





Transfusion guidelines: when to transfuse

Zbigniew M. Szczepiorkowski^{1,2} and Nancy M. Dunbar^{1,2}

Departments of ¹Pathology and ²Medicine, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH

Transfusion of blood and blood components has been a routine practice for more than half a century. The rationale supporting this practice is that replacement of blood loss should be beneficial for the patient. This assumption has constituted the underpinning of transfusion medicine for many decades. Only over the past 20 years, we have seen a more concerted effort to answer very basic questions regarding the value of transfusion therapy. An assessment of the value of transfusion based on well-designed and appropriately powered randomized, controlled trials is the first step in optimizing transfusion practices. Systematic reviews provide the second step by building the knowledge base necessary to assess the impact of transfusion practice on patient outcomes. The third step is the development of clinical practice guidelines, and this occurs when systematic reviews are interpreted by individuals with expertise in transfusion medicine. Such guidelines are typically supported by professional organizations and/or health authorities. Implementation of clinical practice guidelines can be challenging, especially in an area as heterogeneous as transfusion medicine. However, clinical practice guidelines are necessary for the practice of evidence-based medicine, which optimizes patient care and improves patient outcomes. This review focuses on clinical practice guidelines for transfusion of three blood components: RBCs, platelets and plasma. In addition, we provide the approach used to implement clinical practice guidelines at our own institution.

Introduction

Transfusion of blood and blood components (ie, RBCs, platelets, plasma, and cryoprecipitate) is one of the most common medical procedures performed in the developed world. However, the decision to transfuse or not to transfuse is one of the more complex decisions made by medical practitioners. Clearly no medical intervention is without risks, but in principle, these risks should be offset or justified by immediate or long-term benefits.

A better understanding of the risks of transfusion has transformed transfusion medicine through the accelerated development of more sophisticated donor testing (eg, ever-improving infectious disease tests), pretranfusion testing, recipient identification, and multiple improvements in blood component characteristics and quality (eg, leukoreduction, irradiation, pathogen inactivation). These developments have resulted in improved safety profiles for transfused components and a perception of minimal risk. At the same time, the introduction of patient blood management (PBM), defined as an evidence-based approach to optimizing the care of patients who might need transfusion, shows that the need for transfusion can be minimized in many patients by implementation of thoughtful processes often beginning days or even weeks before the actual decision to transfuse or not is being made.

In this context, the focus has now shifted to the benefit side of the equation. Are the assumed benefits of transfusion universal or are they limited to only a well-defined population of patients? What triggers should be used to administer blood components and when should transfusions occur? What component dose is sufficient and/or necessary to confer clinical benefit? The answers to these questions have been sought in multiple randomized clinical trials. The next step of this process is to translate this information into widely adopted and consistent practice through the development of

clinical practice guidelines that can become a part of comprehensive PBM.

Clinical practice guidelines are defined as systematically developed statements to assist with practitioner and patient decisions about appropriate health care for specific clinical circumstances.¹⁻³ There is a growing body of literature on the best approaches to develop clinical practice guidelines. One system that is used more frequently than others is the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system.⁴ This process-oriented approach provides for significant uniformity in arriving at recommendations and making them clinically relevant. After clinical practice guidelines are developed, their adoption by individual physicians, clinical practices, and healthcare systems is accomplished in different ways. Initial broad-based education efforts are strengthened by the development of critical pathways, hospital policies, and systems to support adherence.

Although the development of clinical practice guidelines is time consuming and expensive, several professional societies and health authorities have participated in the development of transfusion-specific clinical practice guidelines to support evidence-based transfusion practice. These clinical practice guidelines support optimization of patient outcomes and appropriate utilization of limited and costly resources and allow for transfusion medicine physicians to become an integral part of the treatment team.⁵ Successful implementation of clinical practice guidelines in transfusion medicine can often be supported by computerized physician order entry systems and order auditing.

In this short review, we highlight current clinical practice guidelines regarding transfusion of RBCs, platelets, and plasma and illustrate how these guidelines are integrated into clinical practice at our own institution with support from our electronic medical record system.

This can be also considered as the first step to implementation of comprehensive PBM.

Guidelines for RBC transfusion

The development of clinical practice guidelines for RBC transfusion has been challenged by a limited availability of high-quality evidence to support practice recommendations. There is general agreement that RBC transfusion is typically not indicated for hemoglobin (Hb) levels of > 10 g/dL and that transfusion of RBCs should be considered when Hb is < 7 to 8 g/dL depending on patient characteristics.^{6,7} The decision to transfuse RBCs should be based on a clinical assessment of the patient that weighs the risks associated with transfusion against the anticipated benefit. As more studies addressing RBC transfusion become available, it becomes increasingly clear that liberal transfusion strategies are not necessarily associated with superior outcomes and may expose patients to unnecessary risks.

The most recently published guidelines from the AABB (formerly the American Association of Blood Banks) are based on a systematic review of randomized, controlled trials evaluating transfusion thresholds.8 (selected trials are presented in Table 1) These guidelines recommend adhering to a restrictive transfusion strategy and consider transfusion when Hb is 7 to 8 g/dL in hospitalized, stable patients. This strong recommendation is based on high-quality evidence from clinical trials comparing outcomes in liberal versus restrictive transfusion strategies in this patient population.9-11 A restrictive transfusion strategy is also recommended for patients with preexisting cardiovascular disease. In this population, transfusion should be considered when Hb levels are < 8 g/dL or for symptoms such as chest pain, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia unresponsive to fluid resuscitation, or congestive heart failure.8 This weak recommendation is based on moderate-quality evidence due to limited clinical trial data directly addressing this population of patients. Additional clinical practice guidelines exist that specify Hb targets for critical care patients with conditions including sepsis, ischemic stroke, and acute coronary syndrome.12,13

RBC transfusion is indicated in patients who are actively bleeding and should be based on clinical assessment of the patient in addition to laboratory testing. Much remains to be learned about the optimal resuscitation of the bleeding patient, and this topic is outside of the scope of this review. However, a recent study examining transfusion in patients with active upper gastrointestinal bleeding showed superior outcomes in patients treated with a restrictive transfusion strategy (< 7 g/dL).¹⁴

At our institution, patients with active and clinically significant bleeding are transfused with RBCs as needed to meet the clinical needs of the patient and to optimize laboratory values. Laboratory monitoring of the Hb level is performed to assess the response to transfusion and the need for ongoing blood component support. Transfusion Medicine Service (TMS) physicians are available on call at all times to assist with the appropriate transfusion support of patients requiring massive transfusion.

able 1. Selected recent multicenter randomized, controlled trials informing RBC guidelines

Our guidelines for RBC transfusion in stable nonbleeding patients were developed by the transfusion committee in collaboration with medical and surgical providers based on a synthesis of existing clinical evidence, practice guidelines, and institutional preferences (Table 2). Stable, nonbleeding medical and surgical inpatients patients are considered candidates for RBC transfusion when the Hb level is \leq 7 g/dL.⁹ Transfusion should be considered for inpatients with active acute coronary syndromes with an Hb level ≤ 8 g/dL.¹³

	Design					
Study	(X)	Population	Transfusion threshold	Primary outcome(s)	Secondary outcome(s)	General conclusions
Hebert et al ⁹ (TRICC)	RCT (838)	Stable, critically ill patients Restrictive (> 16 y of age with Hb liberal (H) < 9 g/dL	Restrictive (Hb $<$ 7 g/dL) vs liberal (Hb $<$ 10 g/dL)	Death within 30 d of randomization	Death at 60 d, assessment of organ dysfunction	Restrictive transfusion strategy is at least as effective and possibly superior to a liberal
		1				transfusion strategy with the possible exception of patients with acute mvocardial
Lacroix et al ¹⁰	RCT	Stable, critically ill children	Stable, critically ill children Restrictive (Hb < 7 g/dL) vs	Death within 28 d of randomization,	Daily assessment of organ dysfunction,	infarction or unstable angina Restrictive transfusion strategy
(TRIPICU)	(637)	with Hb $<$ 9.5 g/dL	liberal (Hb $<$ 9.5 g/dL)	development or progression of MODS	sepsis, transfusion reactions, infections, adverse events, length of	decreases transfusion requirements without
Carson et al ¹¹	RCT	Adults $>$ 50 y of age with	Restrictive (Hb $<$ 8 g/dL) vs	Adults $>$ 50 y of age with $\$ Restrictive (Hb $<$ 8 g/dL) vs $\$ Death or inability to walk across a	stay, overall mortality In-hospital myocardial infarction,	increasing adverse events Liberal transfusion strategy did
(FOCUS)	(2016)	history or risk factors for cardiovascular disease	liberal (Hb $<$ 10 g/dL)	room at 60 d follow-up	unstable angina, or death	not reduce rate of death or inability to walk at 60 d
		with Hb < 10 g/dL after				follow-up
Villanueva et al ¹⁴	RCT (921)	nip ir acture surgery Adult patients with severe upper gastrointestinal	Restrictive (Hb < 7 g/dL) vs liberal (Hb < 9 g/dL)	Restrictive (Hb < 7 g/dL) vs Death within 45 d of randomization liberal (Hb < 9 g/dL)	Rates of further bleeding or hospital complications	Restrictive transfusion strategy was associated with improved
		bleeding				outcomes
MODS indicates mul	Itiple-organ dysfunc	dysfunction syndrome; FOCUS, Trans	ransfusion Trigger Trial for Functional Outcom	ional Outcomes in Cardiovascular Patients	DODS indicates multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome; FOCUS, Transfusion Trigger Trial for Functional Outcomes in Cardiovascular Patients Undergoing Surgical hip Fracture Repair; RCT, randomized, controlled trial; TRICC,	T, randomized, controlled trial; TRICC,

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.org/hematology/article-pdf/2013/1/638/1249999/bep00113000638.pdf by guest on 21 August 2022

Table 2.	Triggers for transfusion of RBCs at our institution
----------	---

Hemoglobin level	Patient population
< 7 g/dL	Nonbleeding medical and surgical inpatients
< 8 g/dL	Inpatients with active acute coronary syndrome
< 10 g/dL	Inpatients being treated for sepsis during the first 6 hours of resuscitation

Adult critical care medical and surgical inpatients being treated for sepsis during the first 6 hours of resuscitation may be transfused with an Hb level ≤ 10 g/dL.¹⁵ All RBC transfusions in nonbleeding inpatients should be ordered as single units. If transfusion is indicated based on Hb level, posttransfusion Hb must be obtained before ordering additional units.

Our computerized physician order entry system is configured to automatically query the most recent Hb value when an order for inpatient RBC transfusion is placed. If the most recent Hb is > 7 g/dL or has not been measured in the past 24 hours, the physician receives a best practice alert prompting them to select from a limited menu of appropriate indications or cancel the transfusion order. In addition, all orders are retrospectively audited to ensure compliance and to provide education to providers practicing outside of these guidelines.

Guidelines for platelet transfusions

It has been shown that patients with severe thrombocytopenia are at increased risk of bleeding. Platelet transfusions can be administered either as a prophylactic to minimize the risk of bleeding or as a therapeutic to control bleeding. It has been assumed for many years that transfusion of platelets should decrease the bleeding risk in the patients with hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia (eg, post myelosuppressive chemotherapy). Early guidelines for platelet transfusion developed in 1980s and 1990s relied primarily on systematic reviews of the literature available at the time, which primarily consisted of small trials.¹⁶ The initial guidelines recommended transfusion of nonbleeding patients at the level of 20 000/µL. This value was extrapolated from the observation that there is significantly increased risk of bleeding when the platelet count is < 5000/µL and the risk of bleeding does not seem to change between 10 000/µL and 100 000/µL.16 Several studies in different patient populations has shown that there is no difference in bleeding risk between a platelet count of 10 000/µL and a count of 20 000/ μ L.^{17,18} It has been also observed that ~ 7100/ μ L/d is necessary for interaction with the endothelium.^{16,19}

Recently, several important randomized trials and systematic reviews were completed that have further clarified platelet transfusion triggers¹⁷; these include: platelet dosing (Prophylactic Platelet Dose Trial [PLADO] and subsequent analysis;^{20,21} Strategies for Transfusion of Platelets [SToP]²²); type of platelet component (eg, apheresis vs whole blood-derived platelets; leukoreduction; HLA matching; pathogen inactivation); and therapeutic versus prophylactic platelet transfusion (Trial of Prophylactic Platelets [TOPPS]²³; Study Alliance Leukemia²⁴; Cochrane review²⁵). A summary of the randomized, controlled trials is presented in Table 3. These studies have also shown that bleeding in hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia is common and decreases with age (starting at 86% in the 0 to 5 years of age group and decreases to 50% in adults).^{20,21,23} Interestingly, bleeding occurs at any platelet range and prophylactic transfusions have only limited impact on bleeding frequency. However, patients receiving prophylactic transfusions do have a delayed onset of bleeding.^{23,25} It has also been established that a lower dose of platelets is noninferior to a larger dose when measured by incidence of World Health Organization (WHO) Grade 2 or above bleeding.^{21,22} It has also become apparent, however, that there remain challenges in how the bleeding is measured and reported. The Biomedical Excellence for Safer Transfusion (BEST) Collaborative (www.bestcollaborative.org) analyzed the heterogeneity in reporting of the amount and type of documented bleeding in 13 clinical trials of platelet transfusions.²⁶ They concluded that consensus bleeding definitions, a standardized approach to record and grade bleeding, and guidance notes to educate and train bleeding assessors are necessary to be able to attribute observed bleeding differences to studied interventions.

The most recent clinical practice guidelines on platelet transfusions were developed by the American Society of Clinical Oncology for cancer patients in 2001 and by the British Committee for Standards in Haematology in 2003.^{27,28} We are aware of ongoing preparation of 2 new clinical practice guidelines for platelet transfusion. The first is being prepared by the International Collaboration for Guideline Development, Implementation, and Evaluation for Transfusion Therapies (ICTMG) and should be finalized and available this year. The second is being prepared by the AABB and is likely to be available in 2014. Because the methodologies for the development of these guidelines are not identical, there is a possibility that they may differ in their final recommendations.

At our institution (Table 4), inpatients not actively bleeding are only transfused when the platelet count is $< 5000/\mu$ L. This threshold was introduced in our institution and approved by the providers 18 years ago based on the publication by Gmür et al.²⁹ Patients with a temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C or with recent hemorrhage can receive platelets with platelet count $< 10000/\mu$ L. If the patient is on heparin, has coagulopathy, or has an anatomic lesion that is likely to bleed or is an outpatient, the trigger is placed at 20 000/µL. Patients who are bleeding or have scheduled an invasive procedure within the next 4 hours can be transfused for platelet count $< 50 000/\mu$ L. Finally, the trigger for the patients with CNS bleeding is $100\ 000/\mu$ L. The last 2 thresholds have no data to support or refute their benefit. There is no trigger for patients with dysfunctional platelets due to underlying platelet function disease or medication affecting platelet function. However, in both situations, the TMS physician is involved in helping to establish the dose and frequency of transfusion if multiple transfusions are required. For the common bedside procedures such as central line placement, lumbar puncture, and BM biopsy, the threshold is provider and service dependent and falls between 20 000 and 50 000/ μ L. This is an area where we see an opportunity to further standardize our institutional approach.

The criteria for administration of platelets at our institution have not changed since 1995. Platelet concentrates (exclusively apheresis platelets) are ordered using an electronic order entry system in which the ordering physician is prompted to select the appropriate indication from a limited menu of options. If the patient does not meet the established criteria (Table 4) or the most recent platelet value is inconsistent with the selected indication, the request is referred to a TMS physician (ie, resident, fellow, or attending) for further investigation.⁵ This conversation between the ordering physician and TMS physician may lead to the release of platelets or denial based on the clinical circumstances. This system, which has been in place for almost 20 years and is supported by real-time education provided by the TMS physicians to ordering providers, has led to significantly improved compliance with our platelet transfusion guidelines.

Study	Design (N)	Population	Study groups	Primary outcome(s)	Secondary outcome(s)	General conclusions
Platelet dose Heddle et al²2 (SToP)	RCT (118)	Inpatients with HT and weight 40-100 kg, plt transfusion if < 10 000/μL or higher if appropriate circumstances	Low-dose arm (1.5- 3.0 \times 10 ¹¹ plt) vs high-dose arm (3.0- 6.0 \times 10 ¹¹ plt)	Occurrence of grade 2 or higher bleeding (independent daily bleeding assessment)	Frequency of bleeding (grade 1-4); time to first bleed; number of bleeding days per 100 patients; duration of thrombocytopenia; plt and RBC transfusion requirements; interval between transfusions; modeling of bleeding risk over the next 24 h	Study stopped prematurely due to reaching prespecified difference in grade 4 bleeding. Primary outcome was met by 49.2% in the low-dose group vs 51.7% in the high-dose group (RR = 1.052; 95% CI, 0.74-1.5). It is unclear if the higher rate of grade 4 bleeding in the low-dose arm (5.2% vs 0%) was due to chance
Slichter et al ²¹ (PLADO)	RCT (1272)	Inpatients with HT due to HSCT or chemotherapy and weight 10-135 kg; no age limitations; plt transfusion if plt < 10 000/μL	Low-dose arm $(1.1 \times 10^{11}$ plt/m ²) vs medium-dose arm $(2.2 \times 10^{11}$ plt/m ²) vs high-dose arm $(4.4 \times 10^{11}$ plt/m ²)	Occurrence of grade 2 or higher bleeding	The highest grade of bleeding; total number of plt transfused; number of plt transfusions	difference There was no difference in grade 2 or higher between 1.1×10^{11} and 4.4×10^{11} ptl/m ² . Low-dose arm resulted in decreased number of ptt transfused but increased
Josephson et al ²⁰ (PLADO pediatric)	RCT: pediatric (198), adult (1044)	Inpatients with HT due to HSCT or chemotherapy and weight 10-135 kg; no age limitations; plt transfusion if plt < 10 000/ μ L; age group analysis of PLADO data (children < 18 y)	As above; 4 age groups (0-5 y; 6-12 y, 13-18 y and adults)	Occurrence of grade 2 or higher bleeding	The highest grade of bleeding; total number of plt transfused; number of plt transfusions	Plt dose did not predict bleeding for any age group. Children had a significantly higher risk of grade 2 or higher bleeding than adults and more days with grade 2 or higher bleeding. Pediatric subjects were at higher risk of bleeding over a wide range of plt

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.org/hematology/article-pdf/2013/1/638/1249999/bep00113000638.pdf by guest on 21 August 2022

Table 3. Continued						
Study	Design (N)	Population	Study groups	Primary outcome(s)	Secondary outcome(s)	General conclusions
Prophylactic vs therapeutic plt transfusion Wandt et al ²⁴	RCT (391)	AML or auto HSCT patients; age 16- 80 y	Therapeutic strategy (either bleeding or plt count $<$ 10 000/ μ L) vs prophylactic strategy (plt count $<$ 10 000/ μ L)	The number of plt transfusions over 14 d observation period	Clinically relevant bleeding	Therapeutic strategy reduced number of transfusions by 33.5% in all patients. No increased risk of bleeding in auto HSCT recipients but increase nonfatal grade 4
Stanworth et al ²³ (TOPPS) RCT/NI (600)	RCT/NI (600)	HSCT or chemotherapy receiving patients > 16 y	No-prophylaxis group (not receiving ptt for ptt count $<$ 10 000/ μ L) vs prophylaxis group (receiving ptt for ptt count $<$ 10 000/ μ L)	Bleeding grade 2, 3, or 4 up to 30 d after randomization	Number of days with bleeding grade 2 or higher; time from randomization to bleeding grade 2 or higher; bleeding event of grade 3 or 4; numbers of plt and RBC transfusions; days with plt count < 20 000/ μ L; time to recovery from thrombocytopenia; time in the hospital	The results support the need for prophylactic transfusions with the shown benefit in reducing bleeding. Overall bleeding risk in these groups is high. Primary outcome was met by 50% in the prophylaxis group vs 43% in the prophylaxis group. (<i>P</i> = .06). More days with bleeding and a shorter time to first bleeding in the no-prophylaxis group. Reduced use of plt in no-prophylaxis group.

Grades of bleeding refer to the WHO bleeding grades. AML indicates acute myeloid leukemia; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; plt, platelet; HT, hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia; NI, noninferiority; and RCT, randomized, controlled trial.

Table 4. Triggers for transfusion of platelets at our institution

Platelet concentration	Patient population
< 5000/µL	All inpatients who are not bleeding and clinically stable
$< 10\ 000/\mu L$	Patients with fever
$<$ 20 000/ μ L	Patients receiving heparin; all outpatients or those who are to be discharged
$<$ 50 000/ μ L	Patients who are actively bleeding or who will undergo invasive procedure within the next 4 hours
$< 100 \ 000/\mu L$	Neurosurgical patients
Any	Patients with dysfunctional platelet count (eg, medication, disease-related, after bypass)

Guidelines for plasma transfusions

Plasma for transfusion is produced from volunteer donation of either whole blood or apheresis plasma and is labeled as fresh frozen plasma when frozen within 8 hours of collection or plasma frozen within 24 hours (FP24). Both products are considered clinically equivalent and are typically transfused using a weight-based dosing of 10 to 20 mL/kg of recipient weight. Once thawed, either product must be transfused within 24 hours or be relabeled as "thawed plasma" to allow for refrigerated storage for up to 5 days.³⁰ Although degradation of the labile clotting factors V and VIII is observed during refrigerated storage, there is an overall maintenance of coagulation factors at sufficient levels for therapeutic use.30 Risks associated with plasma transfusion include allergic reactions, transfusion-related circulatory overload, transfusion-related acute lung injury, and transfusiontransmitted infections.³¹ Several pathogen-reduced plasma products are currently available for use outside of the United States and one has been recently approved for use in the United States.³⁰

Currently, randomized, controlled clinical trial evidence to guide plasma transfusion practice is lacking. Published guidelines based on "expert opinion" support the transfusion of plasma for the following clinical indications: active bleeding in the setting of multiple coagulation factor deficiencies (massive transfusion, disseminated intravascular coagulation); emergency reversal of warfarin in a patient with active bleeding in settings where prothrombin complex concentrate with adequate levels of factor VII is not available; and for use as replacement fluid when performing plasma exchange, particularly in the treatment of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura.³²⁻³⁶

However, in addition to these accepted indications, a significant amount of plasma is currently used in settings where there is a lack of evidence demonstrating clinical benefit.³⁷ One common reason that plasma is requested is to normalize an elevated international normalized ratio (INR) before a planned surgery or invasive procedure.³⁸ The faulty assumptions in this situation are that the elevated INR correlates with a risk for bleeding and that plasma transfusion will normalize the INR and reduce this risk.³⁹

However, an analysis of available studies demonstrated that a mildly elevated INR is not predictive of an elevated risk for bleeding.⁴⁰ Further, for mild prolongation of the INR (1.1-1.85), transfusion of plasma has not been shown to significantly improve the INR value.⁴¹ The INR calculation was developed to standardize variations in clotting times between institutions using different testing reagents for the sole purpose of monitoring patients on warfarin. Use of the INR has never been validated in other patient populations. In patients with liver disease, analysis of factor levels over an INR range of 1.3 to 1.9 demonstrated mean factor levels that were adequate to support hemostasis (> 30%).⁴²

Table 5. Triggers for transfusion of fresh frozen plasma at our institution

INR results	Patient population
> 1.5	Neurosurgical patients
> 2.0	Patients who will undergo invasive procedure
Undefined	Trauma patients who are receiving trauma-associated transfusion algorithm

At our institution (Table 5), patients with evidence of hemorrhagic shock or active bleeding leading to hemodynamic instability are transfused with plasma as needed to optimize laboratory values. Laboratory testing must be performed to assess the response to transfusion and the need for ongoing blood component support. If plasma transfusion is indicated to correct an elevated INR, a posttransfusion INR must be obtained before ordering additional plasma. Patients with an INR $\geq 2.0 ~(\geq 1.5$ for neurosurgical patients) are considered appropriate candidates for plasma transfusion. Plasma is ordered using patient-weight-based dosing and all orders that are not consistent with weight-based dosing are investigated before plasma is dispensed.

As described above for platelets, all orders for plasma at our institution are prospectively reviewed to ensure both appropriate indications and dosing. Potentially inappropriate orders are referred to a TMS physician (ie, resident, fellow, or attending) for further investigation.

Conclusions

There are an increasing number of high-quality clinical practice guidelines addressing transfusion of blood components. These guidelines are based on increasing numbers of high-quality randomized clinical trials that have been completed over the past 15 years. The implementation of clinical practice guidelines into the routine practice of medicine can be supported through the use of electronic health records and physician order auditing.

Disclosures

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: Z.M.S. is on the board of directors or an advisory committee for AABB, National Marrow Donor Program, Fenwal/Fresenius Kabi and Grifols Inc and is employed by Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center. N.M.D. declares no competing financial interests. Off-label drug use: None disclosed.

Correspondence

Zbigniew M. Szczepiorkowski, Department of Pathology, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, One Medical Center Drive, Lebanon, NH 03756; Phone: 603-653-9907; Fax: 603-650-4845; e-mail: ziggy@dartmouth.edu.

References

- 1. Canadian Medical Association: The Canadian task force on the periodic health examination. *Can Med Assoc J.* 1979;121:1193-1254.
- Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ*. 1999;318(7182):527-530.
- 3. Grol R, van Weel C. Getting a grip on guidelines: how to make them more relevant for practice. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2009;59(562): e143-144.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64(4):383-394.

- 5. Szczepiorkowski ZM, AuBuchon JP. The role of physicians in hospital transfusion services. *Transfusion*. 2006;46(5):862-867.
- Murphy MF, Wallington TB, Kelsey P, et al. Guidelines for the clinical use of red cell transfusions. *Br J Haematol*. 2001;113(1): 24-31.
- 7. Carson JL, Carless PA, Hebert PC. Transfusion thresholds and other strategies for guiding allogeneic red blood cell transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;4:CD002042.
- 8. Carson JL, Grossman BJ, Kleinman S, et al. Red blood cell transfusion: a clinical practice guideline from the AABB*. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(1):49-58.
- Hebert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(6):409-417.
- Lacroix J, Hebert PC, Hutchison JS, et al. Transfusion strategies for patients in pediatric intensive care units. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;356(16):1609-1619.
- Carson JL, Terrin ML, Noveck H, et al. Liberal or restrictive transfusion in high-risk patients after hip surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(26):2453-2462.
- Retter A, Wyncoll D, Pearse R, et al. Guidelines on the management of anaemia and red cell transfusion in adult critically ill patients. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;160(4):445-464.
- Task Force for D, Treatment of Non STSEACSoESoC, Bassand JP, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28(13):1598-1660.
- Villanueva C, Colomo A, Bosch A, et al. Transfusion strategies for acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(1):11-21.
- Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, et al. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345(19):1368-1377.
- Slichter SJ. Evidence-based platelet transfusion guidelines. *Hema-tology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program.* 2007;2007:172-178.
- Slichter SJ. Relationship between platelet count and bleeding risk in thrombocytopenic patients. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2004; 18(3):153-167.
- Rebulla P, Finazzi G, Marangoni F, et al. The threshold for prophylactic platelet transfusions in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337(26):1870-1875.
- Blajchman MA, Slichter SJ, Heddle NM, Murphy MF. New strategies for the optimal use of platelet transfusions. *Hematol*ogy Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2008;2008:198-204.
- Josephson CD, Granger S, Assmann SF, et al. Bleeding risks are higher in children versus adults given prophylactic platelet transfusions for treatment-induced hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 2012;120(4):748-760.
- Slichter SJ, Kaufman RM, Assmann SF, et al. Dose of prophylactic platelet transfusions and prevention of hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(7):600-613.
- Heddle NM, Cook RJ, Tinmouth A, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing standard- and low-dose strategies for transfusion of platelets (SToP) to patients with thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 2009;113(7):1564-1573.
- 23. Stanworth SJ, Estcourt LJ, Powter G, et al. A no-prophylaxis platelet-transfusion strategy for hematologic cancers. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(19):1771-1780.
- 24. Wandt H, Schaefer-Eckart K, Wendelin K, et al. Therapeutic platelet transfusion versus routine prophylactic transfusion in

patients with haematological malignancies: an open-label, multicentre, randomised study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9850):1309-1316.

- Estcourt L, Stanworth S, Doree C, et al. Prophylactic platelet transfusion for prevention of bleeding in patients with haematological disorders after chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;5:CD004269.
- Estcourt LJ, Heddle N, Kaufman R, et al. The challenges of measuring bleeding outcomes in clinical trials of platelet transfusions. *Transfusion*. 2013;53(7):1531-1543.
- Schiffer CA, Anderson KC, Bennett CL, et al. Platelet transfusion for patients with cancer: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001; 19(5):1519-1538.
- British Committee for Standards in Haematology BTTF. Guidelines for the use of platelet transfusions. *Br J Haematol.* 2003;122(1):10-23.
- 29. Gmur J, Burger J, Schanz U, Fehr J, Schaffner A. Safety of stringent prophylactic platelet transfusion policy for patients with acute leukaemia. *Lancet*. 1991;338(8777):1223-1226.
- Benjamin RJ, McLaughlin LS. Plasma components: properties, differences, and uses. *Transfusion*. 2012;52(suppl 1):9S-19S.
- 31. Pandey S, Vyas GN. Adverse effects of plasma transfusion. *Transfusion*. 2012;52(suppl 1):65S-79S.
- 32. O'Shaughnessy DF, Atterbury C, Bolton Maggs P, et al. Guidelines for the use of fresh-frozen plasma, cryoprecipitate and cryosupernatant. *Br J Haematol*. 2004;126(1):11-28.
- Roback JD, Caldwell S, Carson J, et al. Evidence-based practice guidelines for plasma transfusion. *Transfusion*. 2010; 50(6):1227-1239.
- Szczepiorkowski ZM, Winters JL, Bandarenko N, et al. Guidelines on the use of therapeutic apheresis in clinical practice– evidence-based approach from the Apheresis Applications Committee of the American Society for Apheresis. J Clin Apher. 2010;25(3):83-177.
- 35. Society of Thoracic Surgeons Blood Conservation Guideline Task F, Ferraris VA, Brown JR, et al. 2011 update to the Society of Thoracic Surgeons and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists blood conservation clinical practice guidelines. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2011;91(3):944-982.
- Yang L, Stanworth S, Hopewell S, Doree C, Murphy M. Is fresh-frozen plasma clinically effective? An update of a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Transfusion*. 2012; 52(8):1673-1686; quiz 1673.
- 37. Stanworth SJ, Grant-Casey J, Lowe D, et al. The use of fresh-frozen plasma in England: high levels of inappropriate use in adults and children. *Transfusion*. 2011;51(1):62-70.
- Desborough M, Stanworth S. Plasma transfusion for bedside, radiologically guided, and operating room invasive procedures. *Transfusion*. 2012;52 Suppl 1:20S-29S.
- Tinmouth A. Evidence for a rationale use of frozen plasma for the treatment and prevention of bleeding. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2012;46(3):293-298.
- Segal JB, Dzik WH, Transfusion Medicine/Hemostasis Clinical Trials N. Paucity of studies to support that abnormal coagulation test results predict bleeding in the setting of invasive procedures: an evidence-based review. *Transfusion*. 2005;45(9): 1413-1425.
- 41. Abdel-Wahab OI, Healy B, Dzik WH. Effect of fresh-frozen plasma transfusion on prothrombin time and bleeding in patients with mild coagulation abnormalities. *Transfusion*. 2006;46(8):1279-1285.
- 42. Deitcher SR. Interpretation of the international normalised ratio in patients with liver disease. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9300):47-48.