range-Expanding Pests and Pathogens in a Warming World. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 53:335-56
- 7. Bendahmane A, Farnham G, Moffett P, Baulcombe DC. 2002. Constitutive gain-of-function mutants in a nucleotide binding site-leucine rich repeat protein encoded at theRxlocus of potato. *Plant J.* 32:195-204
- 8. Bent AF, Kunkel BN, Dahlbeck D, Brown KL, Schmidt R, et al. 1994. RPS2 of Arabidopsis thaliana: a leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes. *Science* 265:1856-60
- 9. Bernoux M, Burdett H, Williams SJ, Zhang X, Chen C, et al. 2016. Comparative Analysis of the Flax Immune Receptors L6 and L7 Suggests an Equilibrium-Based Switch Activation Model. *Plant Cell* 28:146-59
- 10. Bernoux M, Ve T, Williams S, Warren C, Hatters D, et al. 2011. Structural and functional analysis of a plant resistance protein TIR domain reveals interfaces for self-association, signaling, and autoregulation. *Cell Host Microbe* 9:200-11
- 11. Bomblies K, Lempe J, Epple P, Warthmann N, Lanz C, et al. 2007. Autoimmune response as a mechanism for a Dobzhansky-Muller-type incompatibility syndrome in plants. *PLoS Biol.* 5:e236
- 12. Bonardi V, Cherkis K, Nishimura MT, Dangl JL. 2012. A new eye on NLR proteins: focused on clarity or diffused by complexity? *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 24:41-50
- 13. Bonardi V, Tang S, Stallmann A, Roberts M, Cherkis K, Dangl JL. 2011. Expanded functions for a family of plant intracellular immune receptors beyond specific recognition of pathogen effectors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108:16463-8
- 14. Botella MA, Parker JE, Frost LN, Bittner-Eddy PD, Beynon JL, et al. 1998. Three genes of the Arabidopsis RPP1 complex resistance locus recognize distinct Peronospora parasitica avirulence determinants. *Plant Cell* 10:1847-60

- 15. Boutrot F, Zipfel C. 2017. Function, Discovery, and Exploitation of Plant Pattern Recognition Receptors for Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 55:257-86
- 16. Büttner D. 2016. Behind the lines–actions of bacterial type III effector proteins in plant cells. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 40:894-937
- 17. Caicedo AL, Schaal BA, Kunkel BN. 1999. Diversity and molecular evolution of the RPS2 resistance gene in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96:302-6
- 18. Cesari S, Bernoux M, Moncuquet P, Kroj T, Dodds PN. 2014. A novel conserved mechanism for plant NLR protein pairs: the "integrated decoy" hypothesis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:606
- 19. Césari S, Kanzaki H, Fujiwara T, Bernoux M, Chalvon V, et al. 2014. The NB-LRR proteins RGA4 and RGA5 interact functionally and physically to confer disease resistance. *EMBO J.* 33:1941-59
- 20. Cesari S, Thilliez G, Ribot C, Chalvon V, Michel C, et al. 2013. The rice resistance protein pair RGA4/RGA5 recognizes the Magnaporthe oryzae effectors AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39 by direct binding. *Plant Cell* 25:1463-81
- 21. Chae E, Bomblies K, Kim S-T, Karelina D, Zaidem M, et al. 2014. Species-wide genetic incompatibility analysis identifies immune genes as hot spots of deleterious epistasis. *Cell* 159:1341-51
- 22. Chapman S, Stevens LJ, Boevink PC, Engelhardt S, Alexander CJ, et al. 2014. Detection of the Virulent Form of AVR3a from Phytophthora infestans following Artificial Evolution of Potato Resistance Gene R3a. *PLoS One* 9:e110158
- 23. Chen Q, Han Z, Jiang H, Tian D, Yang S. 2010. Strong positive selection drives rapid diversification of R-genes in Arabidopsis relatives. *J. Mol. Evol.* 70:137-48
- 24. Collier SM, Hamel L-P, Moffett P. 2011. Cell death mediated by the N-terminal domains of a unique and highly conserved class of NB-LRR protein. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 24:918-31
- 25. Couto D, Zipfel C. 2016. Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in plants. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 16:537-52
- 26. Cui H, Tsuda K, Parker JE. 2015. Effector-triggered immunity: from pathogen perception to robust defense. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 66:487-511
- 27. Dangl JL, Jones JD. 2001. Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. *Nature* 411:826-33
- 28. De La Fuente Bentem van S, Vossen JH, Vries KJ, Wees S, Tameling WIL, et al. 2005. Heat shock protein 90 and its co-chaperone protein phosphatase 5 interact with distinct regions of the tomato I-2 disease resistance protein. *Plant J.* 43:284-98
- 29. Delorenzi M, Speed T. 2002. An HMM model for coiled-coil domains and a comparison with PSSM-based predictions. *Bioinformatics* 18:617-25
- 30. Deng Y, Zhai K, Xie Z, Yang D, Zhu X, et al. 2017. Epigenetic regulation of antagonistic receptors confers rice blast resistance with yield balance. *Science* 355:962-5
- 31. Deslandes L, Olivier J, Theulieres F, Hirsch J, Feng DX, et al. 2002. Resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum in Arabidopsis thaliana is conferred by the recessive RRS1-R gene, a member of a novel family of resistance genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99:2404-9
- 32. Dinesh-Kumar SP, Tham WH, Baker BJ. 2000. Structure-function analysis of the tobacco mosaic virus resistance gene N. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97:14789-94
- 33. El Kasmi F, Nishimura MT. 2016. Structural insights into plant NLR immune receptor function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
- Ellis JG, Lawrence GJ, Luck JE, Dodds PN. 1999. Identification of Regions in Alleles of the Flax Rust Resistance Gene L That Determine Differences in Gene-for-Gene Specificity. *Plant Cell* 11:495
- 35. Farnham G, Baulcombe DC. 2006. Artificial evolution extends the spectrum of viruses that are targeted by a disease-resistance gene from potato. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103:18828-33

- 36. Fenyk S, Dixon CH, Gittens WH, Townsend PD, Sharples GJ, et al. 2016. The Tomato Nucleotidebinding Leucine-rich Repeat Immune Receptor I-2 Couples DNA-binding to Nucleotide-binding Domain Nucleotide Exchange. J. Biol. Chem. 291:1137-47
- 37. Fenyk S, Townsend PD, Dixon CH, Spies GB, de San Eustaquio Campillo A, et al. 2015. The Potato Nucleotide-binding Leucine-rich Repeat (NLR) Immune Receptor Rx1 Is a Pathogen-dependent DNA-deforming Protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290:24945-60
- 38. Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, et al. 2016. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44:D279-85
- 39. Flor HH. 1971. Current Status of the Gene-For-Gene Concept. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 9:275-96
- 40. Fluhr R. 2001. Sentinels of disease. Plant resistance genes. *Plant Physiol.* 127:1367-74
- 41. Gan X, Stegle O, Behr J, Steffen JG, Drewe P, et al. 2011. Multiple reference genomes and transcriptomes for Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature* 477:419-23
- 42. Giolai M, Paajanen P, Verweij W, Percival-Alwyn L, Baker D, et al. 2016. Targeted capture and sequencing of gene-sized DNA molecules. *Biotechniques* 61:315-22
- 43. Giolai M, Paajanen P, Verweij W, Witek K, Jones JDG, Clark MD. 2017. Comparative analysis of targeted long read sequencing approaches for characterization of a plant's immune receptor repertoire. *BMC Genomics* 18
- 44. Goritschnig S, Steinbrenner AD, Grunwald DJ, Staskawicz BJ. 2016. Structurally distinct Arabidopsis thaliana NLR immune receptors recognize tandem WY domains of an oomycete effector. *New Phytol.* 210:984-96
- 45. Gruber M, Soding J, Lupas AN. 2005. REPPER--repeats and their periodicities in fibrous proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33:W239-W43
- 46. Guo Y-L, Fitz J, Schneeberger K, Ossowski S, Cao J, Weigel D. 2011. Genome-wide comparison of nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat-encoding genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 157:757-69
- 47. Hacquard S, Spaepen S, Garrido-Oter R, Schulze-Lefert P. 2017. Interplay Between Innate Immunity and the Plant Microbiota. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 55:565-89
- 48. Haft DH, Selengut JD, Richter RA, Harkins D, Basu MK, Beck E. 2013. TIGRFAMs and Genome Properties in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41:D387-95
- 49. Harris CJ, Slootweg EJ, Goverse A, Baulcombe DC. 2013. Stepwise artificial evolution of a plant disease resistance gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110:21189-94
- 50. Howles P, Lawrence G, Finnegan J, McFadden H, Ayliffe M, et al. 2005. Autoactive alleles of the flax L6 rust resistance gene induce non-race-specific rust resistance associated with the hypersensitive response. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 18:570-82
- 51. Huh SU, Cevik V, Ding P, Duxbury Z, Ma Y, et al. 2017. Protein-protein interactions in the RPS4/RRS1 immune receptor complex. *PLoS Pathog.* 13:e1006376
- 52. Hurni S, Brunner S, Stirnweis D, Herren G, Peditto D, et al. 2014. The powdery mildew resistance gene Pm8 derived from rye is suppressed by its wheat ortholog Pm3. *Plant J.* 79:904-13
- 53. Jacob F, Vernaldi S, Maekawa T. 2013. Evolution and Conservation of Plant NLR Functions. *Front. Immunol.* 4:297
- 54. Jia Y, McAdams SA, Bryan GT, Hershey HP, Valent B. 2000. Direct interaction of resistance gene and avirulence gene products confers rice blast resistance. *EMBO J.* 19:4004-14
- 55. Jones JDG, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. *Nature* 444:323-9
- 56. Jones JDG, Vance RE, Dangl JL. 2016. Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science* 354
- 57. Jupe F, Chen X, Verweij W, Witek K, Jones JDG, Hein I. 2014. Genomic DNA library preparation for resistance gene enrichment and sequencing (RenSeq) in plants. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1127:291-303

- 58. Jupe F, Witek K, Verweij W, Sliwka J, Pritchard L, et al. 2013. Resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) enables reannotation of the NB-LRR gene family from sequenced plant genomes and rapid mapping of resistance loci in segregating populations. *Plant J.* 76:530-44
- 59. Kemmerling B, Halter T, Mazzotta S, Mosher S, Nürnberger T. 2011. A genome-wide survey for Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases implicated in plant immunity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2:88
- 60. Kim J, Lim CJ, Lee B-W, Choi J-P, Oh S-K, et al. 2012. A genome-wide comparison of NB-LRR type of resistance gene analogs (RGA) in the plant kingdom. *Mol. Cells* 33:385-92
- 61. Kim SH, Qi D, Ashfield T, Helm M, Innes RW. 2016. Using decoys to expand the recognition specificity of a plant disease resistance protein. *Science* 351:684-7
- 62. Knepper C, Savory EA, Day B. 2011. Arabidopsis NDR1 is an integrin-like protein with a role in fluid loss and plasma membrane-cell wall adhesion. *Plant Physiol.* 156:286-300
- 63. Kourelis J, van der Hoorn RAL, Sueldo DJ. 2016. Decoy Engineering: The Next Step in Resistance Breeding. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21:371-3
- 64. Krasileva KV, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ. 2010. Activation of an Arabidopsis resistance protein is specified by the in planta association of its leucine-rich repeat domain with the cognate oomycete effector. *Plant Cell* 22:2444-58
- 65. Kroj T, Chanclud E, Michel-Romiti C, Grand X, Morel J-B. 2016. Integration of decoy domains derived from protein targets of pathogen effectors into plant immune receptors is widespread. *New Phytol.* 210:618-26
- 66. Kuang H, Wei F, Marano MR, Wirtz U, Wang X, et al. 2005. The R1 resistance gene cluster contains three groups of independently evolving, type I R1 homologues and shows substantial structural variation among haplotypes of Solanum demissum. *Plant J.* 44:37-51
- 67. Kuang H, Woo S-S, Meyers BC, Nevo E, Michelmore RW. 2004. Multiple genetic processes result in heterogeneous rates of evolution within the major cluster disease resistance genes in lettuce. *Plant Cell* 16:2870-94
- 68. Lacombe S, Rougon-Cardoso A, Sherwood E, Peeters N, Dahlbeck D, et al. 2010. Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28:365-9
- 69. Le Roux C, Huet G, Jauneau A, Camborde L, Trémousaygue D, et al. 2015. A receptor pair with an integrated decoy converts pathogen disabling of transcription factors to immunity. *Cell* 161:1074-88
- 70. Leppik EE. 1970. Gene Centers of Plants as Sources of Disease Resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 8:323-44
- 71. Letunic I, Doerks T, Bork P. 2015. SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2015. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43:D257-60
- 72. Li X, Kapos P, Zhang Y. 2015. NLRs in plants. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 32:114-21
- Liu Z, Halterman D. 2006. Identification and characterization of RB-orthologous genes from the late blight resistant wild potato species Solanum verrucosum. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 69:230-9
- 74. Lu X, Kracher B, Saur IML, Bauer S, Ellwood SR, et al. 2016. Allelic barley MLA immune receptors recognize sequence-unrelated avirulence effectors of the powdery mildew pathogen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113:E6486-E95
- 75. Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J. 1991. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. *Science* 252:1162-4
- 76. Maekawa T, Cheng W, Spiridon LN, Töller A, Lukasik E, et al. 2011. Coiled-coil domain-dependent homodimerization of intracellular barley immune receptors defines a minimal functional module for triggering cell death. *Cell Host Microbe* 9:187-99

- 77. Maekawa T, Kufer TA, Schulze-Lefert P. 2011. NLR functions in plant and animal immune systems: so far and yet so close. *Nat. Immunol.* 12:817-26
- 78. Maqbool A, Saitoh H, Franceschetti M, Stevenson C, Uemura A, et al. 2015. Structural basis of pathogen recognition by an integrated HMA domain in a plant NLR immune receptor. *Elife* 4
- 79. McDonnell AV, Jiang T, Keating AE, Berger B. 2006. Paircoil2: improved prediction of coiled coils from sequence. *Bioinformatics* 22:356-8
- 80. McDowell JM, Dhandaydham M, Long TA, Aarts MG, Goff S, et al. 1998. Intragenic recombination and diversifying selection contribute to the evolution of downy mildew resistance at the RPP8 locus of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10:1861-74
- 81. Mestre P. 2006. Elicitor-Mediated Oligomerization of the Tobacco N Disease Resistance Protein. *THE PLANT CELL ONLINE* 18:491-501
- 82. Meyers BC, Dickerman AW, Michelmore RW, Sivaramakrishnan S, Sobral BW, Young ND. 1999. Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotide-binding superfamily. *Plant J.* 20:317-32
- 83. Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW. 2003. Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 15:809-34
- 84. Meyers BC, Morgante M, Michelmore RW. 2002. TIR-X and TIR-NBS proteins: two new families related to disease resistance TIR-NBS-LRR proteins encoded inArabidopsisand other plant genomes. *Plant J.* 32:77-92
- 85. Mindrinos M, Katagiri F, Yu GL, Ausubel FM. 1994. The A. thaliana disease resistance gene RPS2 encodes a protein containing a nucleotide-binding site and leucine-rich repeats. *Cell* 78:1089-99
- 86. Mott GA, Middleton MA, Desveaux D, Guttman DS. 2014. Peptides and small molecules of the plant-pathogen apoplastic arena. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:677
- 87. Nishimura MT, Anderson RG, Cherkis KA, Law TF, Liu QL, et al. 2017. TIR-only protein RBA1 recognizes a pathogen effector to regulate cell death inArabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:E2053-E62
- 88. Nishimura MT, Monteiro F, Dangl JL. 2015. Treasure your exceptions: unusual domains in immune receptors reveal host virulence targets. *Cell* 161:957-60
- 89. Noël L, Moores TL, van Der Biezen EA, Parniske M, Daniels MJ, et al. 1999. Pronounced intraspecific haplotype divergence at the RPP5 complex disease resistance locus of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 11:2099-112
- 90. O'Neill LAJ, Bowie AG. 2007. The family of five: TIR-domain-containing adaptors in Toll-like receptor signalling. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 7:353-64
- 91. Pan Q, Wendel J, Fluhr R. 2000. Divergent evolution of plant NBS-LRR resistance gene homologues in dicot and cereal genomes. *J. Mol. Evol.* 50:203-13
- 92. Peart JR, Mestre P, Lu R, Malcuit I, Baulcombe DC. 2005. NRG1, a CC-NB-LRR Protein, together with N, a TIR-NB-LRR Protein, Mediates Resistance against Tobacco Mosaic Virus. *Curr. Biol.* 15:968-73
- 93. Peele HM, Guan N, Fogelqvist J, Dixelius C. 2014. Loss and retention of resistance genes in five species of the Brassicaceae family. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:298
- 94. Potato Genome Sequencing C, Xu X, Pan S, Cheng S, Zhang B, et al. 2011. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* 475:189-95
- 95. Sarris PF, Cevik V, Dagdas G, Jones JDG, Krasileva KV. 2016. Comparative analysis of plant immune receptor architectures uncovers host proteins likely targeted by pathogens. *BMC Biol.* 14:8
- 96. Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU, Ma Y, Segonzac C, et al. 2015. A Plant Immune Receptor Detects Pathogen Effectors that Target WRKY Transcription Factors. *Cell* 161:1089-100

- 97. Schreiber KJ, Bentham A, Williams SJ, Kobe B, Staskawicz BJ. 2016. Multiple Domain Associations within the Arabidopsis Immune Receptor RPP1 Regulate the Activation of Programmed Cell Death. *PLoS Pathog.* 12:e1005769
- 98. Segretin ME, Pais M, Franceschetti M, Chaparro-Garcia A, Bos JIB, et al. 2014. Single amino acid mutations in the potato immune receptor R3a expand response to Phytophthora effectors. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 27:624-37
- 99. Shao Z-Q, Xue J-Y, Wu P, Zhang Y-M, Wu Y, et al. 2016. Large-Scale Analyses of Angiosperm Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat Genes Reveal Three Anciently Diverged Classes with Distinct Evolutionary Patterns. *Plant Physiol.* 170:2095-109
- 100. Shirasu K. 2009. The HSP90-SGT1 chaperone complex for NLR immune sensors. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 60:139-64
- 101. Slootweg E, Koropacka K, Roosien J, Dees R, Overmars H, et al. 2017. Sequence Exchange between Homologous NB-LRR Genes Converts Virus Resistance into Nematode Resistance, and Vice Versa. *Plant Physiol.* 175:498-510
- 102. Slootweg EJ, Spiridon LN, Roosien J, Butterbach P, Pomp R, et al. 2013. Structural determinants at the interface of the ARC2 and leucine-rich repeat domains control the activation of the plant immune receptors Rx1 and Gpa2. *Plant Physiol.* 162:1510-28
- Staal J, Kaliff M, Dewaele E, Persson M, Dixelius C. 2008. RLM3, a TIR domain encoding gene involved in broad-range immunity of Arabidopsis to necrotrophic fungal pathogens. *Plant J*. 55:188-200
- 104. Stahl EA, Dwyer G, Mauricio R, Kreitman M, Bergelson J. 1999. Dynamics of disease resistance polymorphism at the Rpm1 locus of Arabidopsis. *Nature* 400:667-71
- 105. Staskawicz BJ, Ausubel FM, Baker BJ, Ellis JG, Jones JD. 1995. Molecular genetics of plant disease resistance. *Science* 268:661-7
- 106. Stirnweis D, Milani SD, Jordan T, Keller B, Brunner S. 2014. Substitutions of two amino acids in the nucleotide-binding site domain of a resistance protein enhance the hypersensitive response and enlarge the PM3F resistance spectrum in wheat. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 27:265-76
- 107. Stuttmann J, Peine N, Garcia AV, Wagner C, Choudhury SR, et al. 2016. Arabidopsis thaliana DM2h (R8) within the Landsberg RPP1-like Resistance Locus Underlies Three Different Cases of EDS1-Conditioned Autoimmunity. *PLoS Genet*. 12:e1005990
- 108. Swiderski MR, Birker D, Jones JDG. 2009. The TIR domain of TIR-NB-LRR resistance proteins is a signaling domain involved in cell death induction. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 22:157-65
- 109. Takken FL, Albrecht M, Tameling WI. 2006. Resistance proteins: molecular switches of plant defence. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 9:383-90
- 110. Takken FLW, Goverse A. 2012. How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis of NB-LRR function. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 15:375-84
- 111. Tameling WIL, Elzinga SDJ, Darmin PS, Vossen JH, Takken FLW, et al. 2002. The Tomato R Gene Products I-2 and Mi-1 Are Functional ATP Binding Proteins with ATPase Activity. *Plant Cell* 14:2929-39
- 112. Tang D, Wang G, Zhou J-M. 2017. Receptor Kinases in Plant-Pathogen Interactions: More Than Pattern Recognition. *Plant Cell* 29:618-37
- 113. Thomma BPHJ, Nürnberger T, Joosten MHAJ. 2011. Of PAMPs and effectors: the blurred PTI-ETI dichotomy. *Plant Cell* 23:4-15
- 114. Tian D, Araki H, Stahl E, Bergelson J, Kreitman M. 2002. Signature of balancing selection in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99:11525-30
- 115. Tomato Genome C. 2012. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* 485:635-41

- 116. Tran DTN, Chung E-H, Habring-Müller A, Demar M, Schwab R, et al. 2017. Activation of a Plant NLR Complex through Heteromeric Association with an Autoimmune Risk Variant of Another NLR. *Curr. Biol.* 27:1148-60
- 117. Urbach JM, Ausubel FM. 2017. The NBS-LRR architectures of plant R-proteins and metazoan NLRs evolved in independent events. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114:1063-8
- 118. Van der Biezen EA, Jones JD. 1998. Plant disease-resistance proteins and the gene-for-gene concept. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23:454-6
- 119. Varden FA, De la Concepcion JC, Maidment JH, Banfield MJ. 2017. Taking the stage: effectors in the spotlight. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 38:25-33
- 120. Vavilov NI. 1951. The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants. *Soil Sci.* 72:482
- 121. Wagner S, Stuttmann J, Rietz S, Guerois R, Brunstein E, et al. 2013. Structural basis for signaling by exclusive EDS1 heteromeric complexes with SAG101 or PAD4 in plant innate immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 14:619-30
- 122. Wang GL, Ruan DL, Song WY, Sideris S, Chen L, et al. 1998. Xa21D encodes a receptor-like molecule with a leucine-rich repeat domain that determines race-specific recognition and is subject to adaptive evolution. *Plant Cell* 10:765-79
- 123. Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Zhang X, Yang S. 2013. A missense mutation in CHS1, a TIR-NB protein, induces chilling sensitivity in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 75:553-65
- 124. Whitham S, Dinesh-Kumar SP, Choi D, Hehl R, Corr C, Baker B. 1994. The product of the tobacco mosaic virus resistance gene N: similarity to toll and the interleukin-1 receptor. *Cell* 78:1101-15
- 125. Wiermer M, Feys BJ, Parker JE. 2005. Plant immunity: the EDS1 regulatory node. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 8:383-9
- 126. Williams SJ, Sohn KH, Wan L, Bernoux M, Sarris PF, et al. 2014. Structural basis for assembly and function of a heterodimeric plant immune receptor. *Science* 344:299-303
- 127. Williams SJ, Sornaraj P, deCourcy-Ireland E, Ian Menz R, Kobe B, et al. 2011. An Autoactive Mutant of the M Flax Rust Resistance Protein Has a Preference for Binding ATP, Whereas Wild-Type M Protein Binds ADP. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 24:897-906
- 128. Witek K, Jupe F, Witek AI, Baker D, Clark MD, Jones JDG. 2016. Accelerated cloning of a potato late blight–resistance gene using RenSeq and SMRT sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34:656-60
- 129. Wolf E, Kim PS, Berger B. 1997. MultiCoil: a program for predicting two- and three-stranded coiled coils. *Protein Sci.* 6:1179-89
- 130. Wu C-H, Abd-El-Haliem A, Bozkurt TO, Belhaj K, Terauchi R, et al. 2017. NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114:8113-8
- 131. Wu C-H, Krasileva KV, Banfield MJ, Terauchi R, Kamoun S. 2015. The "sensor domains" of plant NLR proteins: more than decoys? *Front. Plant Sci.* 6
- 132. Xiao S, Ellwood S, Calis O, Patrick E, Li T, et al. 2001. Broad-spectrum mildew resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana mediated by RPW8. *Science* 291:118-20
- 133. Yang S, Feng Z, Zhang X, Jiang K, Jin X, et al. 2006. Genome-wide investigation on the genetic variations of rice disease resistance genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 62:181-93
- 134. Yu X, Feng B, He P, Shan L. 2017. From Chaos to Harmony: Responses and Signaling upon Microbial Pattern Recognition. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 55:109-37
- 135. Yue J-X, Meyers BC, Chen J-Q, Tian D, Yang S. 2012. Tracing the origin and evolutionary history of plant nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes. *New Phytol.* 193:1049-63
- 136. Zbierzak AM, Porfirova S, Griebel T, Melzer M, Parker JE, Dörmann P. 2013. A TIR-NBS protein encoded by Arabidopsis Chilling Sensitive 1 (CHS1) limits chloroplast damage and cell death at low temperature. *Plant J.* 75:539-52

- 137. Zhai C, Zhang Y, Yao N, Lin F, Liu Z, et al. 2014. Function and interaction of the coupled genes responsible for Pik-h encoded rice blast resistance. *PLoS One* 9:e98067
- 138. Zhang X, Bernoux M, Bentham AR, Newman TE, Ve T, et al. 2017. Multiple functional selfassociation interfaces in plant TIR domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114:E2046-E52
- 139. Zhang X, Dodds PN, Bernoux M. 2017. What Do We Know About NOD-Like Receptors in Plant Immunity? *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 55:205-29
- 140. Zhang Y, Wang Y, Liu J, Ding Y, Wang S, et al. 2017. Temperature-dependent autoimmunity mediated by chs1 requires its neighboring TNL gene SOC3. *New Phytol.* 213:1330-45
- 141. Zhao B, Lin X, Poland J, Trick H, Leach J, Hulbert S. 2005. A maize resistance gene functions against bacterial streak disease in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102:15383-8
- 142. Zhao T, Rui L, Li J, Nishimura MT, Vogel JP, et al. 2015. A truncated NLR protein, TIR-NBS2, is required for activated defense responses in the exo70B1 mutant. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1004945
- 143. Zheng F, Wu H, Zhang R, Li S, He W, et al. 2016. Molecular phylogeny and dynamic evolution of disease resistance genes in the legume family. *BMC Genomics* 17:402
- 144. Zhong Y, Cheng Z-MM. 2016. A unique RPW8-encoding class of genes that originated in early land plants and evolved through domain fission, fusion, and duplication. *Sci. Rep.* 6:32923
- 145. Zipfel C. 2014. Plant pattern-recognition receptors. *Trends Immunol.* 35:345-51

### **TERMS AND DEFINITIONS:**

ETI - Effector-Triggered Immunity.

PAMP - Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern.

- PTI PAMP-Triggered Immunity.
- NLR Nucleotide-binding Leucine-Rich Repeat or NOD-Like Receptor.
- TIR Toll/interleukin-1 receptor.
- TNL TIR-containing NLR.
- CC Coiled-Coil.
- CNL CC-containing NLR.
- RNL RPW8-containing NLR
- PRR Pattern Recognition Receptors.
- HR Hypersensitive Response.
- LRR Leucine-Rich Repeat.
- NBS Nucleotide-Binding Site.
- IDs Integrated Domains, or Integrated Decoys.
- Pan-NLRome union of all the NLRs found in a collection of individuals (same population, species, family, etc).
- At-panNLRome Arabidopsis thaliana pan-NLRome.
- HMM Hidden Markov Model.
- RenSeq Resistance-gene ENrichment and SEQuencing.
- SMRT Single Molecule Real Time.
- MBP Mapping by Sequencing.
- SNP Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms.

#### **RELATED RESOURCES:**

- The Plant Resistance Genes database (PRGdb). http://prgdb.org
- Public release of RenSeq assemblies from 69 accessions of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and R-genes from four Nicotiana and four Solanum species. http://2blades.org/resources/
- Wikipedia List of sequenced plant genomes (last Updated in October 2017). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_sequenced\_plant\_genomes

## SIDEBAR:

Checklist for genome-wide NLR interrogation studies:

- HMM profiles are powerful tools to identify functional domains.
- Curated HMM profile databases include Pfam-A, Panther, SMART, TIGRFAM, among many others.
- HMMER and InterproScan are currently the most used bioinformatic tools to detect functional domains.
- Consider using multiple secondary structure prediction tools to detect proteins likely to present a coiled-coil fold, and report the probability cut-off.
- To facilitate reproducibility, authors should include model specific cut-offs included in curated HMM databases and report software and database versions, as well as genome annotation release.

Figure 1 draft



Genomically-paired NLRs combine an signaling NLR and a receptor NLR that contains a decoy domain



Figure 1) NLRs are modular switches. (a) typical plant NLRs contain a variable Nterminal domain, either TIR (T), Coiled-coil (C) or RPW8-like (R) domain followed by an NBS domain (N) and Leucine-rich repeat domain (L). (b) NLRs undergo conformation switching depending on ADP/ATP binding state induced/stabilized by effector (or guardee) trigger. Multimerization of N-terminus is required and often sufficient for signaling (red glow). The exact multimerization state is not known, but is shown here only as dimeric for graphical clarity. Detection of pathogen effectors can either be direct (i) or indirectly via modification of a host guardee protein (G). (c) Plant genomes contain a diverse array of NLR domain combinations. (d) Plant genomes contain NLRs with unusual "integrated domains" (X). Integrated domains can occur in many locations in the NLR domain structure. Example shown are from Arabidopsis. (e) NLRs with integrated domains are often found as pairs divergently expressed at a single genomic locus. In the case of RPS4/RRS1, effector (PopP2) targeting and acetylation of the integrated WRKY decoy domain (W) in RRS1 activates RPS4 to activate defense responses. The exact stoichiometry and orientation of RRS1 and RPS4 pre and post activation are unknown, but RPS4 and RRS1 interact pre-activation and the postactivation complex requires RPS4-RPS4 TIR self-association to signal. (f) Truncated NLRs likely function in hetero-oligomeric immune complexes. (f;i) Autoactivity triggered by the TN mutant *chs1-1* requires full length TNL SOC3. CHS1 and SOC3 also occur in a genomic pair. SOC3 physically interacts with CHS1, but it is unclear if this pair also functions to recognize a pathogen effector. (f;ii) RBA1 encodes a TIR-only protein that triggers cell-death in response to the pathogen effector HopBA1. While RBA1 and HopBA1 co-immunoprecipitate, they may not interact directly and could require unknown components such as a putative guardee or an unknown partner TNL.

				٣		£		-LRR		-LRR		NB	NB-LRR
Species	Reference	Data Source	Ę	NB-LRF	R	rir-Lr	rir-NB	rir-NB	CC-NB	CC-NB.	RPW8	RPW8-I	RPW8-I
	Kim et al. 2012	JGI. v1.1	28	12	2	-	-	1	0	0	-	-	-
Physcomitrella patens	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v3	87	49	-	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	-
	Zhou et al. 2004	Bai et al. 2002; Genbank; BLAST; GRAMENE; ab initio annotations	45	320	-	-	3	-	7	160	-	-	-
Oryza sativa	Kim et al. 2012	MSU, v6.1	36	167	2	-	0	0	40	333	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v7	595	438	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
	Nepal et al. 2017	JGI, Phytozome	-	-	-	-	-	-			149 *		
Triticum aestivum	Bouktila et al. 2015	NCBI; ab initio annotation	1	96	-	-	-	-	5	334	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	Ensembl, MIPS v22	1224	627	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
	Tan and Wu 2012	brachypodium.org, v1.2	12	16	-	-	-	-	48	157	-	-	-
Brachypodium distachyon	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1	12	47	1	-	0	0	18	107	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v2.1	501	357	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
	Cheng et al. 2012	maizesequence.org; ab initio annotation	7	31	-	-	0	0	11	58	-	-	-
Zea mays cv. B73	Kim et al. 2012	maizegdb.org, v4a.53	16	31	3	-	0	0	9	63	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v6a	191	105	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
Solanum lycopersicum Heinz 1706	Andolfo et al. 2014	RenSeq	57	88	10	1	3	26	14	107	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, iTAGv2.3	264	137	-	-	5	19	-	-	-	-	-
Solanum pimpinellifolium LA1589	Andolfo et al. 2014	RenSeq	122	78	12	1	6	14	34	32	-	-	-
Solanum americanum SP2271	Witek et al. 2016	RenSeq	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	528	-	-	-
Mimulus guttatus	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1	5	53	1	-	0	0	12	67	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v2	344	190	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
Beta vulgaris	Dohm et al. 2014	Genome annotation	22	56	-	-	-	1	26	32	-	-	-
	Yu et al. 2014	Genoscope	36	159	10	-	14	97	26	203	-	-	-
Vitis vinifera	Kim et al. 2012	Genoscope		133	22	-	26	99	22	254	-	-	-
	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBI/Phytozome, v8	182	130	75	-	7	14	75	69	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, Genoscope12X	323	256	-	-	3	18	-	-	-	-	-
	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1		127	35	-	12	140	0	122	-	-	-
Glycine max	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phytozome v1.1	42	145	-	-	24	124	8	109	-	1	9
	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBI/Phytozome, v1.1	156	70	53	-	68	67	46	68	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, Wm82.a2.v1	784	669	-	-	49	254	-	-	-	-	-
	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phytozome, v1	3	100	-	-	13	76	9	128	-	0	5
Phaseolus vulgaris	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBI/Phytozome, v1	59	20	57	-	9	1	40	31	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v1	406	381	-	-	15	98	-	-	-	-	-
	Kim et al. 2012	medicago.org, v3	95	132	98	-	47	142	15	139	-	-	-
	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phytozome, v3	111	145	-	-	49	121	16	94	-	0	8
Medicago truncatula	Yu et al. 2014	medicago.org,	328	-	92	-	38	118	25	152	-	-	-
	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBI/Phytozome, 3.5v5	193	102	44		127	44	44	49	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, Mt4.0v1	1074	893	-	-	63	361	-	-	-	-	-
Capsella rubella	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	8	41	-	-	9	31	4	32	-	1	9
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v1	152	127	-	-	11	40	-	-	-	-	-
	Kim et al. 2012	TAIR9	6	26	40	-	18	98	2	48	-	-	-
Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0	Yu et al. 2014	TAIR10	26	20	46	-	17	79	8	17	-	-	-
	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013		13	-	-	14	80	3	40	-	1	5
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, TAIR10	213	182	-	-	18	105	-	-	-	-	-
	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	15	31	-	-	17	92	6	27	-	0	5
Arabidopsis lyrata	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1		36	41	-	18	98	2	33	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v10, v1		163	-	-	19	96	-	-	-	-	-
	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	8	29	-	-	22	83	7	35	-	0	7
Brassica rapa	Yu et al. 2014	brassicadb.org/brad/,	29	27	42	-	23	93	15	19	-	-	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	brassicadb.org/brad/, v1.2	207	164	-	-	22	92	-	-	-	-	-
Brassica oleracea	Yu et al. 2014	ocri-genomics.org/bolbase/	53	24	82		29	40	5	6	0.**	0.11	
	Golicz et al. 2016	Pangenome	114	97			41	132	30	25	2 **	3 **	

(\*) Contains non-TIR (RPW8, CC, NBS-LRR)

(\*\*) Number of genes detected in the gene models provided by the authors using a conservative hmmscan with PfamA RPW8 and NB-ARC --cut\_tc thresholds

NB-stats

RR



Species	Reference	Data Source	8	IB-LRR	¥	IR-LRR	IR-NB	IR-NB 4.RI	C-NB	C-NB-LRF	8Md3	RPW8-NB	PW8-NB-I
	Kim at al. 2012	sQL et 1	28	12	2	-	F		0	0		- 12	-
Physcomitrella patens	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI. Phytozome v 10, v3	87	49	-		2	4					
	Zhou et al. 2004	Bai et al. 2002; Genbank; BLAST; GRAMENE; ab initio annotations	45	320			3	÷	7	160		-	.+.
Onura saltura	Kim et al. 2012	MSU v6.1	36	167	2	- 22	0	0	40	333	- 22	-	
City to serve	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v 10, v7	595	438		*2	0	0		-	*2	240	+
	Nepal et al. 2017	JGI. Phytozome			2.3	. 3		1.1		1	149 *		
	Bouktila et al. 2015	NCBI: ab initio annotation	1	96		12			5	334	-		
Triticum aestivum	Sarris et al. 2016	Ensembl, MIPS v22	1224	627			0	0				-	
	Tan and Wu 2012	brachypodium.org, v1.2	12	16			-	-	48	157	-	-	
Brachypodium distachyon	Kimetal 2012	JGL VI	12	47	1		0	0	18	107			
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGL Phytozome v 10, v2,1	501	357			0	0					
1	Cheng et al. 2012	maizes equence org: ab initio annotation	7	31			0	0	11	58			
Zea mays cy. 873	Kimetal 2012	maizeadh am wia 51	18	31	-		0	0	9	63			
	Sarris et al. 2018	IGI Phylorome v 10 v9a	191	105			0	0		- 00	-		
	Andolfo et al. 2014	Person	57	88	10	1	3	28	1.6	107			1. I
Solanum lycopersicum Heinz 1706	Service at al. 2016	IGI Dividrome v 10 iTAGv2 3	264	137	10	+ - 2	5	10	1.14	Tur		-	
Rolanum nimpinalijalijum 1.4.1580	Andolfo et al. 2014	DeoSec	100	7.9	12		6	1.4	34	30			
Edatum manistration CD 2271	Million et al. 2014	Bastan	122	ra	12	- 1 S.		100	34	5.00	-		
Solarium americanum 3P2271	Kim at al. 2012	IGI st	5	53				0	12	87			
Mimulus guttatus	Service at al. 2018	ICI. Photograma u 10 u 2	200	100			0	0	14	Sr			
Rata utharie	Dohmatal 2014	Sol, Phytozofie v 10, vz	222	56			0	1	26	32	-		
beta rugans	Vicet al. 2014	Genome annotation	38	150	10	-	1.4	07	20	202	-		-
	View et al. 2014	Commune	00	100	10		19	37	20	205		-	17.1
Vitis vinifera	Kim et al. 2012	Genoscope	100	133	75		20	14	22	209	-		
	zneng et al. 2016	NGSYPhytozome, ve		130	10	-	1	14	75	09	-		-
	Sarris et al. 2016	Genoscope12X	323	256	•		3	18			- 83		-
	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1	11	127	35	100	12	140	0	122	10	-	+
Givone max	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phylozome v 1.1	42	145		3	24	124	8	109	3	1	9
	Zheng et al. 2016	NCB/Phytozome, v1.1	156	70	53	18	68	67	46	68	- 20		
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v 10, Wm82.a2.v1	784	669		$\sim$	49	254					
	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phytozome, v1	3	100	1.	•/	13	76	9	128		0	5
Phaseolus vulgaris	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBI/Phylozome, v1	59	20	57	-	9	1	40	31	-		-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v 10, v1	406	381	31	100	15	98			100	1	÷
	Kimetal. 2012	medicago.org, v3	95	132	98	190	47	142	15	139	100		•
	Shao et al. 2014	JGI, Phytozome, v3	111	145			49	121	16	94	-*	0	8
Medicago truncatula	Yu et al. 2014	medicago.org,	328		92	1	38	118	25	152	12		-
	Zheng et al. 2016	NCBIPhylozome, 3.5v5	193	102	44		127	44	44	49	10		
	Sams et al. 2016	JG1, Phytozome v 10, MM 0v1	1074	893			63	361	-	1 - L			
Consulta a desta	Y -M. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	8	41	-	-	9	31	4	32	- 41	1	9
Capseia rubeia	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v 10, v1	152	127		-	11	40		1			
-	Kim et al. 2012	TAIR9	6	26	40		18	98	2	48	-		
Ambida ala Ballana Cal A	Yu et al. 2014	TAIR10	26	20	46		17	79	в	17	-	1	
Anabidopsis mailana Col+0	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	2	13	1.5	- 62	14	80	3	40	- 63	1	5
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome v 10, TAIR10	213	182			18	105					
	YM. Zhang et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	15	31	6. a.C		17	92	6	27		0	5
Arabidopsis lyrata	Kim et al. 2012	JGI, v1	13	36	41	-	18	98	2	33	- 23	24	-
	Sarris et al. 2016	JGI, Phytozome x 10, v1	204	163			19	96					
	YM. Zhang et al 2016	JGI, Phytozome, Aug. 2013	8	29			22	83	7	35	- 1	0	7
Brassica rapa	Yu et al. 2014	brassic adb org/brad/,	29	27	42	1	23	93	15	19	120		
	Sarris et al. 2016	brassicadb.org/brad/, vf 2	207	164			22	92		-			-
BARING SHOWERS OF	Yu et al. 2014	ocrigenomics.org/bolbase/	53	24	82		29	40	5	8	1		
Brassica deracea	Griffer et al 2016	Panamome	114	97	94 - 18		101	132	30	1-26	2 44	9.44	ne s

(\*) Contains non-TIR (RPW8, CC, NBS-LRR)

(\*\*) Number of genes detected in the gene models provided by the authors using a conservative hmmscan with PfamA RPW8 and NB-ARC ---cut\_tc thresholds

#### Table 1 Legend

**Survey of the number of NLR proteins in 19 plant species.** The total number of NBS genes in each domain arrangement was retrieved from the indicated papers. One asterisk indicates reported non-TIR NLRs. Two asterisks refer to domains present in the fasta sequences provided by the authors, but not explicitly presented in the respective publication. HMMER with Trusted Cutoff threshold was used to retrieve RPW8 domains. Table rows are colored according to taxonomic family, with different shades for each species. Yellow, Poaceae; Orange, Solanaceae; Blue, Fabaceae; and Green, Brassicaceae. The phylogeny of the species listed in the table was obtained from timetree.org.



#### Figure 2 legend:

Plant NLR repertoires at the service of pathogen resistance engineering. (A) Wild relatives of an interesting crop might exhibit useful disease resistance phenotypes. NLR sequencing with RenSeg or MBP (Mapping by sequencing, Gina et al. Nature Communications 2017) allows the identification of crop and wild relative NLR repertoires. Comparative analysis of presence-absence, SNP and InDel polymorphisms assist the identification of NLR(s). Upon identification of the R-gene(s) in a resistant wild relative, resistance can be introgressed into the crop by hybridization and consecutive backcrosses. RenSeq might be a valuable tool to reduce genome complexity and assist selection of progeny. (B) When the crop and the wild relative are sexually incompatible, the NLR(s) can be cloned from the wild relative (or a more phylogenetically distant genome) and introduced the desired crop via transgenesis. (C) In the future, the accumulated knowledge in NLR domain swapping, integrated decoys, pathogen effector targets and point-mutation alleles will be used to engineer novel resistances. Pathogen effector targets might be incorporated into an already existent NLR-ID, in order to create a novel sensor. Modification of NLR-associated guardees or decoys (such as PBS1, not shown) are also possible.



## Supplemental Table 1 Legend:

**Atypical domains detected NLR genes from different plant species.** Data obtained from Sarris et al. BMC Biology 2016. Green boxes show overrepresented in NLRs compared to the rest of the genomes, using significant Fisher's exact test p-value lower than 0.05. Grey boxes indicate fusion of the respective domain fusion to at least one NLR, but no enrichment.

### Supplemental Table 2

Species	Year	NLR-report	References
Oryza sativa ssp. japonica cultivar	2004,	Yes	(Monosi et al. 2004)
Nipponbare	2006,		(Zhou et al. 2004)
	2010,		(S. Yang et al. 2006)
	2012,		(J. Li et al. 2010)
	2017		(Luo et al. 2012)
			(Nepal et al. 2017)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
Oryza sativa ssp indica cv 93-11	2015	Yes	(S. Singh et al. 2015)
Oryza sativa ssp indica cv HR-12	2016	Yes	(Mahesh et al. 2016)
Oryza sativa ssp japonica	2015	Yes	(S. Singh et al. 2015)
Oryza brachyantha	2015	Yes	(S. Singh et al. 2015)
Oryza nivara	2014	Yes	(QJ. Zhang et al. 2014)
Oryza glaberrima	2014	Yes	(QJ. Zhang et al. 2014)
Oryza barthii	2014	Yes	(QJ. Zhang et al. 2014)
Oryza glumaepatula	2014	Yes	(QJ. Zhang et al. 2014)
Oryza meridionalis	2014	Yes	(QJ. Zhang et al. 2014)
Medicago truncatula	2003,	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
-	2008,		(Ameline-Torregrosa et al. 2008)
	2011,		(Young et al. 2011)
	2014,		(Shao et al. 2014)
	2016,		(Zheng et al. 2016)
	2017		(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Nepal et al. 2017)
Vitis vinifera	2007,	Yes	(Velasco et al. 2007)
	2008,		(S. Yang et al. 2008)
	2016		(Zheng et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Vitis davidii	2017	Yes	(Y. Zhang et al. 2017)
Carica papaya	2008,	Yes	(Ming et al. 2008)
	2009,		(Porter et al. 2009)
	2016		(YM. Zhang et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Sorghum bicolor	2009,	Yes	(Paterson et al. 2009)
	2010,		(J. Li et al. 2010)
	2012,		(Luo et al. 2012)
	2014,		(Mace et al. 2014)
	2016		(Xiping Yang and Wang 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Zea mays	2010,	Yes	(J. Li et al. 2010)
	2012,		(Luo et al. 2012)
	2016		(Cheng et al. 2012)

			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Brachypodium distachyon	2010,	Yes	(J. Li et al. 2010)
	2012,		(Luo et al. 2012)
	2016		(Tan and Wu 2012)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
Glycine max	2003,	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
	2011,		(Xiaohui Zhang et al. 2011)
	2014,		(Shao et al. 2014)
	2016,		(YH. Li et al. 2014)
	2017		(Zheng et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Nepal et al. 2017)
Glycine soja	2016	Yes	(Zheng et al. 2016)
Solanum tuberosum group phureja	2011,	Yes	(Potato Genome Sequencing
	2012		Consortium et al. 2011)
			(Lozano et al. 2012)
Solanum tuberosum	2013,	Yes	(Jupe et al. 2013)
	2016		(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Solanum americanum	2016	Yes	(Witek et al. 2016)
Solanum lycopersicum	2013,	Yes	(G. Andolfo et al. 2013)
	2014,		(Jupe et al. 2013)
	2016		(Giuseppe Andolfo et al. 2014)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)(Sarris et al. 2016)
Phaseolus vulgaris	2003,	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
	2014,		(Schmutz et al. 2014)
	2016		(Shao et al. 2014)
	2017		(VIASOVA et al. 2016)
			(Zheny et al. 2016) (Serrie et al. 2016)
			(Sam et al. 2010)
			(100  et al. 2010)
			(Bichard et al. 2017)
			(Nenal et al. $2017$ )
Caianus caian	2010	Yes	(Varshnev et al. 2010)
Cajanus Cajan	2010, 2012	103	(N K Singh et al. 2012)
	2012,		(Shao et al. $2014$ )
	2016		(Zheng et al. 2016)
			(Kroi et al. 2016)
Corchorus olitorius cv. JRO-524	2017	Yes	(Sarkar et al. 2017)
(Navin)			(
Capsicum annuum	2014,	Yes	(S. Kim et al. 2014)
	2017		(S. Kim et al. 2017)
Solanum melongena	2012	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012)
	(PCR),		(Xu Yang et al. 2014)
	2014,		(Reddy et al. 2015)
	2015		(Di Donato et al. 2017)

	(PCR),		
	2017		
Solanum torvum	2014	Yes	(Xu Yang et al. 2014)
Solanum pennellii	2016	Yes	(Stam, Scheikl, and Tellier 2016)
Nicotiana glauca	2016	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana noctiflora	2016	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana cordifolia	2106	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana knightiana	2016	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana setchellii	2016	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana tomentosiformis	2016	Yes	(Long et al. 2016)
Nicotiana tabacum	2014	Yes	(Sierro et al. 2014)
Nicotiana sylvestris	2014	Yes	(Sierro et al. 2014)
Nicotiana tomentosiformis	2014	Yes	(Sierro et al. 2014)
Arachis ipaensis	2016,	Yes	(David John Bertioli et al. 2016)
,	2017		(Hui Song et al. 2017)
Arachis duranensis	2003,	Yes	(Bertioli et al. 2003)
	2016,		(David John Bertioli et al. 2016)
	2017		(Hui Song et al. 2017)
Daucus carota	2016	Yes	(lorizzo et al. 2016)
Cicer arietinum	2006,	Yes	(Palomino et al. 2006)
	2016,		(Zheng et al. 2016)
	2017		(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sharma, Rawat, and Suresh 2017)
Vicia faba	2006	Yes	(Palomino et al. 2006)
Lotus japonicus	2003,	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
	2015,		(H. Song et al. 2015)
	2016		(Zheng et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
Arachis cardenasii	2003	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
Arachis hypogaea var. Tatu	2003	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
Arachis stenosperma	2003	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
Arachis simpsonii	2003	Yes	(D. J. Bertioli et al. 2003)
Lactuca sativa	2004,	Yes	(Plocik, Layden, and Kesseli 2004)
	2009,		(McHale et al. 2009)
	2015,		(Christopoulou et al. 2015)
	2017		(Reyes-Chin-Wo et al. 2017)
Helianthus annuus	2004,	Yes	(Plocik, Layden, and Kesseli 2004)
	2008		(Radwan et al. 2008)
Cichorium intybus	2004	Yes	(Plocik, Layden, and Kesseli 2004)
Cucumis melo	2005,	Yes	(van Leeuwen et al. 2005)
	2012,		(Garcia-Mas et al. 2012)
	2014,		(Gonzalez et al. 2014)
	2016,		(Natarajan et al. 2016)
	2000	Vaa	$\frac{\text{GalUld-Was } 2010}{(\text{Sanwan Huang at al. 2000})}$
Cucumis salivus	∠009, 2012	res	
	2013,		(VValletal. 2013)
	2010		$(110) \in (a)$ (Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Jamis Et al. 2010)

Arabidopsis thaliana	2003,	Yes	(Meyers 2003)
,	2011,		(YL. Guo et al. 2011)
	2014,		(Gan et al. 2011)
	2015.		(Peele et al. 2014)
	2016.		(YM. Zhang et al. 2016)
	2017		(Zapata et al. 2016)
	-		(Kroi et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Nepal et al. 2017)
Arabidopsis Ivrata	2011	Yes	(Y -L Guo et al 2011)
	2014	100	(Peele et al. 2014)
	2015		(Y - M - Zhang et al. 2016)
	2016		(Buckley et al. 2016)
	2010		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Cansella rubella	2012	Ves	(Cos Slotte and Wright 2012)
Capsena rubena	2012,	103	(Peele et al. 2014)
	2014,		(Y - M - 7hang et al. 2016)
	2010		(1M. Zhang et al. 2010) (Sarris et al. 2016)
Capaalla grandiflara	2012	Voo	(Coo Slotto and Wright 2012)
Capsella granullora	2012,	res	(Gos, Siolle, and Wright 2012)
Dragging rang	2010	Vaa	
Brassica rapa	2009,	res	(Mun et al. 2009)
	2014,		(P. VU et al. 2014)
	2016		(Peele et al. 2014)
			(Yu et al. 2014)
			(YM. Zhang et al. 2016)
	0011		(Sarris et al. 2016)
	2014,	Yes	(Peele et al. $2014$ )
(Thellungiella salsuginea)	2016		(YM. Zhang et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Brassica napus	2014,	Yes	(Chalhoub et al. 2014)
	2016		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Camelina sativa	2013	Yes	(Liang et al. 2013)
Brassica oleracea	2014,	Yes	(Yu et al. 2014)
	2016		(Golicz et al. 2016)
Aquilegia coerulea	2011	Yes	(Collier, Hamel, and Moffett 2011)
Ananas comosus	2016	Yes	(Xiaodan Zhang, Liang, and Ming 2016)
Malus domestica	2010,	Yes	(Velasco et al. 2010)
	2014,		(Arya et al. 2014)
	2015,		(Zhong et al. 2015)
	2016		(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Arabidopsis halleri	2016	Yes	(Survawanshi et al. 2016)
Triticum aestivum	2015.	Yes	(Bouktila et al. 2015)
	2016		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Citrus sinensis	2013	Yes	(Q. Xu et al. 2013)
	2015		(Wang et al. 2015)
	2016		(Kroi et al. 2016)
Citrus clementina	2015	Yes	(Wang et al. 2015)

Eucalyptus grandis	2014,	Yes	(Myburg et al. 2014)
	2015,		(Christie et al. 2015)
	2016		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Fragaria vesca	2013,	Yes	(J. Li et al. 2013)
-	2015,		(Zhong et al. 2015)
	2016		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Pyrus bretschneideri	2012,	Yes	(Jun Wu et al. 2013)
	2015		(Zhong et al. 2015)
Prunus persica	2013,	Yes	(International Peach Genome
	2015,		Initiative et al. 2013)
	2016		(Zhong et al. 2015)
			(Van Ghelder and Esmenjaud 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Prunus mume	2012,	Yes	(Q. Zhang et al. 2012)
	2015		(Zhong et al. 2015)
Rubus occidentalis	2016	Yes	(VanBuren et al. 2016)
Gossypium raimondii	2012,	Yes	(Paterson et al. 2012)
	2013,		(Wei et al. 2013)
	2015,		(Chen et al. 2015)
	2016,		(Kroj et al. 2016)
	2017		(Sarris et al. 2016)
			(Xiang et al. 2017)
Gossypium arboreum	2014,	Yes	(F. Li et al. 2014)
	2017		(Xiang et al. 2017)
Theobroma cacao	2011,	Yes	(Argout et al. 2011)
	2014,		(F. Li et al. 2014)
	2016		(Kroj et al. 2016)
	0017	N a a	
HIDISCUS SYRIACUS	2017	Yes	(YW. KIM et al. 2017)
Gossypium nirsutum	2017	Yes	(Xiang et al. 2017)
Gossypium barbadense	2017	Yes	
Linum usitatissimum	2011	res	(Dodds and Thrail 2009)
	(review)		(Kale et al. $2013$ )
	2013,		(Sams et al. 2010)
Muaa acuminata con malacconcia	2010	Voo	(Azbar and Haslan Harrison 2008)
Musa acuminala ssp malaccensis	2008,	res	(Azital and reside-ration 2006) $(M, M, \omega \neq 2, 2016)$
	2010		(VV. VU et al. 2010)
Musa halhisiana	2008	Vec	(Azbar and Heslon Harrison 2008)
iviusa baibisiaria	2008,	165	(M   M   et al. 2016)
	2010		(VV. VU et al. 2010)
Musa itinerans	2016	Ves	(W. Wu et al. 2016)
Musa schizocarpa	2010	Ves	(Azbar and Heslon Harrison 2008)
Musa scriizocarpa	2008	Voc	(Azhar and Hoslop Harrison 2008)
Musa lexillis	2000	Voc	(Azhar and Hoslop Harrison 2008)
Muca orpata	2000	Vee	$(\Lambda$ zhar and Haslan Harrison 2000)
Norobantia nalumaraha	2008	Vee	
Nolumbo pucifaro	2012	Tes Ver	$\frac{(\text{Aut et al. 2012})}{(\text{D. 7. line Miner and 7by 2012})}$
	2013	res	(R. Z. Jia, Wing, and Znu 2013)
Physcomitrella patens	2012,	Yes	(Yue et al. 2012)

	2016		(Xue et al. 2012)
			(Kim et al. 2012)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Panicum virgatum	2013,	Yes	(Q. Zhu, Bennetzen, and Smith
	2016		2013)
			(Frazier et al. 2016)
Populus trichocarpa	2006,	Yes	(Tuskan et al. 2006)
	2008,		(Kohler et al. 2008)
	2010,		(Germain and Séguin 2011)
	2016,		(Kroj et al. 2016)
	2017		(Nepal et al. 2017)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Ricinus communis	2014,	Yes	(Sood et al. 2014)
	2016		(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Jatropha curcas	2014	Yes	(Sood et al. 2014)
Setaria italica	2014,	Yes	(Y. B. Zhu et al. 2014)
	2016		(Zhao et al. 2016)
			(Kroj et al. 2016)
Calaginalla maallandarffii	2012	Vaa	
Selaginella moellendorilli	2012,	res	(100  et al.  2012)
	2010		$(R[O] \in [a], 2016)$
Hordoum vulgoro	2016	Voc	(Anderson et al. 2016)
noideum vugare	2010	res	(Andersen et al. 2016)
			(No) et al. 2010) (Sarris et al. 2016)
Aegilops tauschii	2013	Yes	(J. Jia et al. 2013)
Amborella trichopoda	2016	Yes	(Kroj et al. 2016)
Coffea canephora	2016	Yes	(Kroj et al. 2016)
Elaeis guineensis	2016	Yes	(Kroj et al. 2016)
Manihot esculenta	2016	Yes	(Kroj et al. 2016)
			(Sarris et al. 2016)
Picea abies	2016	Yes	(Kroj et al. 2016)
Phoenix dactylifera	2015,	Yes	(Hazzouri et al. 2015)
	2016		(Kroj et al. 2016)
Coccomyxa subellipsoidea C-169	2016	Yes	(Sarris et al. 2016)
Micromonas pusilla	2016	Yes	(Sarris et al. 2016)
Mimulus guttatus	2012,	Yes	(J. Kim et al. 2012)
	2016		(Sarris et al. 2016)
Ostreococcus lucimarinus	2016	Yes	(Sarris et al. 2016)
Triticum urartu	2016	Yes	(Sarris et al. 2016)
Volvox carteri	2016	Yes	(Sarris et al. 2016)
Pinus monticola	2012	Yes	(Yue et al. 2012)
Juglans regia	2016	Yes	(Martínez-García et al. 2016)
Dimocarpus longan	2017	Yes	(Lin et al. 2017)
Actinidia chinensis	2013	Yes	(Shengxiong Huang et al. 2013)
Citrullus lanatus	2013	Yes	(S. Guo et al. 2013)
Ziziphus jujuba	2014	Yes	(Liu et al. 2014)

Morus notabilis	2013	Yes	(He et al. 2013)
Solanum aethiopicum gr. Gilo	2012 (PCR), 2015 (PCR)	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012) (Reddy et al. 2015)
Solanum linnaeanum,	2012 (PCR)	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012)
Solanum integrifolium	2012 (PCR)	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012)
Solanum sisymbriifolium	2012 (PCR)	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012)
Solanum khasianum	2012 (PCR)	Yes	(Zhuang, Zhou, and Wang 2012)
Solanum viarum	2015 (PCR)	Yes	(Reddy et al. 2015)
Capsicum baccatum	2017	Yes	(S. Kim et al. 2017)
Capsicum chinense	2017	Yes	(S. Kim et al. 2017)
Hevea brasiliensis	2016	Yes	(Lau et al. 2016)
Beta vulgaris	2014	Yes	(Dohm et al. 2014)
Spinacia oleracea	2017	Yes	(C. Xu et al. 2017)
Pinus taeda	2014	Yes	(Neale et al. 2014)

#### **References:**

- Ameline-Torregrosa, Carine, Bing-Bing Wang, Majesta S. O'Bleness, Shweta Deshpande, Hongyan Zhu, Bruce Roe, Nevin D. Young, and Steven B. Cannon. 2008. "Identification and Characterization of Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat Genes in the Model Plant Medicago Truncatula." *Plant Physiology* 146 (1):5–21.
- Andersen, Ethan J., Shaukat Ali, R. Neil Reese, Yang Yen, Surendra Neupane, and Madhav P. Nepal. 2016. "Diversity and Evolution of Disease Resistance Genes in Barley (Hordeum Vulgare L.)." *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 12 (May):99–108.
- Andolfo, Giuseppe, Florian Jupe, Kamil Witek, Graham J. Etherington, Maria R. Ercolano, and Jonathan D. G. Jones. 2014. "Defining the Full Tomato NB-LRR Resistance Gene Repertoire Using Genomic and cDNA RenSeq." *BMC Plant Biology* 14 (May):120.
- Andolfo, G., W. Sanseverino, S. Rombauts, Y. Van de Peer, J. M. Bradeen, D. Carputo, L. Frusciante, and M. R. Ercolano. 2013. "Overview of Tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum) Candidate Pathogen Recognition Genes Reveals Important Solanum R Locus Dynamics." *The New Phytologist* 197 (1):223–37.
- Argout, Xavier, Jerome Salse, Jean-Marc Aury, Mark J. Guiltinan, Gaetan Droc, Jerome Gouzy, Mathilde Allegre, et al. 2011. "The Genome of Theobroma Cacao." *Nature Genetics* 43 (2):101–8.
- Arya, Preeti, Gulshan Kumar, Vishal Acharya, and Anil K. Singh. 2014. "Genome-Wide Identification and Expression Analysis of NBS-Encoding Genes in Malus X Domestica and Expansion of NBS Genes Family in Rosaceae." *PloS One* 9 (9):e107987.
- Azhar, M., and J. S. Heslop-Harrison. 2008. "Genomes, Diversity and Resistance Gene Analogues in Musa Species." *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 121 (1):59–66.
- Bertioli, David John, Steven B. Cannon, Lutz Froenicke, Guodong Huang, Andrew D. Farmer, Ethalinda K. S. Cannon, Xin Liu, et al. 2016. "The Genome Sequences of Arachis Duranensis and Arachis Ipaensis, the Diploid Ancestors of Cultivated Peanut." *Nature Genetics* 48 (4):438–46.
- Bertioli, D. J., S. C. M. Leal-Bertioli, M. B. Lion, V. L. Santos, G. Pappas, S. B. Cannon, and P. M. Guimar des. 2003. "A Large Scale Analysis of Resistance Gene Homologues in Arachis." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 270 (1):34–45.
- Bouktila, Dhia, Yosra Khalfallah, Yosra Habachi-Houimli, Maha Mezghani-Khemakhem, Mohamed Makni, and Hanem Makni. 2015. "Full-Genome Identification and Characterization of NBS-Encoding Disease Resistance Genes in Wheat." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 290 (1):257–71.
- Buckley, James, Elizabeth Kilbride, Volkan Cevik, Joana G. Vicente, Eric B. Holub, and Barbara K. Mable. 2016. "R-Gene Variation across Arabidopsis Lyrata Subspecies: Effects of Population Structure, Selection and Mating System." *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 16 (May):93.
- Casacuberta, Josep, Pere Puigdomènech, and Jordi Garcia-Mas. 2016. "The Melon Genome." In *Plant Genetics and Genomics: Crops and Models*, 173–81.
- Chalhoub, Boulos, France Denoeud, Shengyi Liu, Isobel A. P. Parkin, Haibao Tang, Xiyin Wang, Julien Chiquet, et al. 2014. "Plant Genetics. Early Allopolyploid Evolution in the Post-Neolithic Brassica Napus Oilseed Genome." *Science* 345 (6199):950–53.
- Cheng, Ying, Xiaoyu Li, Haiyang Jiang, Wei Ma, Weiyun Miao, Toshihiko Yamada, and Ming Zhang. 2012. "Systematic Analysis and Comparison of Nucleotide-Binding Site Disease Resistance Genes in Maize." *The FEBS Journal* 279 (13):2431–43.
- Chen, Jie-Yin, Jin-Qun Huang, Nan-Yang Li, Xue-Feng Ma, Jin-Long Wang, Chuan Liu, Yong-Feng Liu, Yong Liang, Yu-Ming Bao, and Xiao-Feng Dai. 2015. "Genome-Wide Analysis of the Gene Families of Resistance Gene Analogues in Cotton and Their Response to Verticillium Wilt." *BMC Plant Biology* 15 (June):148.

- Christie, Nanette, Peri A. Tobias, Sanushka Naidoo, and Carsten Külheim. 2015. "The Eucalyptus Grandis NBS-LRR Gene Family: Physical Clustering and Expression Hotspots." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6:1238.
- Christopoulou, Marilena, Sebastian Reyes-Chin Wo, Alex Kozik, Leah K. McHale, Maria-Jose Truco, Tadeusz Wroblewski, and Richard W. Michelmore. 2015. "Genome-Wide Architecture of Disease Resistance Genes in Lettuce." *G3* 5 (12):2655–69.
- Collier, Sarah M., Louis-Philippe Hamel, and Peter Moffett. 2011. "Cell Death Mediated by the N-Terminal Domains of a Unique and Highly Conserved Class of NB-LRR Protein." *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions: MPMI* 24 (8):918–31.
- Di Donato, A., G. Andolfo, A. Ferrarini, M. Delledonne, and M. R. Ercolano. 2017. "Investigation of Orthologous Pathogen Recognition Gene-Rich Regions in Solanaceous Species." *Genome / National Research Council Canada = Genome / Conseil National de Recherches Canada* 60 (10):850–59.
- Dodds, Peter, and Peter Thrall. 2009. "Goldacre Paper: Recognition Events and Host–pathogen Co-Evolution in Gene-for-Gene Resistance to Flax Rust." *Functional Plant Biology: FPB* 36 (5):395.
- Dohm, Juliane C., André E. Minoche, Daniela Holtgräwe, Salvador Capella-Gutiérrez, Falk Zakrzewski, Hakim Tafer, Oliver Rupp, et al. 2014. "The Genome of the Recently Domesticated Crop Plant Sugar Beet (Beta Vulgaris)." *Nature* 505 (7484):546–49.
- Frazier, Taylor P., Nathan A. Palmer, Fuliang Xie, Christian M. Tobias, Teresa J. Donze-Reiner, Aureliano Bombarely, Kevin L. Childs, et al. 2016. "Identification, Characterization, and Gene Expression Analysis of Nucleotide Binding Site (NB)-Type Resistance Gene Homologues in Switchgrass." *BMC Genomics* 17 (1):892.
- Gan, Xiangchao, Oliver Stegle, Jonas Behr, Joshua G. Steffen, Philipp Drewe, Katie L. Hildebrand, Rune Lyngsoe, et al. 2011. "Multiple Reference Genomes and Transcriptomes for Arabidopsis Thaliana." *Nature* 477 (7365):419–23.
- Garcia-Mas, Jordi, Andrej Benjak, Walter Sanseverino, Michael Bourgeois, Gisela Mir, Víctor M. González, Elizabeth Hénaff, et al. 2012. "The Genome of Melon (Cucumis Melo L.)." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109 (29):11872–77.
- Germain, Hugo, and Armand Séguin. 2011. "Innate Immunity: Has Poplar Made Its BED?" *The New Phytologist* 189 (3):678–87.
- Golicz, Agnieszka A., Philipp E. Bayer, Guy C. Barker, Patrick P. Edger, Hyeran Kim, Paula A. Martinez, Chon Kit Kenneth Chan, et al. 2016. "The Pangenome of an Agronomically Important Crop Plant Brassica Oleracea." *Nature Communications* 7 (November):13390.
- González, Víctor M., Núria Aventín, Emilio Centeno, and Pere Puigdomènech. 2014. "Interspecific and Intraspecific Gene Variability in a 1-Mb Region Containing the Highest Density of NBS-LRR Genes Found in the Melon Genome." *BMC Genomics* 15 (December):1131.
- Gos, Gesseca, Tanja Slotte, and Stephen I. Wright. 2012. "Signatures of Balancing Selection Are Maintained at Disease Resistance Loci Following Mating System Evolution and a Population Bottleneck in the Genus Capsella." *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12 (1):152.
- Guo, Shaogui, Jianguo Zhang, Honghe Sun, Jerome Salse, William J. Lucas, Haiying Zhang, Yi Zheng, et al. 2013. "The Draft Genome of Watermelon (Citrullus Lanatus) and Resequencing of 20 Diverse Accessions." *Nature Genetics* 45 (1):51–58.
- Guo, Ya-Long, Joffrey Fitz, Korbinian Schneeberger, Stephan Ossowski, Jun Cao, and Detlef Weigel. 2011. "Genome-Wide Comparison of Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat-Encoding Genes in Arabidopsis." *Plant Physiology* 157 (2):757–69.
- Hazzouri, Khaled M., Jonathan M. Flowers, Hendrik J. Visser, Hussam S. M. Khierallah, Ulises Rosas, Gina M. Pham, Rachel S. Meyer, et al. 2015. "Whole Genome Re-Sequencing of Date Palms Yields Insights into Diversification of a Fruit Tree Crop." *Nature*

Communications 6 (November):8824.

- He, Ningjia, Chi Zhang, Xiwu Qi, Shancen Zhao, Yong Tao, Guojun Yang, Tae-Ho Lee, et al. 2013. "Draft Genome Sequence of the Mulberry Tree Morus Notabilis." *Nature Communications* 4:2445.
- Huang, Sanwen, Ruiqiang Li, Zhonghua Zhang, Li Li, Xingfang Gu, Wei Fan, William J. Lucas, et al. 2009. "The Genome of the Cucumber, Cucumis Sativus L." *Nature Genetics* 41 (12):1275–81.
- Huang, Shengxiong, Jian Ding, Dejing Deng, Wei Tang, Honghe Sun, Dongyuan Liu, Lei Zhang, et al. 2013. "Draft Genome of the Kiwifruit Actinidia Chinensis." *Nature Communications* 4:2640.
- International Peach Genome Initiative, Ignazio Verde, Albert G. Abbott, Simone Scalabrin, Sook Jung, Shengqiang Shu, Fabio Marroni, et al. 2013. "The High-Quality Draft Genome of Peach (Prunus Persica) Identifies Unique Patterns of Genetic Diversity, Domestication and Genome Evolution." *Nature Genetics* 45 (5):487–94.
- Iorizzo, Massimo, Shelby Ellison, Douglas Senalik, Peng Zeng, Pimchanok Satapoomin, Jiaying Huang, Megan Bowman, et al. 2016. "A High-Quality Carrot Genome Assembly Provides New Insights into Carotenoid Accumulation and Asterid Genome Evolution." *Nature Genetics* 48 (6):657–66.
- Jia, Jizeng, Shancen Zhao, Xiuying Kong, Yingrui Li, Guangyao Zhao, Weiming He, Rudi Appels, et al. 2013. "Aegilops Tauschii Draft Genome Sequence Reveals a Gene Repertoire for Wheat Adaptation." *Nature* 496 (7443):91–95.
- Jia, Rui Zong, Ray Ming, and Yun J. Zhu. 2013. "Genome-Wide Analysis of Nucleotide-Binding Site (NBS) Disease Resistance (R) Genes in Sacred Lotus (Nelumbo Nucifera Gaertn.) Reveals Their Transition Role During Early Evolution of Land Plants." *Tropical Plant Biology* 6 (2-3):98–116.
- Jupe, Florian, Kamil Witek, Walter Verweij, Jadwiga Sliwka, Leighton Pritchard, Graham J. Etherington, Dan Maclean, et al. 2013. "Resistance Gene Enrichment Sequencing (RenSeq) Enables Reannotation of the NB-LRR Gene Family from Sequenced Plant Genomes and Rapid Mapping of Resistance Loci in Segregating Populations." *The Plant Journal: For Cell and Molecular Biology* 76 (3):530–44.
- Kale, Sandip M., Varsha C. Pardeshi, Vitthal T. Barvkar, Vidya S. Gupta, and Narendra Y.
  Kadoo. 2013. "Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of Nucleotide Binding Site Leucine-Rich Repeat Genes in Linseed Reveal Distinct Patterns of Gene Structure."
  *Genome / National Research Council Canada = Genome / Conseil National de Recherches Canada* 56 (2):91–99.
- Kim, Jungeun, Chan Ju Lim, Bong-Woo Lee, Jae-Pil Choi, Sang-Keun Oh, Raza Ahmad, Suk-Yoon Kwon, Jisook Ahn, and Cheol-Goo Hur. 2012. "A Genome-Wide Comparison of NB-LRR Type of Resistance Gene Analogs (RGA) in the Plant Kingdom." *Molecules and Cells* 33 (4):385–92.
- Kim, Seungill, Jieun Park, Seon-In Yeom, Yong-Min Kim, Eunyoung Seo, Ki-Tae Kim, Myung-Shin Kim, et al. 2017. "New Reference Genome Sequences of Hot Pepper Reveal the Massive Evolution of Plant Disease-Resistance Genes by Retroduplication." *Genome Biology* 18 (1):210.
- Kim, Seungill, Minkyu Park, Seon-In Yeom, Yong-Min Kim, Je Min Lee, Hyun-Ah Lee, Eunyoung Seo, et al. 2014. "Genome Sequence of the Hot Pepper Provides Insights into the Evolution of Pungency in Capsicum Species." *Nature Genetics* 46 (3):270–78.
- Kim, Yong-Min, Seungill Kim, Namjin Koo, Ah-Young Shin, Seon-In Yeom, Eunyoung Seo, Seong-Jin Park, et al. 2017. "Genome Analysis of Hibiscus Syriacus Provides Insights of Polyploidization and Indeterminate Flowering in Woody Plants." DNA Research: An International Journal for Rapid Publication of Reports on Genes and Genomes 24 (1):71– 80.

- Kohler, Annegret, Cécile Rinaldi, Sébastien Duplessis, Marie Baucher, Danny Geelen, Frédéric Duchaussoy, Blake C. Meyers, Wout Boerjan, and Francis Martin. 2008. "Genome-Wide Identification of NBS Resistance Genes in Populus Trichocarpa." *Plant Molecular Biology* 66 (6):619–36.
- Kroj, Thomas, Emilie Chanclud, Corinne Michel-Romiti, Xavier Grand, and Jean-Benoit Morel. 2016. "Integration of Decoy Domains Derived from Protein Targets of Pathogen Effectors into Plant Immune Receptors Is Widespread." *The New Phytologist* 210 (2):618–26.
- Lau, Nyok-Sean, Yuko Makita, Mika Kawashima, Todd D. Taylor, Shinji Kondo, Ahmad Sofiman Othman, Alexander Chong Shu-Chien, and Minami Matsui. 2016. "The Rubber Tree Genome Shows Expansion of Gene Family Associated with Rubber Biosynthesis." *Scientific Reports* 6 (June):28594.
- Leeuwen, Hans van, Jordi Garcia-Mas, María Coca, Pere Puigdoménech, and Amparo Monfort. 2005. "Analysis of the Melon Genome in Regions Encompassing TIR-NBS-LRR Resistance Genes." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 273 (3):240–51.
- Liang, Chao, Xuan Liu, Siu-Ming Yiu, and Boon Leong Lim. 2013. "De Novo Assembly and Characterization of Camelina Sativa Transcriptome by Paired-End Sequencing." *BMC Genomics* 14 (1):146.
- Li, Fuguang, Guangyi Fan, Kunbo Wang, Fengming Sun, Youlu Yuan, Guoli Song, Qin Li, et al. 2014. "Genome Sequence of the Cultivated Cotton Gossypium Arboreum." *Nature Genetics* 46 (6):567–72.
- Li, Jing, Jing Ding, Wen Zhang, Yuanli Zhang, Ping Tang, Jian-Qun Chen, Dacheng Tian, and Sihai Yang. 2010. "Unique Evolutionary Pattern of Numbers of Gramineous NBS-LRR Genes." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 283 (5):427–38.
- Li, Jing, Qing-Yu Zhang, Zhi-Hong Gao, Fei Wang, Ke Duan, Zheng-Wen Ye, and Qing-Hua Gao. 2013. "Genome-Wide Identification and Comparative Expression Analysis of NBS– LRR-Encoding Genes upon Colletotrichum Gloeosporioides Infection in Two Ecotypes of Fragaria Vesca." *Gene* 527 (1):215–27.
- Lin, Yuling, Jiumeng Min, Ruilian Lai, Zhangyan Wu, Yukun Chen, Lili Yu, Chunzhen Cheng, et al. 2017. "Genome-Wide Sequencing of Longan (Dimocarpus Longan Lour.) Provides Insights into Molecular Basis of Its Polyphenol-Rich Characteristics." *GigaScience* 6 (5):1–14.
- Liu, Meng-Jun, Jin Zhao, Qing-Le Cai, Guo-Cheng Liu, Jiu-Rui Wang, Zhi-Hui Zhao, Ping Liu, et al. 2014. "The Complex Jujube Genome Provides Insights into Fruit Tree Biology." *Nature Communications* 5 (October):5315.
- Li, Ying-Hui, Guangyu Zhou, Jianxin Ma, Wenkai Jiang, Long-Guo Jin, Zhouhao Zhang, Yong Guo, et al. 2014. "De Novo Assembly of Soybean Wild Relatives for Pan-Genome Analysis of Diversity and Agronomic Traits." *Nature Biotechnology* 32 (10):1045–52.
- Long, Ni, Xueliang Ren, Zhidan Xiang, Wenting Wan, and Yang Dong. 2016. "Sequencing and Characterization of Leaf Transcriptomes of Six Diploid Nicotiana Species." *Journal of Biological Research* 23 (December):6.
- Lozano, Roberto, Olga Ponce, Manuel Ramirez, Nelly Mostajo, and Gisella Orjeda. 2012. "Genome-Wide Identification and Mapping of NBS-Encoding Resistance Genes in Solanum Tuberosum Group Phureja." *PloS One* 7 (4):e34775.
- Luo, Sha, Yu Zhang, Qun Hu, Jiongjiong Chen, Kunpeng Li, Chen Lu, Hui Liu, Wen Wang, and Hanhui Kuang. 2012. "Dynamic Nucleotide-Binding Site and Leucine-Rich Repeat-Encoding Genes in the Grass Family." *Plant Physiology* 159 (1):197–210.
- Mace, Emma, Shuaishuai Tai, David Innes, Ian Godwin, Wushu Hu, Bradley Campbell, Edward Gilding, et al. 2014. "The Plasticity of NBS Resistance Genes in Sorghum Is Driven by Multiple Evolutionary Processes." *BMC Plant Biology* 14 (September):253.
- Mahesh, H. B., Meghana Deepak Shirke, Siddarth Singh, Anantharamanan Rajamani, Shailaja Hittalmani, Guo-Liang Wang, and Malali Gowda. 2016. "Indica Rice Genome Assembly,

Annotation and Mining of Blast Disease Resistance Genes." *BMC Genomics* 17 (March):242.

- Martínez-García, Pedro J., Marc W. Crepeau, Daniela Puiu, Daniel Gonzalez-Ibeas, Jeanne Whalen, Kristian A. Stevens, Robin Paul, et al. 2016. "The Walnut (Juglans Regia) Genome Sequence Reveals Diversity in Genes Coding for the Biosynthesis of Non-Structural Polyphenols." *The Plant Journal: For Cell and Molecular Biology* 87 (5):507–32.
- McHale, Leah K., Maria José Truco, Alexander Kozik, Tadeusz Wroblewski, Oswaldo E. Ochoa, Kirsten A. Lahre, Steven J. Knapp, and Richard W. Michelmore. 2009. "The Genomic Architecture of Disease Resistance in Lettuce." *TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. Theoretische Und Angewandte Genetik* 118 (3):565–80.
- Meyers, B. C. 2003. "Genome-Wide Analysis of NBS-LRR-Encoding Genes in Arabidopsis." *THE PLANT CELL ONLINE* 15 (4):809–34.
- Ming, Ray, Shaobin Hou, Yun Feng, Qingyi Yu, Alexandre Dionne-Laporte, Jimmy H. Saw, Pavel Senin, et al. 2008. "The Draft Genome of the Transgenic Tropical Fruit Tree Papaya (Carica Papaya Linnaeus)." *Nature* 452 (7190):991–96.
- Monosi, B., R. J. Wisser, L. Pennill, and S. H. Hulbert. 2004. "Full-Genome Analysis of Resistance Gene Homologues in Rice." *TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. Theoretische Und Angewandte Genetik* 109 (7):1434–47.
- Mun, Jeong-Hwan, Hee-Ju Yu, Soomin Park, and Beom-Seok Park. 2009. "Genome-Wide Identification of NBS-Encoding Resistance Genes in Brassica Rapa." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 282 (6):617–31.
- Myburg, Alexander A., Dario Grattapaglia, Gerald A. Tuskan, Uffe Hellsten, Richard D. Hayes, Jane Grimwood, Jerry Jenkins, et al. 2014. "The Genome of Eucalyptus Grandis." *Nature* 510 (7505):356–62.
- Natarajan, Sathishkumar, Hoy-Taek Kim, Senthil Kumar Thamilarasan, Karpagam Veerappan, Jong-In Park, and III-Sup Nou. 2016. "Whole Genome Re-Sequencing and Characterization of Powdery Mildew Disease-Associated Allelic Variation in Melon." *PloS One* 11 (6):e0157524.
- Neale, David B., Jill L. Wegrzyn, Kristian A. Stevens, Aleksey V. Zimin, Daniela Puiu, Marc W. Crepeau, Charis Cardeno, et al. 2014. "Decoding the Massive Genome of Loblolly Pine Using Haploid DNA and Novel Assembly Strategies." *Genome Biology* 15 (3):R59.
- Nepal, Madhav P., Ethan J. Andersen, Surendra Neupane, and Benjamin V. Benson. 2017. "Comparative Genomics of Non-TNL Disease Resistance Genes from Six Plant Species." *Genes* 8 (10). https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8100249.
- Palomino, C., Z. Satovic, J. I. Cubero, and A. M. Torres. 2006. "Identification and Characterization of NBS-LRR Class Resistance Gene Analogs in Faba Bean (Vicia Faba L.) and Chickpea (Cicer Arietinum L.)." *Genome / National Research Council Canada = Genome / Conseil National de Recherches Canada* 49 (10):1227–37.
- Paterson, Andrew H., John E. Bowers, Rémy Bruggmann, Inna Dubchak, Jane Grimwood, Heidrun Gundlach, Georg Haberer, et al. 2009. "The Sorghum Bicolor Genome and the Diversification of Grasses." *Nature* 457 (7229):551–56.
- Paterson, Andrew H., Jonathan F. Wendel, Heidrun Gundlach, Hui Guo, Jerry Jenkins, Dianchuan Jin, Danny Llewellyn, et al. 2012. "Repeated Polyploidization of Gossypium Genomes and the Evolution of Spinnable Cotton Fibres." *Nature* 492 (7429):423–27.
- Peele, Hanneke M., Na Guan, Johan Fogelqvist, and Christina Dixelius. 2014. "Loss and Retention of Resistance Genes in Five Species of the Brassicaceae Family." *BMC Plant Biology* 14 (November):298.
- Plocik, Alex, Jenn Layden, and Rick Kesseli. 2004. "Comparative Analysis of NBS Domain Sequences of NBS-LRR Disease Resistance Genes from Sunflower, Lettuce, and Chicory." *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 31 (1):153–63.
- Porter, Brad W., Maya Paidi, Ray Ming, Maqsudul Alam, Wayne T. Nishijima, and Yun J. Zhu.

2009. "Genome-Wide Analysis of Carica Papaya Reveals a Small NBS Resistance Gene Family." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 281 (6):609–26.

- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, Xun Xu, Shengkai Pan, Shifeng Cheng, Bo Zhang, Desheng Mu, Peixiang Ni, et al. 2011. "Genome Sequence and Analysis of the Tuber Crop Potato." *Nature* 475 (7355):189–95.
- Radwan, Osman, Sonali Gandhi, Adam Heesacker, Brett Whitaker, Chris Taylor, Alex Plocik, Richard Kesseli, Alexander Kozik, Richard W. Michelmore, and Steven J. Knapp. 2008. "Genetic Diversity and Genomic Distribution of Homologs Encoding NBS-LRR Disease Resistance Proteins in Sunflower." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 280 (2):111– 25.
- Reddy, Anand C., Sudarshini Venkat, T. H. Singh, C. Aswath, K. Madhavi Reddy, and D. C. Lakshmana Reddy. 2015. "Isolation, Characterization and Evolution of NBS-LRR Encoding Disease-Resistance Gene Analogs in Eggplant against Bacterial Wilt." *European Journal of Plant Pathology / European Foundation for Plant Pathology* 143 (3):417–26.
- Reyes-Chin-Wo, Sebastian, Zhiwen Wang, Xinhua Yang, Alexander Kozik, Siwaret Arikit, Chi Song, Liangfeng Xia, et al. 2017. "Genome Assembly with in Vitro Proximity Ligation Data and Whole-Genome Triplication in Lettuce." *Nature Communications* 8 (April):14953.
- Richard, Manon M. S., Ariane Gratias, Vincent Thareau, Kyung Do Kim, Sandrine Balzergue, Johann Joets, Scott A. Jackson, and Valérie Geffroy. 2017. "Genomic and Epigenomic Immunity in Common Bean: The Unusual Features of NB-LRR Gene Family." *DNA Research: An International Journal for Rapid Publication of Reports on Genes and Genomes*, November. https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsx046.
- Sarkar, Debabrata, Ajay Kumar Mahato, Pratik Satya, Avijit Kundu, Sangeeta Singh, Pawan Kumar Jayaswal, Akshay Singh, et al. 2017. "The Draft Genome of Corchorus Olitorius Cv. JRO-524 (Navin)." *Genomics Data* 12 (June):151–54.
- Sarris, Panagiotis F., Volkan Cevik, Gulay Dagdas, Jonathan D. G. Jones, and Ksenia V. Krasileva. 2016. "Comparative Analysis of Plant Immune Receptor Architectures Uncovers Host Proteins Likely Targeted by Pathogens." *BMC Biology* 14 (February):8.
- Schmutz, Jeremy, Phillip E. McClean, Sujan Mamidi, G. Albert Wu, Steven B. Cannon, Jane Grimwood, Jerry Jenkins, et al. 2014. "A Reference Genome for Common Bean and Genome-Wide Analysis of Dual Domestications." *Nature Genetics* 46 (7):707–13.
- Shao, Zhu-Qing, Yan-Mei Zhang, Yue-Yu Hang, Jia-Yu Xue, Guang-Can Zhou, Ping Wu, Xiao-Yi Wu, et al. 2014. "Long-Term Evolution of Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat Genes: Understanding Gained from and beyond the Legume Family." *Plant Physiology* 166 (1):217–34.
- Sharma, Ranu, Vimal Rawat, and C. G. Suresh. 2017. "Genome-Wide Identification and Tissue-Specific Expression Analysis of Nucleotide Binding Site-Leucine Rich Repeat Gene Family in Cicer Arietinum (kabuli Chickpea)." *Genomics Data* 14 (December):24–31.
- Sierro, Nicolas, James N. D. Battey, Sonia Ouadi, Nicolas Bakaher, Lucien Bovet, Adrian Willig, Simon Goepfert, Manuel C. Peitsch, and Nikolai V. Ivanov. 2014. "The Tobacco Genome Sequence and Its Comparison with Those of Tomato and Potato." *Nature Communications* 5 (May):3833.
- Singh, Nagendra K., Deepak K. Gupta, Pawan K. Jayaswal, Ajay K. Mahato, Sutapa Dutta, Sangeeta Singh, Shefali Bhutani, et al. 2012. "The First Draft of the Pigeonpea Genome Sequence." *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 21:98–112.
- Singh, Sangeeta, Suresh Chand, N. K. Singh, and Tilak Raj Sharma. 2015. "Genome-Wide Distribution, Organisation and Functional Characterization of Disease Resistance and Defence Response Genes across Rice Species." *PloS One* 10 (4):e0125964.
- Song, Hui, Pengfei Wang, Changsheng Li, Suoyi Han, Chuanzhi Zhao, Han Xia, Yuping Bi, Baozhu Guo, Xinyou Zhang, and Xingjun Wang. 2017. "Comparative Analysis of NBS-LRR Genes and Their Response to Aspergillus Flavus in Arachis." *PloS One* 12 (2):e0171181.

- Song, H., P. F. Wang, T. T. Li, H. Xia, S. Z. Zhao, L. Hou, and C. Z. Zhao. 2015. "Genome-Wide Identification and Evolutionary Analysis of Nucleotide-Binding Site-Encoding Resistance Genes in Lotus Japonicus (Fabaceae)." *Genetics and Molecular Research: GMR* 14 (4):16024–40.
- Sood, Archit, Varun Jaiswal, Sree Krishna Chanumolu, Nikhil Malhotra, Tarun Pal, and Rajinder Singh Chauhan. 2014. "Mining Whole Genomes and Transcriptomes of Jatropha (Jatropha Curcas) and Castor Bean (Ricinus Communis) for NBS-LRR Genes and Defense Response Associated Transcription Factors." *Molecular Biology Reports* 41 (11):7683–95.
- Stam, Remco, Daniela Scheikl, and Aurélien Tellier. 2016. "Pooled Enrichment Sequencing Identifies Diversity and Evolutionary Pressures at NLR Resistance Genes within a Wild Tomato Population." *Genome Biology and Evolution* 8 (5):1501–15.
- Suryawanshi, Vasantika, Ina N. Talke, Michael Weber, Roland Eils, Benedikt Brors, Stephan Clemens, and Ute Krämer. 2016. "Between-Species Differences in Gene Copy Number Are Enriched among Functions Critical for Adaptive Evolution in Arabidopsis Halleri." *BMC Genomics* 17 (Suppl 13):1034.
- Tan, Shenglong, and Song Wu. 2012. "Genome Wide Analysis of Nucleotide-Binding Site Disease Resistance Genes in Brachypodium Distachyon." *Comparative and Functional Genomics* 2012 (May):418208.
- Tuskan, G. A., S. Difazio, S. Jansson, J. Bohlmann, I. Grigoriev, U. Hellsten, N. Putnam, et al. 2006. "The Genome of Black Cottonwood, Populus Trichocarpa (Torr. & Gray)." *Science* 313 (5793):1596–1604.
- VanBuren, Robert, Doug Bryant, Jill M. Bushakra, Kelly J. Vining, Patrick P. Edger, Erik R. Rowley, Henry D. Priest, et al. 2016. "The Genome of Black Raspberry (Rubus Occidentalis)." *The Plant Journal: For Cell and Molecular Biology* 87 (6):535–47.
- Van Ghelder, Cyril, and Daniel Esmenjaud. 2016. "TNL Genes in Peach: Insights into the Post-LRR Domain." *BMC Genomics* 17 (April):317.
- Varshney, R. K., R. V. Penmetsa, S. Dutta, P. L. Kulwal, R. K. Saxena, S. Datta, T. R. Sharma, et al. 2010. "Pigeonpea Genomics Initiative (PGI): An International Effort to Improve Crop Productivity of Pigeonpea (Cajanus Cajan L.)." *Molecular Breeding: New Strategies in Plant Improvement* 26 (3):393–408.
- Velasco, Riccardo, Andrey Zharkikh, Jason Affourtit, Amit Dhingra, Alessandro Cestaro, Ananth Kalyanaraman, Paolo Fontana, et al. 2010. "The Genome of the Domesticated Apple (Malus × Domestica Borkh.)." *Nature Genetics* 42 (10):833–39.
- Velasco, Riccardo, Andrey Zharkikh, Michela Troggio, Dustin A. Cartwright, Alessandro Cestaro, Dmitry Pruss, Massimo Pindo, et al. 2007. "A High Quality Draft Consensus Sequence of the Genome of a Heterozygous Grapevine Variety." *PloS One* 2 (12):e1326.
- Vlasova, Anna, Salvador Capella-Gutiérrez, Martha Rendón-Anaya, Miguel Hernández-Oñate, André E. Minoche, Ionas Erb, Francisco Câmara, et al. 2016. "Genome and Transcriptome Analysis of the Mesoamerican Common Bean and the Role of Gene Duplications in Establishing Tissue and Temporal Specialization of Genes." *Genome Biology* 17 (February):32.
- Wang, Yunsheng, Lijuan Zhou, Dazhi Li, Liangying Dai, Amy Lawton-Rauh, Pradip K. Srimani, Yongping Duan, and Feng Luo. 2015. "Genome-Wide Comparative Analysis Reveals Similar Types of NBS Genes in Hybrid Citrus Sinensis Genome and Original Citrus Clementine Genome and Provides New Insights into Non-TIR NBS Genes." *PloS One* 10 (3):e0121893.
- Wan, Hongjian, Wei Yuan, Kailiang Bo, Jia Shen, Xin Pang, and Jinfeng Chen. 2013. "Genome-Wide Analysis of NBS-Encoding Disease Resistance Genes in Cucumis Sativus and Phylogenetic Study of NBS-Encoding Genes in Cucurbitaceae Crops." *BMC Genomics* 14 (February):109.
- Wei, Hengling, Wei Li, Xiwei Sun, Shuijin Zhu, and Jun Zhu. 2013. "Systematic Analysis and

Comparison of Nucleotide-Binding Site Disease Resistance Genes in a Diploid Cotton Gossypium Raimondii." *PloS One* 8 (8):e68435.

- Witek, Kamil, Florian Jupe, Agnieszka I. Witek, David Baker, Matthew D. Clark, and Jonathan D.
  G. Jones. 2016. "Accelerated Cloning of a Potato Late Blight–resistance Gene Using RenSeq and SMRT Sequencing." *Nature Biotechnology* 34 (6):656–60.
- Wu, Jing, Jifeng Zhu, Lanfen Wang, and Shumin Wang. 2017. "Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies NBS-LRR-Encoding Genes Related with Anthracnose and Common Bacterial Blight in the Common Bean." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8 (August):1398.
- Wu, Jun, Zhiwen Wang, Zebin Shi, Shu Zhang, Ray Ming, Shilin Zhu, M. Awais Khan, et al. 2013. "The Genome of the Pear (Pyrus Bretschneideri Rehd.)." *Genome Research* 23 (2):396–408.
- Wu, Ping, Zhu-Qing Shao, Xun-Zong Wu, Qiang Wang, Bin Wang, Jian-Qun Chen, Yue-Yu Hang, and Jia-Yu Xue. 2014. "Loss/retention and Evolution of NBS-Encoding Genes upon Whole Genome Triplication of Brassica Rapa." *Gene* 540 (1):54–61.
- Wu, Wei, Yu-Lan Yang, Wei-Ming He, Mathieu Rouard, Wei-Ming Li, Meng Xu, Nicolas Roux, and Xue-Jun Ge. 2016. "Whole Genome Sequencing of a Banana Wild Relative Musa Itinerans Provides Insights into Lineage-Specific Diversification of the Musa Genus." *Scientific Reports* 6 (August):31586.
- Xiang, Liuxin, Jinggao Liu, Chaofeng Wu, Yushan Deng, Chaowei Cai, Xiao Zhang, and Yingfan Cai. 2017. "Genome-Wide Comparative Analysis of NBS-Encoding Genes in Four Gossypium Species." *BMC Genomics* 18 (1):292.
- Xu, Chenxi, Chen Jiao, Honghe Sun, Xiaofeng Cai, Xiaoli Wang, Chenhui Ge, Yi Zheng, et al. 2017. "Draft Genome of Spinach and Transcriptome Diversity of 120 Spinacia Accessions." *Nature Communications* 8 (May):15275.
- Xue, Jia-Yu, Yue Wang, Ping Wu, Qiang Wang, Le-Tian Yang, Xiao-Han Pan, Bin Wang, and Jian-Qun Chen. 2012. "A Primary Survey on Bryophyte Species Reveals Two Novel Classes of Nucleotide-Binding Site (NBS) Genes." *PloS One* 7 (5):e36700.
- Xu, Qiang, Ling-Ling Chen, Xiaoan Ruan, Dijun Chen, Andan Zhu, Chunli Chen, Denis Bertrand, et al. 2013. "The Draft Genome of Sweet Orange (Citrus Sinensis)." *Nature Genetics* 45 (1):59–66.
- Yang, Sihai, Zhumei Feng, Xiuyan Zhang, Ke Jiang, Xinqing Jin, Yueyu Hang, Jian-Qun Chen, and Dacheng Tian. 2006. "Genome-Wide Investigation on the Genetic Variations of Rice Disease Resistance Genes." *Plant Molecular Biology* 62 (1-2):181–93.
- Yang, Sihai, Xiaohui Zhang, Jia-Xing Yue, Dacheng Tian, and Jian-Qun Chen. 2008. "Recent Duplications Dominate NBS-Encoding Gene Expansion in Two Woody Species." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 280 (3):187–98.
- Yang, Xiping, and Jianping Wang. 2016. "Genome-Wide Analysis of NBS-LRR Genes in Sorghum Genome Revealed Several Events Contributing to NBS-LRR Gene Evolution in Grass Species." *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 12 (January):9–21.
- Yang, Xu, Yu-Fu Cheng, Cao Deng, Yan Ma, Zhi-Wen Wang, Xue-Hao Chen, and Lin-Bao Xue. 2014. "Comparative Transcriptome Analysis of Eggplant (Solanum Melongena L.) and Turkey Berry (Solanum Torvum Sw.): Phylogenomics and Disease Resistance Analysis." *BMC Genomics* 15 (May):412.
- Young, Nevin D., Frédéric Debellé, Giles E. D. Oldroyd, Rene Geurts, Steven B. Cannon, Michael K. Udvardi, Vagner A. Benedito, et al. 2011. "The Medicago Genome Provides Insight into the Evolution of Rhizobial Symbioses." *Nature* 480 (7378):520–24.
- Yue, Jia-Xing, Blake C. Meyers, Jian-Qun Chen, Dacheng Tian, and Sihai Yang. 2012. "Tracing the Origin and Evolutionary History of Plant Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat (NBS-LRR) Genes." *The New Phytologist* 193 (4):1049–63.
- Yu, Jingyin, Sadia Tehrim, Fengqi Zhang, Chaobo Tong, Junyan Huang, Xiaohui Cheng, Caihua Dong, et al. 2014. "Genome-Wide Comparative Analysis of NBS-Encoding Genes

between Brassica Species and Arabidopsis Thaliana." *BMC Genomics* 15 (January):3.

- Zapata, Luis, Jia Ding, Eva-Maria Willing, Benjamin Hartwig, Daniela Bezdan, Wen-Biao Jiao, Vipul Patel, et al. 2016. "Chromosome-Level Assembly of Arabidopsis Thaliana Ler Reveals the Extent of Translocation and Inversion Polymorphisms." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113 (28):E4052–60.
- Zhang, Qixiang, Wenbin Chen, Lidan Sun, Fangying Zhao, Bangqing Huang, Weiru Yang, Ye Tao, et al. 2012. "The Genome of Prunus Mume." *Nature Communications* 3:1318.
- Zhang, Qun-Jie, Ting Zhu, En-Hua Xia, Chao Shi, Yun-Long Liu, Yun Zhang, Yuan Liu, et al. 2014. "Rapid Diversification of Five Oryza AA Genomes Associated with Rice Adaptation." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111 (46):E4954–62.
- Zhang, Xiaodan, Pingping Liang, and Ray Ming. 2016. "Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of Nucleotide-Binding Site (NBS) Resistance Genes in Pineapple." *Tropical Plant Biology* 9 (3):187–99.
- Zhang, Xiaohui, Ying Feng, Hao Cheng, Dacheng Tian, Sihai Yang, and Jian-Qun Chen. 2011. "Relative Evolutionary Rates of NBS-Encoding Genes Revealed by Soybean Segmental Duplication." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 285 (1):79–90.
- Zhang, Yan-Mei, Zhu-Qing Shao, Qiang Wang, Yue-Yu Hang, Jia-Yu Xue, Bin Wang, and Jian-Qun Chen. 2016. "Uncovering the Dynamic Evolution of Nucleotide-Binding Site-Leucine-Rich Repeat (NBS-LRR) Genes in Brassicaceae." *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 58 (2):165–77.
- Zhang, Ying, Jia-Long Yao, Hu Feng, Jianfu Jiang, Xiucai Fan, Haisheng Sun, Yun-Fei Jia, Yanyan Zhu, Ran Wang, and Chonghuai Liu. 2017. "Identification of Disease Resistance Genes from a Chinese Wild Grapevine (Vitis Davidii) by Analysing Grape Transcriptomes and Transgenic Arabidopsis." https://doi.org/10.1101/169631.
- Zhao, Yan, Qiaoyun Weng, Jinhui Song, Hailian Ma, Jincheng Yuan, Zhiping Dong, and Yinghui Liu. 2016. "Bioinformatics Analysis of NBS-LRR Encoding Resistance Genes in Setaria Italica." *Biochemical Genetics* 54 (3):232–48.
- Zheng, Fengya, Haiyang Wu, Rongzhi Zhang, Shiming Li, Weiming He, Fuk-Ling Wong, Genying Li, Shancen Zhao, and Hon-Ming Lam. 2016. "Molecular Phylogeny and Dynamic Evolution of Disease Resistance Genes in the Legume Family." *BMC Genomics* 17 (May):402.
- Zhong, Yan, Huan Yin, Daniel James Sargent, Mickael Malnoy, and Zong-Ming Max Cheng. 2015. "Species-Specific Duplications Driving the Recent Expansion of NBS-LRR Genes in Five Rosaceae Species." *BMC Genomics* 16 (February):77.
- Zhou, T., Y. Wang, J-Q Chen, H. Araki, Z. Jing, K. Jiang, J. Shen, and D. Tian. 2004. "Genome-Wide Identification of NBS Genes in Japonica Rice Reveals Significant Expansion of Divergent Non-TIR NBS-LRR Genes." *Molecular Genetics and Genomics: MGG* 271 (4):402–15.
- Zhuang, Yong, Xiaohui Zhou, and Shubin Wang. 2012. "Genetic Diversity of NBS–LRR Class Disease-Resistance Gene Analogs in Cultivated and Wild Eggplants." *Plant Systematics and Evolution = Entwicklungsgeschichte Und Systematik Der Pflanzen* 298 (7):1399–1406.
- Zhu, Qihui, Jeffrey L. Bennetzen, and Shavannor M. Smith. 2013. "Isolation and Diversity Analysis of Resistance Gene Homologues from Switchgrass." *G3* 3 (6):1031–42.
- Zhu, Y. B., X. Q. Xie, Z. Y. Li, H. Bai, L. Dong, Z. P. Dong, and J. G. Dong. 2014. "Bioinformatic Analysis of the Nucleotide Binding Site-Encoding Disease-Resistance Genes in Foxtail Millet (Setaria Italica (L.) Beauv.)." *Genetics and Molecular Research: GMR* 13 (3):6602–9.