

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Tenforde MW, Shapiro AE, Rouse B, Jarvis JN, Li T, Eshun-Wilson I, Ford N

Tenforde MW, Shapiro AE, Rouse B, Jarvis JN, Li T, Eshun-Wilson I, Ford N. Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2018, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD005647. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005647.pub3.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review) Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration. WILEY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	4
BACKGROUND	19
OBJECTIVES	20
METHODS	20
RESULTS	23
Figure 1.	24
Figure 2	25
Figure 3	26
Figure 4	20
	20
Figure 6	20
	30
	30
Figure 8.	31
Figure 9.	32
Figure 10	35
Figure 11	36
Figure 12.	36
Figure 13.	37
Figure 14	38
DISCUSSION	38
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	39
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	40
REFERENCES	41
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	46
DATA AND ANALYSES	69
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5EC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5EC. Outcome 1 Mortality.	69
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5EC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5EC. Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	70
Analysis 1.2. comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC. Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	70
Analysis 1.5. comparison 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, outcome 5 DAD5 glade 5/4 toxicities	71
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 One week of AmBd + SEC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	72
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 One week of Ambd + 5FC versus two weeks of Ambd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	72
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLO, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.	12
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	73
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	74
Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	74
Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	75
Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	76
Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	76
Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.	78
Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	78
Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	78
Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	80
Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	80
Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU. Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	80
Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd. Outcome 1 Mortality.	82
Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd. Outcome 2 Farly fungicidal activity	82
Analysis 7.3 Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/A toxicities	22 22
Analysis 1.5. comparison 1 Two weeks of AmBd + ELU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality	0.0
Analysis 0.1. Comparison 0 Two weeks of AmDd \pm FLU versus two works of AmDd, Outcome 2 Farly functional estimates	04
Analysis o.2. Companson o Two weeks of Ambu + PLO versus two weeks of Ambu, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.	84

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Analy	sis 8.3. Comparison 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities 8	85
Analy	sis 9.1. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	86
Analy	sis 9.2. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity. 📖 🛛 8	87
Analy	sis 9.3. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.	87
Analy	sis 10.1. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality 8	89
Analy	sis 10.2. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal	89
activi	у	
Analy	sis 10.3. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade	89
3/4 to	xicities	
Analy	sis 11.1. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality	91
Analy	sis 11.2. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity 9	91
Analy	sis 11.3. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.	92
Analy	sis 12.1. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.	93
Analy	sis 12.2. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.	93
Analy	sis 12.3. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.	94
Analy	sis 13.1. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.	95
Analy	sis 13.2. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity 9	95
Analy	sis 13.3. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU. Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	96
Analy	sis 14.1. Comparison 14 Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU. Outcome 1 Mortality.	97
Analy	sis 14.2 Comparison 14 Two weeks of FUU versus two weeks of 5FC + FUU Outcome 2 Farly fungicidal activity	98
Analy	sis 14.3 Comparison 14 Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU. Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities	98
Analy	sis 15.1 Comparison 15 Two weeks of LamB versus two weeks of AmBd. Outcome 1 Mortality	99
Δnaly	sis 16.1. Comparison 16 Short-course L-AmB + EIII versus two weeks of L-AmB + EIII Outcome 1 Mortality	00
Analy	sis 16.2 Comparison 16 Short-course L-AmB + ELU versus two weeks of L-AmB + ELU Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity	00
Analy	sis 10.2. Comparison 16 Short course LAMB + EUL versus two weeks of LAMB + EUL Outcome 2 DAIDS grade $2/4$ 10	01
toxici	ies.	91
Analy	sis 17.1 Comparison 17 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC Outcome 1 Mortality 1(02
Analy	sis 17.2 Comparison 17 Two weeks of AmBd + 5EC + EUU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5EC. Outcome 2 Early fungicidal	02
activi	γ	
Analy	sis 18.1. Comparison 18 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality	03
Analy	sis 18.2. Comparison 18 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal 10	03
activi	у	
Analy	sis 19.1. Comparison 19 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality	04
Analy	sis 19.2. Comparison 19 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity 10	04
Analy	sis 20.1. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality	05
Analy	sis 20.2. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal 10	05
activi	у	
Analy	sis 20.3. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 10	06
toxici	ies	
Analy	sis 21.1. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality 10	07
Analy activi	sis 21.2. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal 10	07
Analy toxici	sis 21.3. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 10	08
	AI TABLES	08
APPENDIC	FS 10	09
WHAT'S N	FW 11	13
CONTRIB	ITIONS OF AUTHORS	13
	TIONS OF INTEREST	14
SUIDUES		1/I
DIFFEDEN	CES RETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	11
		15
	III	чJ

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



[Intervention Review]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Mark W Tenforde^{1,2}, Adrienne E Shapiro¹, Benjamin Rouse³, Joseph N Jarvis^{4,5}, Tianjing Li³, Ingrid Eshun-Wilson⁶, Nathan Ford⁷

¹Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, USA. ²Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington School of Public Health, Seattle, USA. ³Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁴Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ⁵Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana. ⁶Centre for Evidence Based Health Care, Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ⁷Department of HIV & Global Hepatitis Programme, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Contact address: Mark W Tenforde, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington School of Medicine, 1959 Pacific Street NE, Seattle, WA 98195, USA. mark.tenforde@gmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group. **Publication status and date:** Unchanged, published in Issue 7, 2018.

Citation: Tenforde MW, Shapiro AE, Rouse B, Jarvis JN, Li T, Eshun-Wilson I, Ford N. Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2018, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD005647. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005647.pub3.

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial Licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

ABSTRACT

Background

Cryptococcal meningitis is a severe fungal infection that occurs primarily in the setting of advanced immunodeficiency and remains a major cause of HIV-related deaths worldwide. The best induction therapy to reduce mortality from HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis is unclear, particularly in resource-limited settings where management of drug-related toxicities associated with more potent antifungal drugs is a challenge.

Objectives

To evaluate the best induction therapy to reduce mortality from HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; to compare side effect profiles of different therapies.

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register, CENTRAL, MEDLINE (PubMed), Embase (Ovid), LILACS (BIREME), African Index Medicus, and Index Medicus for the South-East Asia Region (IMSEAR) from 1 January 1980 to 9 July 2018. We also searched the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO ICTRP), ClinicalTrials.gov, and the ISRCTN registry; and abstracts of select conferences published between 1 July 2014 and 9 July 2018.

Selection criteria

We included randomized controlled trials that compared antifungal induction therapies used for the first episode of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. Comparisons could include different individual or combination therapies, or the same antifungal therapies with differing durations of induction (less than two weeks or two or more weeks, the latter being the current standard of care). We included data regardless of age, geographical region, or drug dosage. We specified no language restriction.

Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently screened titles and abstracts identified by the search strategy. We obtained the full texts of potentially eligible studies to assess eligibility and extracted data using standardized forms. The main outcomes included mortality at 2 weeks, 10

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



weeks, and 6 months; mean rate of cerebrospinal fluid fungal clearance in the first two weeks of treatment; and Division of AIDS (DAIDS) grade three or four laboratory events. Using random-effects models we determined pooled risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous outcomes and mean differences (MD) and 95% CI for continuous outcomes. For the direct comparison of 10-week mortality, we assessed the certainty of the evidence using the GRADE approach. We performed a network meta-analysis using multivariate meta-regression. We modelled treatment differences (RR and 95% CI) and determined treatment rankings for two-week and 10-week mortality outcomes using surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA). We assessed transitivity by comparing distribution of effect modifiers between studies, local inconsistency through a node-splitting approach, and global inconsistency using design-by-treatment interaction modelling. For the network meta-analysis, we applied a modified GRADE approach for assessing the certainty of the evidence for 10-week mortality.

Main results

We included 13 eligible studies that enrolled 2426 participants and compared 21 interventions. All studies were carried out in adults, and all but two studies were conducted in resource-limited settings, including 11 of 12 studies with 10-week mortality data.

In the direct pairwise comparisons evaluating 10-week mortality, one study from four sub-Saharan African countries contributed data to several key comparisons. At 10 weeks these data showed that those on the regimen of one-week amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmBd) and flucytosine (5FC) followed by fluconazole (FLU) on days 8 to 14 had lower mortality when compared to (i) two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.42 to 0.93; 228 participants, 1 study), (ii) two weeks of AmBd and FLU (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.86; 227 participants, 1 study), (iii) one week of AmBd with two weeks of FLU (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.72; 224 participants, 1 study), and (iv) two weeks of 5FC and FLU (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.99; 338 participants, 1 study). The evidence for each of these comparisons was of moderate certainty. For other outcomes, this shortened one-week AmBd and 5FC regimen had similar fungal clearance (MD 0.05 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.02 to 0.12; 186 participants, 1 study) as well as lower risk of grade three or four anaemia (RR 0.31, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.60; 228 participants, 1 study) compared to the two-week regimen of AmBd and 5FC.

For 10-week mortality, the comparison of two weeks of 5FC and FLU with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.69 to 1.23; 340 participants, 1 study) or two weeks of AmBd and FLU (RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.13; 339 participants, 1 study) did not show a difference in mortality, with moderate-certainty evidence for both comparisons.

When two weeks of combination AmBd and 5FC was compared with AmBd alone, pooled data showed lower mortality at 10 weeks (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.95; 231 participants, 2 studies, moderate-certainty evidence).

When two weeks of AmBd and FLU was compared to AmBd alone, there was no difference in 10-week mortality in pooled data (RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.62; 371 participants, 3 studies, low-certainty evidence).

One week of AmBd and 5FC followed by FLU on days 8 to 14 was the best induction therapy regimen after comparison with 11 other regimens for 10-week mortality in the network meta-analysis, with an overall SUCRA ranking of 88%.

Authors' conclusions

In resource-limited settings, one-week AmBd- and 5FC-based therapy is probably superior to other regimens for treatment of HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis. An all-oral regimen of two weeks 5FC and FLU may be an alternative in settings where AmBd is unavailable or intravenous therapy cannot be safely administered. We found no mortality benefit of combination two weeks AmBd and FLU compared to AmBd alone. Given the absence of data from studies in children, and limited data from high-income countries, our findings provide limited guidance for treatment in these patients and settings.

2 April 2019

Up to date

All studies incorporated from most recent search

Updated review: all eligible published studies found in the last search (9 Jul, 2018) were included and three ongoing studies have been identified (see 'Characteristics of ongoing studies' section)

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

What is the aim of this review?

The aim of this Cochrane Review was to find the best therapy to reduce the risk of death from cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-positive individuals. The Cochrane review authors analysed data from relevant clinical trials to answer this question and found 13 relevant studies.

Key messages

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Shorter initial treatment with one week of combined amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine probably results in lower risk of death than longer treatment with two weeks of combination amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine that has traditionally been recommended in treatment guidelines. The shorter treatment likely results in similar clearance of the infection with less toxicity from the drugs used for treatment. Where amphotericin B deoxycholate cannot be given, two weeks of combined flucytosine with fluconazole is likely a good treatment option. Given the absence of data from studies in children, and limited data from high-income countries, our findings provide limited guidance for treatment in these patients and settings.

What was studied in this review?

HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis is a severe fungal infection of the brain and surrounding membranes that causes about 15% of HIV-related deaths worldwide. Infection occurs mostly in people with advanced HIV/AIDS and most deaths from cryptococcal meningitis occur in resource-limited countries. Treatment includes initial antifungal therapy followed by continuation treatment with oral fluconazole. Previous guidelines have recommended two weeks of combination intravenous amphotericin B and oral flucytosine as the best available treatment. However, due to the high cost of treatment and limited availability of these potent antifungal drugs as well as challenges in managing common drug toxicities, resource-limited countries often use less effective therapies such as oral fluconazole alone.

The review authors compared different antifungal drugs used for initial therapy of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis to determine the best treatment to reduce the risk of death. Several recent clinical trials included in this review studied shorter initial treatment courses or all-oral treatments for cryptococcal meningitis to reduce drug toxicity and improve affordability in resource-limited countries where most infections occur.

What are the main results of the review?

The 13 studies included 2426 people and directly compared 21 different therapies. All studies were carried out in adults, and all but two studies were conducted in resource-limited settings, including 11 of 12 studies with 10-week mortality data. One recent large study conducted in adults from four countries in Africa contributed to 10 of these comparisons. This study found that one week of combination intravenous amphotericin B deoxycholate and oral flucytosine followed by fluconazole probably resulted in a lower risk of death within 10 weeks than two weeks of combination amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine (moderate-certainty evidence). The rate of fungal reduction measured in cerebrospinal fluid did not differ between the treatment groups but a shorter duration of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine was associated with lower risk of life-threatening toxicities measured through blood testing. These results suggest that shorter one week treatment with amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine is probably better than two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine.

In this same study, one week of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine probably resulted in a lower risk of death than a combination of oral flucytosine and fluconazole (moderate-certainty evidence). However, risk of death was similar between oral flucytosine and fluconazole and two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine (moderate-certainty evidence). Where intravenous amphotericin B therapy is not available or cannot be safely given to patients, this suggests that combination therapy with oral flucytosine and fluconazole is a good alternative treatment.

Due to the lack of data from studies in children, and limited data from high-income countries, our findings provide limited guidance for treatment in these patients and settings.

How up-to-date is this review?

The review authors initially searched for studies up to 9 July 2018.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison. One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + 5FC

Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + 5FC	- (95% CI)	(trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	383 per 1000	237 per 1000 (161 to 356)	RR 0.62 (0.42 to 0.93)	228 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 2. One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis **Setting:** randomized controlled trial **Intervention:** 1 week of AmBd + 5FC **Comparison:** 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects'	* (95% CI)	Relative effect Number of parti		Certainty of the evi- dence		
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + 5FC	_ (30 / 6 Cl)	(trials)	(GRADE)		
Mortality: 10 weeks	412 per 1000	239 per 1000 (161 to 355)	RR 0.58 (0.39 to 0.86)	227 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹		
*The risk in the inter Abbreviations: 5FC:	vention group (and its 95% CI) flucytosine; AmBd: amphoterici	is based on the assumed risk in the comparis n B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FL	son group and the relative .U: fluconazole; RCT: rando	effect of the intervention	on (and its 95% CI). RR: risk ratio		
GRADE Working Group grades of evidence High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect. Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different. Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect. Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.							
¹ Downgraded one leve Summary of finding	l for imprecision. Data from a sir 35 3. One week of AmBd + 5	ngle study with few events. FC compared to one week of AmBd + F	LU for HIV-associated c	ryptococcal mening	itis		
One week of AmBd +	5FC compared to one week of	AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococc	cal meningitis				
Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + 5FC Comparison: 1 week of AmBd + FLU							
Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence		
	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + 5FC	. ,	(GRADE)			
Mortality: 10 weeks	486 per 1000	238 per 1000 (165 to 350)	RR 0.49 (0.34 to 0.72)	224 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹		

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

сл

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 4. One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: Randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + 5 FC Comparison: 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + 5FC		pants (trials)	GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	351 per 1000	239 per 1000 (165 to 348)	RR 0.68 (0.47 to 0.99)	338 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 5. Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Treatment for HIV-associated

cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	Risk with 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU	(95% CI)	(trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	383 per 1000	352 per 1000 (264 to 471)	RR 0.92 (0.69 to 1.23)	340 (1 RCT)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \odot$ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 6. Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU	()	(trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	412 per 1000	350 per 1000 (264 to 466)	RR 0.85 (0.64 to 1.13)	339 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 7. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis

Setting: randomized controlled trial

Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	(95% CI)	(trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	409 per 1000	270 per 1000 (188 to 388)	RR 0.66 (0.46 to 0.95)	231 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from two studies with few events.

Summary of findings 8. Two weeks of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	()	(trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	338 per 1000	317 per 1000 (186 to 547)	RR 0.94 (0.55 to 1.62)	371 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊙⊝ LOW ^{1,2}

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for indirectness. Some participants received a lower dose of fluconazole than currently recommended in combination with AmBd. Pappas 2009 excluded from enrolment patients who were not expected to survive two weeks, so the study population may not be representative of general patients with cryptococcal meningitis. ²Downgraded one level for imprecision. Few events with broad CI including appreciable benefit and appreciable harm.

Summary of findings 9. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU

(trials) (GRADE)	Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants (trials)	Certainty of the evi- dence (GRADE)
------------------	----------	--	-----------------------------	---	---

	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC			
Mortality: 10 weeks	355 per 1000	320 per 1000 (245 to 423)	RR 0.90 (0.69 to 1.19)	538 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊙© LOW ^{1,2}
*The risk in the inte Abbreviations: 5FC:	rvention group (and its 95% CI) flucytosine; AmBd: amphoterici	is based on the assumed risk in the comparisc in B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU	n group and the relative : fluconazole; RCT: rando	effect of the intervention omized controlled trial; RR	(and its 95% C : risk ratio
Moderate certainty: substantially differer Low certainty: our c Very low certainty:	we are moderately confident in nt. onfidence in the effect estimate we have very little confidence in	the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to l is limited: the true effect may be substantially the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to l	e close to the estimate o different from the estima e substantially different	f the effect, but there is a p ite of the effect. from the estimate of effect	oossibility that
¹ Downgraded one leve in one study also recei ² Downgraded one leve Summary of finding	el for indirectness. Some particip ved a different azole drug, vorico el for imprecision. Few overall ev gs 10. Two weeks of AmBd	pants received a lower dose of fluconazole tha pnazole. rents. + FLU + steroids compared to two week !	n is currently recommend	led in combination with Ar V-associated cryptocod	nBd. A few pa ccal mening
¹ Downgraded one leve in one study also recei ² Downgraded one leve Summary of finding Two weeks of AmBd	el for indirectness. Some particip ved a different azole drug, voricc el for imprecision. Few overall ev gs 10. Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids compared to t	poants received a lower dose of fluconazole tha ponazole. rents. + FLU + steroids compared to two week s two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associatec	n is currently recommend of AmBd + FLU for HI cryptococcal meningiti	led in combination with Ar V-associated cryptocoo s	nBd. A few pa
¹ Downgraded one leve in one study also recei ² Downgraded one leve Summary of finding Two weeks of AmBd Patient or populations Setting: randomized Intervention: 2 week	el for indirectness. Some particip ved a different azole drug, vorico el for imprecision. Few overall ev gs 10. Two weeks of AmBd I + FLU + steroids compared to f on: HIV-infected individual with f I controlled trial ks of AmBd + FLU + steroids ts of AmBd + FLU	poants received a lower dose of fluconazole tha pnazole. rents. + FLU + steroids compared to two weeks two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated first episode of cryptococcal meningitis	n is currently recommend	led in combination with Ar V-associated cryptocod	nBd. A few pa
¹ Downgraded one leve in one study also recei ² Downgraded one leve Summary of finding Two weeks of AmBd Patient or populatio Setting: randomized Intervention: 2 week Comparison: 2 week	el for indirectness. Some particip ved a different azole drug, vorico el for imprecision. Few overall ev gs 10. Two weeks of AmBd I + FLU + steroids compared to f on: HIV-infected individual with f I controlled trial ks of AmBd + FLU + steroids as of AmBd + FLU Anticipated absolute effects	boants received a lower dose of fluconazole that on azole. Tents. + FLU + steroids compared to two weeks two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated first episode of cryptococcal meningitis * (95% CI)	n is currently recommend of AmBd + FLU for HI cryptococcal meningiti Relative effect (95% CI)	led in combination with Ar V-associated cryptocod s Number of partici- pants	nBd. A few pa ccal mening Certainty o evidence
¹ Downgraded one leve in one study also recei ² Downgraded one leve Summary of finding Two weeks of AmBd Patient or populatio Setting: randomized Intervention: 2 week Outcomes	el for indirectness. Some particip ved a different azole drug, vorico el for imprecision. Few overall ev gs 10. Two weeks of AmBd I + FLU + steroids compared to f on: HIV-infected individual with f I controlled trial ks of AmBd + FLU + steroids is of AmBd + FLU Anticipated absolute effects Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	boants received a lower dose of fluconazole that on azole. The tents. + FLU + steroids compared to two weeks two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated first episode of cryptococcal meningitis * (95% CI) Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids	of AmBd + FLU for HI cryptococcal meningiti Relative effect (95% CI)	led in combination with Ar V-associated cryptocod s Number of partici- pants (trials)	nBd. A few pa ccal mening Certainty o evidence (GRADE)

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

10

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

Summary of findings 11. One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + FLU	((trials)	(GRADE)
Mortality: 10 weeks	412 per 1000	486 per 1000 (363 to 651)	RR 1.18 (0.88 to 1.58)	225 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊙ MODERATE ¹

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 12. One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence	
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + FLU		(trials)	(GRADE)	
Mortality: 10 weeks	383 per 1000	486 per 1000 (360 to 658)	RR 1.27 (0.94 to 1.72)	226 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 13. One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi- dence	
	Risk with 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU	Risk with 1 week of AmBd + FLU		(trials)	(GRADE)	
Mortality: 10 weeks	351 per 1000	488 per 1000 (376 to 632)	RR 1.39 (1.07 to 1.80)	336 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ¹	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for imprecision. Data from a single study with few events.

Summary of findings 14. Two weeks of FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	s* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	
	Risk with 2 weeks of 5FC + FLU	Risk with 2 weeks of FLU	((trials)		
Mortality: 10 weeks	392 per 1000	573 per 1000 (376 to 875)	RR 1.46 (0.96 to 2.23)	98 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊝⊝⊝ VERY LOW ^{1,2,3}	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for risk of bias. High loss to follow-up by 10 weeks.

²Downgraded one level for indirectness. Participants in one study received a lower dose of fluconazole than is recommended and a higher dose of flucytosine. ³Downgraded two levels for imprecision. Data from two small studies with few events.

Summary of findings 15. Two weeks of L-AmB compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of L-AmB compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of L-AmB Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects'	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants (trials)	Certainty of the evi- dence (GRADE)	
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd	Risk with 2 weeks of L-AmB				
Mortality: 10 weeks	154 per 1000	66 per 1000 (6 to 654)	RR 0.43 (0.04 to 4.25)	28 (1 RCT)	⊕⊝⊝⊝ VERY LOW ^{1,2}	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; L-AmB: liposomal amphotericin B; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for risk of bias. Study sponsored by study drug manufacturer, and role of funder not stated. Mortality also not stated as primary outcome of interest. ²Downgraded two levels for imprecision. Data from single small study with few events and broad CI including appreciable benefit and appreciable harm.

Summary of findings 16. Short-course L-AmB + FLU compared to two weeks of L-AmB + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Short-course L-AmB + FLU compared to two weeks of L-AmB + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis **Setting:** randomized controlled trial **Intervention:** short-course L-AmB + FLU

Comparison: 2 weeks of L-AmB + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects [*] (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants (trials)	Certainty of the evi- dence (GRADE)
	Risk with 2 weeks of L-AmB Risk with short-course L-AmB + FLU + FLU			

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Cochrane Library

	286 per 1000	294 per 1000 (134 to 643)	RR 1.03 (0.47 to 2.25)	79 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊙© LOW1					
*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; L-AmB: liposomal amphotericin B; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio										
GRADE Working Gro High certainty: we a Moderate certainty: substantially differen Low certainty: our c Very low certainty:	up grades of evidence re very confident that the true e we are moderately confident ir it. onfidence in the effect estimate we have very little confidence ir	effect lies close to that of the estimate of the end of the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to e is limited: the true effect may be substantiall of the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to	ffect. be close to the estima y different from the est be substantially differe	te of the effect, but there is imate of the effect. ent from the estimate of eff	a possibility that it is fect.					
¹ Downgraded two leve	ls for imprecision. Data from a s	single small study with few events and broad C	CI including appreciable	e benefit and appreciable h	narm.					
Summary of finding	zs 17. Two weeks of AmBd	+ 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of	AmBd + 5FC for HIV	-associated cryptococc	al meningitis					
Two weeks of AmBd	+ 5FC + FLU compared to two	weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cry	/ptococcal meningitis							
Patient or population Setting: randomized Intervention: 2 week Comparison: 2 week	n: HIV-infected individual with controlled trial (s of AmBd + 5FC + FLU s of AmBd + 5FC	first episode of cryptococcal meningitis								
Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	;* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici- pants	Certainty of the evi-					
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU	(,	(trials)	(GRADE)					
Mortality: 10 weeks	67 per 1000	187 per 1000 (22 to 1000)	RR 2.81 (0.33 to 24.16)	31 (1 RCT)	⊕⊝⊝⊝ VERY LOW ¹²					
Mortality: 10 weeks *The risk in the inter Abbreviations: 5FC:	67 per 1000 r vention group (and its 95% CI) flucytosine; AmBd: amphoteric	187 per 1000 (22 to 1000)) is based on the assumed risk in the comparis :in B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FL	RR 2.81 (0.33 to 24.16) on group and the relat U: fluconazole; RCT: ra	31 (1 RCT) ive effect of the interventi ndomized controlled trial;	$\oplus \odot \odot \odot$ VERY LOW ^{1 2} ion (and its 95% CI). RR: risk ratio					

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect. Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

15

Cochrane Library

Summary of findings 18. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis

Setting: randomized controlled trial

Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU

Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evi- dence	
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + FLU	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU		(trials)	(GRADE)	
Mortality: 10 weeks	438 per 1000	188 per 1000 (57 to 599)	RR 0.43 (0.13 to 1.37)	32 (1 RCT)	⊕ooo VERY LOW ^{1,2}	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded one level for indirectness. Data generated from a single small study that used a lower dose of fluconazole than is currently recommended. ²Downgraded two levels for imprecision. Data generated from a single small study with very few events.

Summary of findings 19. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd

outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evi- dence				
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU		()	(GRADE)				
Mortality: 10 weeks	188 per 1000	188 per 1000 (45 to 793)	RR 1.00 (0.24 to 4.23)	32 (1 RCT)	⊕ooo VERY LOW ^{1,2}				
*The risk in the inte Abbreviations: 5FC:	r vention group (and its 95% Cl) flucytosine; AmBd: amphoterici	is based on the assumed risk in the compari n B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; F	ison group and the relativ LU: fluconazole; RCT: rand	e effect of the intervent domized controlled trial	ion (and its 95% CI). R R: risk ratio				
High certainty: we a Moderate certainty: substantially differen Low certainty: our c Very low certainty:	re very confident that the true ef we are moderately confident in it. onfidence in the effect estimate we have very little confidence in	ffect lies close to that of the estimate of the the effect estimate: the true effect is likely t is limited: the true effect may be substantia the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to	effect. o be close to the estimate lly different from the estim o be substantially differen	of the effect, but there is nate of the effect. t from the estimate of ef	s a possibility that it is fect.				
¹ Downgraded one leve ² Downgraded two leve Summary of findin _f	I for indirectness. Data generate Is for imprecision. Data from a si gs 20. One week of AmBd +	d from a single study that used a low dose o ingle small study with few events and broad 5FC + FLU compared to one week of A	f fluconazole. CI including appreciable b mBd + FLU for HIV-ass	penefit and appreciable ociated cryptococcal	harm. meningitis				
One week of AmBd +	- 5FC + FLU compared to one w	eek of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryp	otococcal meningitis						
Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 1 week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU Comparison: 1 week of AmBd + FLU									
Setting: randomized Intervention: 1 week Comparison: 1 week	controlled trial < of AmBd + 5FC + FLU of AmBd + FLU								
Setting: randomized Intervention: 1 week Comparison: 1 week	controlled trial < of AmBd + 5FC + FLU of AmBd + FLU Anticipated absolute effects	* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici pants	- Certainty of the evi- dence				
Patient or populatic Setting: randomized Intervention: 1 weel Comparison: 1 week Outcomes	controlled trial < of AmBd + 5FC + FLU of AmBd + FLU Anticipated absolute effects' Risk with 1 week of AmBd + FLU	* (95% CI) Risk with 1 week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici pants (trials)	- Certainty of the evi- dence (GRADE)				

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; FLU: fluconazole; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

17

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded two levels for imprecision. Data from a single small study with few events and broad CI including appreciable benefit and appreciable harm.

Summary of findings 21. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Patient or population: HIV-infected individual with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis Setting: randomized controlled trial Intervention: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg Comparison: 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects	s* (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Number of partici-	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	
	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC	Risk with 2 weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg	((trials)		
Mortality: 10 weeks	323 per 1000	297 per 1000 (155 to 571)	RR 0.92 (0.48 to 1.77)	88 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW ¹	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CI: confidence interval; IFNg: interferon gamma 1b; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

¹Downgraded two levels for imprecision. Data generated from a single study with few events and broad CI that included appreciable benefit and appreciable harm.



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Cryptococcal meningitis is a severe fungal infection primarily seen in people with compromised cell-mediated immunity. Most cases occur in the context of advanced HIV disease (defined as cluster of differentiation 4 (CD4) cell count < 200 cells/mm³), with the risk increasing with decreasing CD4 cell count. Rollout of combined antiretroviral therapy (ART) in the 1990s led to a large decline in incident HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in high-income countries, but a large burden of disease still exists in resource-limited settings (Dromer 2004; Pyrgos 2013; Rajasingham 2017). This reflects, in part, an enduring high proportion of HIVpositive individuals who present late for care due to delays in HIV diagnosis and linkage to care (Anderegg 2017). However, a growing proportion of cases (approximately 50%) are now seen in ART-experienced individuals, reflecting ART default and treatment failure (Beardsley 2016; Rhein 2016; Scriven 2016). In 2014, an estimated 223,100 incident cases and 181,100 deaths occurred globally, and cryptococcal meningitis is estimated to cause up to 15% of HIV-related deaths (Rajasingham 2017). Approximately 73% of cases are estimated to occur in sub-Saharan Africa.

Cryptococccal meningitis is caused by environmental yeast species *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii. C neoformans* has a worldwide distribution and causes clinical disease in immunocompromised individuals, whereas *C gattii* has a more limited geographical distribution and is also associated with disease in immunocompetent hosts (Chen 2000). A typically asymptomatic primary pulmonary infection occurs after inhalation of fungal spores or desiccated yeast. In the absence of an effective immune response to clear or contain infection, yeast can spread to the central nervous system (CNS) to cause a severe meningoencephalitis. Clinical presentation is subacute, and commonly includes fever, headache, neck stiffness, altered mental status, vomiting, and neurological deficits such as cranial nerve palsies (Moosa 1997; Day 2013).

Diagnostic confirmation of cryptococcal meningitis relies on lumbar puncture (LP), which is also an essential part of management to lower raised intracranial pressure. Use of India ink stain to detect yeast in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is commonly performed in resource-limited settings. Testing is cheap, rapid, and requires limited laboratory infrastructure, but has poor sensitivity (Boulware 2014a). Culture, considered the gold standard for diagnosis, is more sensitive but is more expensive, requires additional laboratory infrastructure and expertise, and results are delayed while yeast grow in culture media. Various cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) assays are available that provide rapid results, and CrAg testing of CSF by latex agglutination or, preferably, lateral flow assay is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) (WHO 2018). Cryptococcal antigen testing can also be performed on serum or plasma. Although a positive test from a blood sample is not specific for CNS disease, initiation of treatment for cryptococcal meningitis based on a positive test of serum or plasma is recommended if LP cannot be performed or is clinically contraindicated (WHO 2018).

Description of the intervention

Polyenes, azoles, and the pyrimidine analog flucytosine (5FC), are the mainstays of treatment for cryptococcal meningitis.

Per WHO guidelines (issued in 2011) and Infectious Disease Society of America guidelines (issued in 2010), the preferred induction therapy for adults includes intravenous (IV) amphotericin deoxycholate (AmBd) (0.7 to 1 mg/kg daily) and oral 5FC (100 mg/kg daily in four divided doses) for a minimum of two weeks, followed by maintenance oral fluconazole (400 to 800 mg daily) for a minimum of eight additional weeks (Perfect 2010; WHO 2011). Liposomal amphotericin (AmB) is less nephrotoxic but more expensive than AmBd, and IV liposomal AmB (3 to 4 mg/kg daily) or IV AmB lipid complex (5 mg/kg daily) may be substituted for AmBd during the induction phase of treatment (Perfect 2010). A phase three clinical trial conducted in Vietnam comparing AmBd 1 mg/ kg daily and 5FC 100 mg/kg daily to AmBd 1 mg/kg daily alone found a statistically significant mortality benefit of combination therapy, providing evidence for these recommendations (Day 2013). Treatment guidelines provide tiered recommendations for alternative regimens if first-line therapy cannot be administered.

Intravenous amphotericin has strong fungicidal activity, and treatment for at least 14 days has been considered first-line therapy (Perfect 2010; WHO 2011). Major drug-related adverse effects include nephrotoxicity, hypokalaemia, and anaemia, and therapy should include IV fluid hydration, potassium supplementation, and regular laboratory monitoring for haematological and metabolic toxicities (Bicanic 2015). The relatively high cost of treatment, requirement of IV administration, and limited ability to monitor for drug-related toxicities in many settings often result in the use of alternative oral antifungal regimens, primarily fluconazole. To reduce the cost of treatment and promote greater use in resource-limited settings, recent trials have evaluated the efficacy of AmB-based induction therapy for a duration of less than 14 days (Muzoora 2012; Jarvis 2018; Molloy 2018).

Flucytosine, available orally or intravenously, is recommended in combination with amphotericin as first-line therapy (Perfect 2010). The most serious drug-related adverse effect of 5FC is bone marrow suppression. Hepatotoxicity is also a drug-related toxicity, and patients commonly experience gastrointestinal symptoms (Vermes 2000). These serious adverse effects are uncommon with current dosing recommendations (Loyse 2013a). Flucytosine is practical because of oral availability but is expensive and unavailable in most high-burden, resource-limited settings and unregistered throughout Africa (Loyse 2013b).

Fluconazole, an azole drug, is recommended as maintenance therapy for cryptococcal meningitis. However, it is often used for induction therapy in resource-limited settings due to its low cost (including through a donation programme) and oral formulation. Fluconazole monotherapy is associated with very high mortality compared to amphotericin-based regimens (Bicanic 2006; Schaars 2006; Rothe 2013). Fluconazole demonstrates weak *Cryptococcus spp.* killing activity compared to amphotericin, although dose escalation studies show improved fungal killing at high doses without a significant increase in drug-related side effects (Bicanic 2007; Longley 2008; Milefchik 2008).

Several adjunctive drugs have also been evaluated for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in combination with traditional antifungal therapies. These include therapies with immunomodulatory functions such as dexamethasone and interferon-gamma, acetazolamide to lower intracranial pressure, and sertraline, an antidepressant that also demonstrates antifungal activity against *Cryptococcus spp.* in in vitro and in

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.



animal models (Jarvis 2012; Zhai 2012; Beardsley 2016; Rhein 2016).

In addition to the choice and dose of drug therapy, a number of other factors influence outcomes of treatment for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis. The accumulation of yeast or shed capsular polysaccharides may obstruct CSF reabsorption at arachnoid granulations, leading to elevated intracranial pressure (Loyse 2010). Elevated intracranial pressure is independently associated with mortality, and therapeutic LP after initial diagnostic LP may decrease the risk of death (Bicanic 2009; Meda 2014; Rolfes 2014). Standard electrolyte supplementation and IV fluids with amphotericin therapy may also decrease mortality (Bahr 2014). Furthermore, several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated reduced mortality when ART is delayed for several weeks with either amphotericin- or fluconazolebased regimens, which is possibly explained by early immune reconstitution with ART causing deleterious inflammation in response to living or dead yeast components within the contained CNS space (Makadzange 2010; Bisson 2013; Boulware 2014b).

How the intervention might work

Antifungal therapies with activity against *Cryptococcus spp.* act by various mechanisms (Table 1). Fluconazole and other azoles inhibit biosynthesis of ergosterol, which is essential in fungal cell membranes; polyenes bind to fungal membrane sterols and disrupt cell membranes; and flucytosine is a pyrimidine analog that blocks fungal ribonucleic acid (RNA) and protein biosynthesis. Among adjunctive treatments, sertraline is fungicidal against *Cryptococcus spp.* in in vitro and animal studies, although the mechanism of action is unclear. Other adjunctive therapies may improve outcomes through modulation of the immune response or by lowering elevated intracranial pressure.

Why it is important to do this review

HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis is a significant cause of mortality in HIV-positive individuals, particularly people with advanced HIV disease in resource-limited settings, and results in up to 15% of HIV-related deaths (Rajasingham 2017). Although guidelines exist for the antifungal management of cryptococcal meningitis, recommendations are based on limited data from RCTs, and in clinical practice treatment is highly variable due to drug costs, availability, and ability to monitor and manage drug-related toxicities (Perfect 2010). A Cochrane Review on treatment for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis was published in 2008, but a number of clinical trials comparing new induction regimens as well as several novel therapies have since been published (Sloan 2008).

Evaluation of multiple treatment regimens in predominately small, phase two clinical trials, and a large number of potential independent pairwise comparisons provides a rationale for including a network meta-analysis (NMA) in a systematic review of these treatment regimens. Furthermore, given the lack of availability or affordability of widely accepted first-line two-week AmB and 5FC combination therapy in most resource-limited settings, which have the overwhelming burden of disease, a ranking of alternative regimens by NMA will support the development of evidence-based guidance of best treatment options in the context of common resource constraints. A previous systematic review and NMA on the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis was published (Campbell 2015). However, the review combined data from RCTs with cohort and other non-randomized trials, and included retreatment cases. Additionally, several RCTs evaluating short-course induction regimens and novel adjunctive therapies have since been published. This Cochrane Review and NMA therefore incorporates new evidence to inform the best antifungal regimens for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis.

OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate the best induction therapy to reduce mortality from HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis
- To compare side effect profiles of different therapies

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We included RCTs that included participants assigned to different induction regimens. We excluded non-randomized studies.

Types of participants

Inclusion criteria

We included studies limited to HIV-positive individuals with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis, diagnosed by positive CSF India ink stain, fungal culture, or cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) test. Inclusion was not limited by ART status at enrolment (ART naive or experienced). Studies could be unblinded, single-blinded, or double-blinded.

Exclusion criteria

We excluded studies that had re-treatment cases, as these may be associated with antifungal resistance or may represent partially treated cases (Bicanic 2006). As a serum and plasma CrAg testing is not specific for CNS cryptococcal infection, we excluded any studies that included participants based on a positive blood test or clinical suspicion without microbiological confirmation of CSF. We also excluded cryptococcal antigen screening studies for cryptococcal meningitis prevention. We assessed the amount of and reasons for missing data in studies. We excluded studies with significant (> 20%) loss to follow-up. We also excluded studies with significant imbalances between groups in clinically relevant parameters (such as baseline severity of disease or CD4 count).

Types of interventions

Interventions

Interventions included the following antifungal drugs or drug classes used for induction therapy: amphotericin B deoxycholate, liposomal/lipid formation amphotericin B, flucytosine, azole drugs, and adjunctive therapies (including but not limited to acetazolamide, dexamethasone, interferon-gamma, and sertraline). We did not limit study inclusion by duration of induction therapy or by drug dosage.

Comparisons

We considered studies comparing any combination of the following interventions.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



- AmB deoxycholate for at least two weeks
- AmB deoxycholate for less than two weeks
- Liposomal/lipid complex AmB for at least two weeks
- Liposomal/lipid complex AmB for less than two weeks
- Azole drugs
- 5FC
- Adjunctive therapies (for example, sertraline, dexamethasone, acetazolamide, interferon-gamma (IFNg))

Types of outcome measures

Our primary treatment outcome was mortality. Our secondary outcomes were drug-related adverse events and rate of fungal clearance. Early fungicidal activity (EFA), or mean rate of fungal clearance in the first two weeks of antifungal therapy, has been shown to correlate with mortality and is frequently reported in phase two studies that are not powered to detect mortality differences and to inform larger studies (Bicanic 2009).

Primary outcomes

- Mortality, subdivided into:
 - short term (within two weeks)
 - medium term (within 10 weeks)
 - long term (up to six months)

Secondary outcomes

- Mean rate of fungal clearance (early fungicidal activity) in the first two weeks of antifungal therapy.
- Serious adverse events related to therapy: we described grade three (severe) and grade four (potentially life-threatening) laboratory events according to Division of AIDS (DAIDS) definitions for main laboratory abnormalities associated with antifungal drugs (DAIDS 2014). We limited comparisons to anaemia, neutropenia, nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity (alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation), and hypokalaemia.

Search methods for identification of studies

We attempted to identify all potential studies regardless of language or publication status (published, unpublished, in press, and in progress). We restricted searches to studies published since 1 January 1980. We did not initially include search filters for study design.

Electronic searches

We searched the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register, CENTRAL, MEDLINE (PubMed), Embase (Ovid), LILACS (BIREME), African Index Medicus, and Index Medicus for the South-East Asia Region (IMSEAR) using the search strategy detailed in Appendix 1, including HIV-related search terms, search terms for cryptococcal meningitis, and search terms for antifungal and adjunctive therapies. We also searched the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO ICTRP) (www.who.int/ictrp/search/en/), ClinicalTrials.gov (clinicaltrials.gov), and the ISRCTN registry (www.isrctn.com/) to identify ongoing trials, using 'HIV', 'AIDS', 'cryptococcal meningitis', and 'antifungal agents' as search terms. We searched from 1 January 1980 up to 9 July 2018 without language restriction.

Searching other resources

We searched for recent studies (1 July 2014 to 9 July 2018) of HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis from select conferences:

- Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI);
- all conferences of the International AIDS Society (IAS);
- International Conference on Cryptococcus and Cryptococcosis (ICCC);
- Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) IDWeek.

We checked the reference lists of all included studies. We contacted leading researchers to identify any unpublished data.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

We aggregated articles that we had obtained from the electronic database via the search strategy and de-duplicated in EndNote (EndNote 2013). Two review authors (MWT and AES) independently screened the titles and abstracts of these articles for potential eligibility. Two review authors (MWT and AES) obtained and assessed the full-texts of potentially eligible articles, and both completed study eligibility forms (Appendix 2). Both review authors evaluated articles for possible duplicated reporting, and one review author (MWT) contacted study authors for additional information as needed. We resolved any discrepancies regarding eligibility of individual studies through discussion or through adjudication by additional review authors (NF and JNJ) when necessary. We documented search results using a flow diagram following PRISMA recommendations, including unique articles retrieved from the initial search, number of titles excluded by review of title and abstract, and number of articles retrieved in full text (Moher 2009). We listed excluded RCTs, with a brief justification for exclusion, in the 'Characteristics of excluded studies' table. We did not exclude any studies based on language.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (MWT and AES) independently used a standardized data extraction form to obtain study information, including data outlined in Appendix 3.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We assessed risk of bias with the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool (Higgins 2011). We graded studies as at either 'low', 'high', or 'unclear risk' within seven domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and bias due to problems not covered elsewhere. We generated a 'Risk of bias' summary figure for included studies.

Measures of treatment effect

In the analysis of RCTs, we displayed mortality at each mortality endpoint for interventions using forest plots. In each pair-wise comparison, for dichotomous outcome measures (for example, two-week mortality) we obtained risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI). For continuous outcomes (for example, rate of fungal clearance), we calculated pairwise mean differences (MDs) with 95% CI.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.



Relative ranking

We generated ranking probabilities for each intervention, taking into account each possible rank and cumulative ranking probabilities. We summarized the cumulative ranking probabilities using the surface under the cumulative ranking area (SUCRA) values (Salanti 2011). The SUCRA value represents the probability that a treatment will present the best outcome with no uncertainty and was used to develop a hierarchy of treatments for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis.

Unit of analysis issues

Our unit of analysis was HIV-positive individuals treated for an initial episode of cryptococcal meningitis.

Cluster-randomized trials

Cluster-randomised trials were eligible for inclusion if methods were used that adjusted for clustering of data to analyse effect estimates at the level of the individual. We did not find studies with cluster-randomized designs.

Cross-over randomized clinical trials

We excluded any cross-over randomized clinical trials.

Repeated observations on participants

We assessed individual-level mortality outcomes for different periods of follow-up including short-term (two-week), mediumterm (up to 10 weeks), and long-term (up to six months) time frames.

Multiple treatment events

We excluded studies that included re-treatment cases of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis.

Trials with multiple treatment groups

Cryptococcal meningitis trials may compare more than two treatment groups. We collected data for all comparator arms and analysed multi-arm trials as multiple separate two-arm trials in pairwise meta-analysis. We accounted for the correlation within multi-arm trials in the NMA (see Data synthesis).

Dealing with missing data

We described missing participant, intervention, and outcome data within included studies. We contacted original investigators to request relevant missing data. For binary outcomes, we performed intention-to-treat analyses. We considered participants who were lost to follow-up as alive after loss to follow-up. Given the severity of the primary outcome (death) and high risk of bias, we excluded studies that had a significant loss to follow-up within six months (> 20%). Loss to follow-up for the 13 studies included in our analysis was very low (median < 1%) and believed to be unlikely to significantly bias results.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We considered the following potential causes of clinical and methodological heterogeneity: ART status, timing of ART, study settings (resource-limited country versus high-income country), inclusion of participants with abnormal mental status (for example, Glasgow coma score less than 15), one or more LP after diagnostic Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

LP (lowering of intracranial pressure), dosing of antifungal therapy, risk of bias (assessed by 'Risk of bias' tool).

We evaluated statistical heterogeneity using the tau² and l² values in both comparison-specific and common heterogeneity pairwise meta-analyses (see Data synthesis). We could not evaluate statistical heterogeneity through visual inspection of forest plots, subgrouping, or meta-regression, as there were few studies contributing to each comparison.

Assessment of transitivity and inconsistency

The validity of NMA relies on the assumption of transitivity – that the sets of trials in each comparison are similar other than the intervention, allowing meaningful indirect comparisons of interventions that were not evaluated in the same study. We evaluated transitivity by assessing the distribution of effect modifiers across studies. We also examined inconsistency, the statistical agreement between direct and indirect estimates.

We evaluated local consistency between direct and indirect comparisons using the node-splitting and loop-specific approaches, and global consistency using design-by-treatment interaction modelling, which integrates loop inconsistency with consideration of design inconsistency (Dias 2010; Higgins 2012). If we identified inconsistency, we more closely examined the potential effect modifiers in inconsistent loops and conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding studies that may be sources of inconsistency.

Potential effect modifiers included the following.

- ART status
- Timing of ART
- Study settings (resource-limited country versus high-income country)
- Inclusion of participants with abnormal mental status (for example, Glasgow coma score less than 15)
- One or more LP after diagnostic LP (lowering of intracranial pressure)
- Dosing of antifungal therapies

Assessment of reporting biases

We planned to assess reporting bias by examining asymmetry in funnel plots of pairwise comparisons that had a sufficient number of studies (at least 10), however there were too few studies for this analysis. We planned to assess reporting bias across the network using comparison-adjusted funnel plots (Chaimani 2013). As we were not able to identify a meaningful treatment order and there were too few studies per comparison, we did not carry out this analysis.

Data synthesis

We first conducted pairwise meta-analyses for all direct comparisons using random-effects models. We conducted these analyses assuming both comparison-specific heterogeneity (that is, each direct comparison has a separate heterogeneity estimate) and a common heterogeneity across comparisons to determine tau² and l² values. We performed pairwise meta-analyses using the 'metan' (for the comparison-specific heterogeneity analysis) and 'mvmeta' (for the common heterogeneity analysis) commands

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

in Stata (Version 13) (Stata 2013) and tools in Review Manager 5 (RevMan 2014).

We then performed NMA, combining direct outcomes data within studies and indirect data across studies, to compare primary and secondary outcomes from multiple interventions. We performed NMA following the multivariate meta-regression approach (White 2011; White 2012). We used random-effects models that account for within-study correlation of multi-arm trials (Lu 2006). We modelled treatment differences (log risk ratios for binary outcomes and mean differences for continuous outcomes) and treatment rankings. We performed network meta-analyses using the 'network' suite of commands in Stata (Version 13) (White 2015).

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We planned to perform subgroup analysis to examine sources of heterogeneity in studies when sufficient data were available, including: ART status, timing of ART, study settings (resourcelimited country versus high-income country), inclusion of participants with abnormal mental status (for example, Glasgow coma score less than 15), one or more LP after diagnostic LP (lowering of intracranial pressure), dosing of amphotericin, and dosing of azole drug.

These analyses were limited due to the small number of included studies and general lack of heterogeneity in the above factors.

Sensitivity analysis

For studies with missing data or reporting of per-protocol results on mortality, we planned to perform sensitivity analyses imputing missing data under the best and worst cases (no missing participants experience the event or all missing participants experience the event, respectively), but did not perform these analyses as the amount of missing data was minimal in most included studies. We excluded studies with > 20% missing mortality outcome data within six months.

Presentation of results

We presented both a pairwise comparison analysis to show pooled effect estimates and certainty of the evidence for all the direct comparisons, and a NMA to demonstrate the relative ranking of each comparison. We reported pairwise estimates of treatment effects in tables (for example, RRs and 95% CI for mortality and culture clearance outcomes and MDs and 95% CI for rate of fungal clearance) from both pairwise meta-analyses and network metaanalyses along with a table of probability rankings based on SUCRA. We generated a 'Summary of findings' table with mortality outcomes by intervention group (absolute risk and risk ratio) along with the number of studies and participants assigned to intervention arm, certainty of the evidence (GRADE), and additional comments as indicated. We also presented a GRADE assessment using the CINeMA tool, which is based on modified approach for NMA (Salanti 2014; CINEMA 2017). We limited GRADE assessment to 10-week mortality for pairwise comparisons and the NMA. The modified GRADE criteria we used were as follows.

GRADE assessment

Study limitations

• Serious limitations: comparison dominated by evidence at unclear risk of bias. Downgraded by one level.

Imprecision

- Serious limitations: CI extends into clinically unimportant effects. Downgraded by one level.
- Very serious limitations: CI extends into clinically unimportant effects in both directions. Downgraded by two levels.

Inconsistency

• Serious limitations: predictive interval for treatment effect includes effects which change interpretation (neither intervention favoured). Downgraded by one level.

Indirectness

 Serious limitations: insufficient evidence for the plausibility of the transitivity assumption. Downgraded by one level. In the presence of serious or very serious limitations due to inconsistency, downgraded jointly with inconsistency.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

We identified 1086 records of potentially eligible studies from the electronic searches, and five records through additional sources. From these, 13 were included in the review. The study selection process is presented in Figure 1.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Figure 1. Study flow diagram.



Included studies

Setting

We identified 13 RCTs that met our inclusion criteria. Most (11 of 13) were from resource-limited settings in sub-Saharan Africa or Southeast Asia. One study was from North America (van der Horst 1997), and one small study was from the Netherlands and Australia (Leenders 1997). One study was conducted in the USA and Thailand, with 70% of participants recruited from Thailand (Pappas 2009). One study, conducted in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon, contributed to 10 pairwise comparisons and was the only study for nine of these comparisons (Molloy 2018).

Participants and study procedures

All studies were carried out in adults. Studies were similar with respect to key characteristics that are known to influence mortality. Early initiation of ART has been associated with higher mortality in HIV-positive patients with cryptococcal disease due to

immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (Boulware 2014b; Makadzange 2010). In all studies that specified timing of ART initiation, ART was delayed for a minimum of two weeks. No study excluded people with evidence of altered mental status, for example depressed Glasgow coma scale score, which is associated with worse outcomes (Jarvis 2014). In all but one study (Mayanja-Kizza 1998), patients were scheduled to receive at least one additional LP after diagnostic LP during induction, an intervention that reduces intracranial pressure and may improve survival (Bicanic 2009; Meda 2014; Rolfes 2014). Use of combined ART at the time of cryptococcal meningitis diagnosis was uncommon in most studies (< 10%); however, the three most recently conducted studies found 32% to 59% of patients with reported ART exposure at the time of enrolment. Loss to follow-up was uncommon in most studies, ranging from 0 to 16% (median 2%) of participants.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Interventions

All studies evaluating amphotericin B deoxycholate-based therapy (10 of 13 included studies) used the World Health Organization recommended doses of 0.7 to 1.0 mg/kg/day (WHO 2011). Dose of fluconazole ranged from 200 mg/day to 1200 mg/day. Flucytosine dose was 100 mg/kg/day in four divided doses in all studies that used this drug except one (Mayanja-Kizza 1998), which used 150 mg/kg/day in three divided doses. Details of the included studies are presented in the Characteristics of included studies tables.

Outcomes

Mortality

Twenty-one direct comparisons for mortality were made in 13 studies. All studies that reported two-week mortality also followed participants for a minimum of 10 weeks from enrolment. Four studies reported six-month mortality.

Early fungicidal activity

Nine included studies provided mean early fungicidal activity (EFA) values that compared 13 interventions.

DAIDS grade three/four laboratory toxicities

Eight of 13 studies reported laboratory toxicities according to the DAIDS classification system: eight reported anaemia; eight reported renal dysfunction; seven reported neutropenia; seven reported hypokalaemia; and seven reported transaminase elevation.

Excluded studies

After de-duplication reduced the number of studies to 943, we excluded 897 studies that were not RCTs and two studies that were completed without results published in a peer-reviewed journal. We excluded an additional 31 studies based on full-text review for reasons described in the Characteristics of excluded studies table, including four studies for significant baseline imbalances between treatment groups and two for > 20% loss to follow-up.

Risk of bias in included studies

Risk of bias for the 13 included studies is summarized in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

Figure 2. 'Risk of bias' graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.





Figure 3. 'Risk of bias' summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Allocation

All trials were randomized, but two studies did not state whether random sequence generation was used and were therefore assessed as at unclear risk of bias (van der Horst 1997; Mayanja-Kizza 1998). Similarly, five studies were at unclear bias for allocation concealment because the method of allocation concealment was not clearly stated (van der Horst 1997; Pappas 2009; Nussbaum 2010; Jackson 2012; Loyse 2012).

Blinding

Most studies did not blind participants, personnel, and outcome assessors and were at high risk of bias for both domains. Only one study was at low risk of bias for both domains (Beardsley 2016). We did not downgrade GRADE assessments for performance or detection bias for the other included studies, as we judged that measurement of the primary outcome (mortality) was unlikely to be biased due to lack of blinding.

Incomplete outcome data

All but one study was at low risk of attrition bias due to very low loss to follow-up. One included study was at high risk of bias due to 14% (8/58) loss to follow-up by six months (Mayanja-Kizza 1998).

Selective reporting

All but one study was at low risk of reporting bias and reported on all prespecified primary and secondary outcomes. One study was at unclear risk of reporting bias because primary and secondary outcomes were not clearly stated, and the authors reported results on multiple clinical and mycological outcomes (Leenders 1997).

Other potential sources of bias

Four studies had direct support from pharmaceutical manufacturers of study drugs, or authors received research support from manufacturers without the role of the drug companies clearly stated and were therefore assessed as at unclear risk of other potential sources of bias (Leenders 1997; van der Horst 1997; Mayanja-Kizza 1998; Pappas 2009).

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to one week of AmBd + FLU for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary

of findings 11 One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 12 One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; **Summary** of findings 13 One week of AmBd + FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 14 Two weeks of FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 15 Two weeks of L-AmB compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 16 Short-course L-AmB + FLU compared to two weeks of L-AmB + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 17 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 18 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 19 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU compared to one week of AmBd + FLU for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis; Summary of findings 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg compared to two weeks of AmBd + 5FC for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Pairwise comparisons

The results we present below for pairwise comparisons are derived from our analysis assuming comparison-specific heterogeneity in Review Manager 5. Our analyses in Stata assuming comparisonspecific and common heterogeneity produced similar results (results not shown).

One week of amphotericin B deoxycholate with flucytosine versus alternative regimens

One RCT conducted across nine centres in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon provided data for these comparisons (Molloy 2018). This study was designed as a non-inferiority trial comparing one-week amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmBd)-based regimens, two-week AmBd-based regimens, and an all-oral regimen of flucytosine (5FC) and fluconazole (FLU). Overall, 712 HIV-positive adults with first episode of cryptococcal meningitis were randomized 2:1:1:1:1 to (i) two weeks of 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day, (ii) two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day, (iii) two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day, (iv) one week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day followed on days 8 to 14 by FLU 1200 mg/day followed by FLU 1200 mg/day on days 8 to 14.

Mortality

At 10 weeks, participants in the group receiving one week of AmBd and 5FC followed by FLU on days 8 to 14 had lower mortality than participants randomized to other induction therapies. At 10 weeks, there was a 38% reduced risk of death (risk ratio (RR) 0.62, 95% CI 0.42 to 0.93; 228 participants, 1 study, Analysis 1.1, Summary of findings for the main comparison: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence) compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC; a 42% reduced risk of death (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.86; 227 participants, 1 study, Analysis 2.1, Summary of findings 2: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence) compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC; a 42% reduced risk of death (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.86; 227 participants, 1 study, Analysis 2.1, Summary of findings 2: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence) compared to two weeks of AmBd and FLU; a 51% reduced risk of death (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.72; 224 participants, 1 study, Analysis 3.1, Summary of findings 3: subgroup

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



2; moderate-certainty evidence) compared to one week of AmB and FLU followed by FLU on days 8 to 14; and a 32% reduced risk of death (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.99; 338 participants, 1 study, Analysis 4.1, Summary of findings 4: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence) compared to two weeks of 5FC and FLU.

All data were generated from a single trial with few overall events (< 200). No direct comparisons were made to findings from highincome settings, where mortality with two weeks of AmBd-based induction regimens is observed to be lower (Saag 1991; van der Horst 1997).

Early fungicidal activity

One week of AmBd and 5FC followed by FLU on days 8 to 14 did not result in significantly lower EFA compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (186 participants, 1 study, Analysis 1.2). The mean rate of fungal clearance was higher with one week of AmBd and 5FC followed by FLU on days 8 to 14 compared to two weeks of AmBd and FLU (mean difference (MD) -0.07 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.14 to 0.00; 192 participants, 1 study, Analysis 2.2); one week of AmBd and FLU followed by FLU on days 8 to 14 (MD -0.08 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.15 to -0.01; 179 participants, 1 study, Analysis 3.2); and two weeks of 5FC and FLU (MD -0.18 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.12; 280 participants, 1 study, Analysis 4.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

Compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC, a one-week regimen of AmBd and 5FC was associated with a lower risk of grade three or four anaemia (RR 0.31, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.60; 228 participants, 1 study, Analysis 1.3: subgroup 1). Compared to two weeks of AmBd and FLU, a one-week regimen of AmBd and 5FC was associated with a lower risk of grade three or four anaemia (RR 0.36, 95% CI 0.18 to 0.71; 227 participants, 1 study, Analysis 2.3: subgroup 1) and renal toxicity (RR 0.29, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.85; 227 participants, 1 study, Analysis 2.3: subgroup 2). Compared to one week of AmBd and FLU, a one-week regimen of AmBd and 5FC was associated with a lower risk of grade three or four anaemia (RR 0.41, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.82; 224 participants, 1 study, Analysis 3.3: subgroup 1). Compared to two weeks of 5FC and FLU, a one-week regimen of AmBd and 5FC was associated with a higher risk of grade three or four hypokalaemia (RR 5.97, 95% CI 1.65 to 21.63; 338 participants, 1 study, Analysis 4.3: subgroup 4), but there was no difference with respect to other toxicities.

Two weeks of oral flucytosine and fluconazole versus alternative regimens

One RCT from nine centres in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon compared two weeks of an all-oral regimen of 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day with several AmBd-based induction regimens (Molloy 2018). This phase three study was designed as a non-inferiority trial.

Mortality

At two weeks, there was no significant difference in mortality in participants randomized to two weeks of 5FC and FLU compared to participants randomized to two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day (340 participants, 1 study, Analysis 5.1: subgroup 1) or two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200

mg/day (339 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.1: subgroup 1). By 10 weeks, there was no difference in mortality in the 5FC and FLU group compared to the group receiving two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (340 participants, 1 study, Analysis 5.1, Summary of findings 5: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence) or the group receiving two weeks of AmBd and FLU (339 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.1, Summary of findings 6: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence).

Early fungicidal activity

The mean rate of fungal clearance was lower with two weeks of 5FC and FLU than with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (MD 0.23 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.29; 270 participants, 1 study, Analysis 5.2) as well as with two weeks of AmBd and FLU (MD 0.11 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.17; 276 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

The risk of DAIDS grade three or four anaemia (RR 0.17, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.32; 340 participants, 1 study, Analysis 5.3: subgroup 1) and hypokalaemia (RR 0.22, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.83; 340 participants, 1 study, Analysis 5.3: subgroup 4) was lower in the 5FC and FLU arm compared with the arm receiving two weeks of AmBd and 5FC. The risk of DAIDS anaemia (RR 0.20, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.39; 339 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.3: subgroup 1) and hypokalaemia (RR 0.17, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.61; 339 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.3: subgroup 4) was lower in the 5FC and FLU arm compared with the arm receiving two weeks of AmBd and FLU. The risk of renal toxicity (RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.85; 339 participants, 1 study, Analysis 6.3: subgroup 2) was also lower with 5FC and FLU compared to those receiving two weeks of AmBd and FLU.

Two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine versus two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate

Three studies compared induction with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC versus two or more weeks of AmBd alone. These included a small trial from Thailand (Brouwer 2004), a large trial from Vietnam (Day 2013), and a large trial from the USA (van der Horst 1997). The trial from the USA reported similar and very low mortality by two weeks in both groups (6%). After two weeks, investigators randomized participants who were clinically stable or improving to fluconazole or itraconazole consolidation. Due to risk of bias related to exclusion of participants who were not stable or improving, we did not evaluate outcomes beyond two weeks for this study. Amphotericin B deoxycholate alone was continued for four weeks in the study from Vietnam, but for two weeks in the other studies.

Mortality

At two weeks, there was a non-significant reduction in mortality in participants who received combination AmBd and 5FC compared to AmBd alone (612 participants, 3 studies, Analysis 7.1: subgroup 1; Figure 4) but a significant mortality reduction at 10 weeks (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.95; 231 participants, 2 studies, Analysis 7.1, Summary of findings 7: subgroup 2; Figure 4; moderate-certainty evidence). The study from Vietnam followed participants up to six months and found a persistent survival benefit with combined AmBd and 5FC (RR 0.64, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.88; 199 participants, 1 study, Analysis 7.1: subgroup 3).

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Figure 4. Forest plot of comparison: 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, outcome: 7.1 Mortality.

	AmBd +	+5FC	AmB	d		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG			
7.1.1 2 weeks											
Brouwer 2004	1	16	2	16	4.1%	0.50 [0.05, 4.98]	· · · ·				
Day 2013	15	100	25	99	64.8%	0.59 [0.33, 1.06]					
van der Horst 1997	10	179	11	202	31.1%	1.03 [0.45, 2.36]		?? ? 🗣 ? 🖶 ?			
Subtotal (95% CI)		295		317	100.0%	0.70 [0.44, 1.11]					
Total events	26		38								
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.21, df = 2 (P = 0.55); l² = 0%											
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.51 ((P = 0.1)	3)								
7.1.2 10 weeks											
Brouwer 2004	1	16	3	16	2.9%	0.33 [0.04, 2.87]	·				
Day 2013	30	100	44	99	97.1%	0.68 [0.47, 0.98]					
Subtotal (95% CI)		116		115	100.0%	0.66 [0.46, 0.95]	◆				
Total events	31		47								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 0.00; Chi	= 0.40), df = 1 (F	P = 0.52	2); I ² = 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z=2.21 ((P = 0.0	3)								
7.1.3 6 months											
Day 2013	34	100	53	aa	100.0%	0.64 (0.46, 0.88)					
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	100		99	100.0%	0.64 [0.46, 0.88]					
Total events	34		53				-				
Heterogeneity: Not as	oplicable										
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.70 (P = 0.0	07)								
			ŕ								
								+			
						1	U.1 U.Z U.5 1 Z 5 Favours AmBd+5EC Favours AmBd	10			
						r	avours Ambu- si o Travours Ambu				
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>											
(A) Random sequent	ce generat	tion (se	lection bi	as)							

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Early fungicidal activity

Pooled data showed an improved rate of fungal clearance with combined AmBd and 5FC compared to AmBd alone (MD -0.15 \log_{10}

CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.26 to -0.04; 225 participants, 2 studies, Analysis 7.2; Figure 5).

Figure 5. Forest plot of comparison: 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, outcome: 7.2 Early fungicidal activity.

AmBd +5FC		AmBd			Mean Difference		Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Brouwer 2004	-0.54	0.19	12	-0.31	0.18	14	32.7%	-0.23 [-0.37, -0.09]	e	
Day 2013	-0.42	0.1008	100	-0.31	0.1504	99	67.3%	-0.11 [-0.15, -0.07]		
Total (95% CI)			112			113	100.0%	-0.15 [-0.26, -0.04]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 2.55, df = 1 (P = 0.11); i ² = 61%										
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.65	5 (P = 0.0		Favours AmBd+5FC Favours AmBd						

DAIDS grade three/four events

In one study that evaluated DAIDS toxicity (Day 2013), neutropenia was more common with combined AmBd and 5FC than with AmBd alone (RR 4.46, 95% CI 0.99 to 20.10; 199 participants, 1 study, Analysis 7.3: subgroup 3), but the risk of other toxicities did not differ between groups. The study combined elevated transaminases as a safety outcome but did not report abnormal alanine aminotransferase individually. In the AmBd and 5FC group, 6/100 experienced transaminitis versus 11/99 in the AmBd group.

Two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and fluconazole versus two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate

Three studies compared two weeks of AmBd and FLU with at least two weeks of AmBd alone, including a small study from Thailand (Brouwer 2004), a large study from Vietnam (Day 2013), and a small study from the USA and Thailand (Pappas 2009). The study from Thailand used a low dose of FLU (400 mg/day) combined with AmBd, and the study from the USA and Thailand had one intervention with a low dose (400 mg/day) and one with a higher dose (800 mg/day) of FLU with AmBd. The study from Vietnam

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



administered FLU at 800 mg/day. Amphotericin B deoxycholate alone was continued for four weeks in the Day 2013 study, but for two weeks in the other studies.

Mortality

_

No significant difference in mortality was observed at two weeks (371 participants, 3 studies, Analysis 8.1: subgroup 1; Figure 6) or 10 weeks (371 participants, 3 studies, Analysis 8.1, Summary of

findings 8: subgroup 2; Figure 6; low-certainty evidence) followup. A single study found no significant improvement in survival with combined therapy compared to AmBd alone (198 participants, 1 study, Analysis 8.1: subgroup 3) with follow-up to six months (Day 2013). Considering only participants who received AmBd with high-dose FLU (the currently recommended dose of 800 mg/day or higher) versus AmBd alone, again no significant difference in mortality was observed at two weeks, 10 weeks, or six months.

Figure 6. Forest plot of comparison: 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, outcome: 8.1 Mortality.

	AmBd+	FLU	AmB	d		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
8.1.1 2 weeks								
Brouwer 2004	5	16	2	16	17.6%	2.50 [0.57, 11.05]		\rightarrow
Day 2013	20	99	25	99	66.3%	0.80 [0.48, 1.34]		
Pappas 2009	3	96	3	45	16.2%	0.47 [0.10, 2.23]	← - - -	• ? • • • • ?
Subtotal (95% CI)		211		160	100.0%	0.90 [0.45, 1.77]		
Total events	28		30					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.11; Chi	² = 2.64	, df = 2 (F	P = 0.27	7); I² = 249	6		
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.32 (P = 0.7	5)					
8.1.2 10 weeks								
Brouwer 2004	7	16	3	16	16.9%	2.33 [0.73, 7.45]		
Day 2013	33	99	44	99	56.7%	0.75 [0.53, 1.07]		
Pappas 2009	13	96	7	45	26.4%	0.87 [0.37, 2.03]		• ? • • • • ?
Subtotal (95% CI)		211		160	100.0%	0.94 [0.55, 1.62]		
Total events	53		54					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Chi	²= 3.39	l, df = 2 (F	P = 0.18	3); I² = 419	6		
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.21 (P = 0.8	4)					
8.1.3 6 months								
Day 2013	45	99	53	99	100.0%	0.85 [0.64, 1.13]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		99		99	100.0%	0.85 [0.64, 1.13]		
Total events	45		53					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.13 (P = 0.2	6)					
								<u> </u>
							Favours AmBd+FLU Favours AmBd	5
Risk of bias legend								

(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Early fungicidal activity

Based on pooled data from two studies, rate of fungal clearance did not differ between AmBd with FLU and AmBd arms (223 participants, 2 studies, Analysis 8.2; Figure 7).

Figure 7. Forest plot of comparison: 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, outcome: 8.2 Early fungicidal activity.

	An	nBd+FLU	1	AmBd				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Brouwer 2004	-0.39	0.15	11	-0.31	0.18	14	8.9%	-0.08 [-0.21, 0.05]	
Day 2013	-0.32	0.1003	99	-0.31	0.1504	99	91.1%	-0.01 [-0.05, 0.03]	
Total (95% CI)			110			113	100.0%	-0.02 [-0.06, 0.02]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect:	= 0.00; C : Z = 0.81	hi² = 1.04 (P = 0.4							

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

DAIDS grade three/four events

Based on one study (Day 2013), risk of anaemia was lower with combined AmBd and FLU compared to AmBd alone (RR 0.63, 95% CI 0.43 to 0.91; 198 participants, 1 study, Analysis 8.3). However, participants randomized to AmBd alone received a longer dose of the drug compared to the combination AmBd and FLU arm (four weeks versus two weeks), which is a plausible explanation for this finding. The risk of other toxicities did not differ between groups. The study reported elevated transaminases but did not report abnormal alanine aminotransferase individually. In the AmBd and FLU group, 14/99 experienced transaminitis versus 11/99 in the AmBd group.

Two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and flucytosine versus two weeks of amphotericin B deoxycholate and fluconazole

Four RCTs compared two weeks of AmBd and 5FC with two weeks of AmBd and FLU, including one small study from Thailand (Brouwer

2004), one large study from Vietnam (Day 2013), one small study from South Africa (Loyse 2012), and one large study from nine centres in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon (Molloy 2018). The South African study randomized participants to receive FLU 800 mg/day (22 participants), FLU 1200 mg/day (23 participants), or voriconazole 600 mg/day (13 participants) combined with AmBd, with azole therapies combined in the analysis. The Vietnam and multisite studies used FLU doses of 800 mg/day and 1200 mg/day, respectively. The Thailand study randomized some participants to low-dose FLU (400 mg/day).

Mortality

Two weeks of AmBd and 5FC had a non-significant survival benefit compared to two weeks of AmBd and FLU at two weeks (538 participants, 4 studies, Analysis 9.1: subgroup 1; Figure 8); at 10 weeks (538 participants, 4 studies, Analysis 9.1, Summary of findings 9: subgroup 2; Figure 8; low-certainty evidence); and at six months (199 participants, 1 study, Analysis 9.1: subgroup 3; Figure 8).





(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Early fungicidal activity

The pooled mean rate of fungal clearance was better with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC than with two weeks of AmBd and FLU (MD -0.09 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.14 to -0.05; 474 participants, 4 studies, Analysis 9.2; Figure 9).

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Figure 9. Forest plot of comparison: 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, outcome: 9.2 Early fungicidal activity.

	AmBd+5FC			AmBd+FLU				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Brouwer 2004	-0.54	0.19	12	-0.39	0.15	11	8.4%	-0.15 [-0.29, -0.01]		
Day 2013	-0.42	0.1	100	-0.32	0.1	99	50.8%	-0.10 [-0.13, -0.07]		
Loyse 2012	-0.41	0.11	19	-0.4	0.26	51	18.0%	-0.01 [-0.10, 0.08]		
Molloy 2018	-0.49	0.26	88	-0.37	0.24	94	22.8%	-0.12 [-0.19, -0.05]		
Total (95% CI)			219			255	100.0%	-0.09 [-0.14, -0.05]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect	= 0.00; C : Z = 4.19	hi² = 4 } (P ≺ (.84, df=).0001)	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 Favours AmBd+5FC Favours AmBd+FLU						

DAIDS grade three/four events

Based on combined data from three studies, we found no difference in DAIDS grade three or four laboratory events (507 participants, 3 studies, Analysis 9.3).

Adjunctive steroid therapy

One large study, a double-blinded RCT from Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Laos, Uganda, and Malawi, randomized 451 participants 1:1 to receive two weeks of AmBd and FLU with adjunctive dexamethasone or two weeks of AmBd and FLU only (Beardsley 2016).

The investigators planned enrolment of 880 participants. However, interim analysis from the data and safety monitoring board recommended study termination due to findings of harm associated with dexamethasone use across key outcomes, including rate of fungal clearance, risk of adverse events, and patient disability.

Mortality

Investigators found non-significant increases in mortality in the dexamethasone arm by 10 weeks (450 participants, 1 study, Analysis 10.1, Summary of findings 10: subgroup 2; high-certainty evidence) and by six months (450 participants, 1 study, Analysis 10.1: subgroup 3).

Early fungicidal activity

The mean rate of fungal clearance was lower in the dexamethasone arm than in the placebo arm (MD 0.10 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.14; 450 participants, 1 study, Analysis 10.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

The risk of grade three or four renal dysfunction was higher in the dexamethasone arm than in the placebo arm (RR 1.59, 95% CI 1.18 to 2.16; 450 participants, 1 study, Analysis 10.3: subgroup 2), and the risk of hypokalaemia was lower in the dexamethasone arm (RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.98; 450 participants, 1 study, Analysis 10.3: subgroup 4).

One week of amphotericin B deoxycholate and fluconazole versus alternative regimens

A large study from nine centres in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon compared one week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day followed by FLU 1200 mg/day on days 8 to 14 to three additional interventions (Molloy 2018): (i) two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/ kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day; (ii) two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/ day and FLU 1200 mg/day; and (iii) two weeks of 5FC 100 mg/kg/ day and FLU 1200 mg/day.

Mortality

One week of AmBd and FLU was associated with a non-significant increase in mortality at two and 10 weeks compared to two weeks of AmBd and FLU (225 participants, 1 study, Analysis 11.1, Summary of findings 11: subgroup 1 and 2; moderate-certainty evidence); mortality was significantly increased compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC at two weeks (RR 1.55, 95% Cl 1.00 to 2.43; 226 participants, 1 study; Analysis 12.1: subgroup 1) but not at 10 weeks (226 participants, 1 study, Analysis 12.1, Summary of findings 12: subgroup 2; moderate-certainty evidence). One week of AmBd and FLU was also associated with a significant increase in mortality at two and 10 weeks compared to two weeks of 5FC and FLU (two weeks: RR 1.78, 95% Cl 1.21 to 2.62; 10 weeks: RR 1.39, 95% Cl 1.07 to 1.80; 336 participants, 1 study, Analysis 13.1, Summary of findings 13: subgroups 1 and 2; moderate-certainty evidence).

Early fungicidal activity

There was no difference in rate of fungal clearance comparing one week of AmBd and FLU to two weeks of AmBd and FLU (175 participants, 1 study, Analysis 11.2). One week of AmBd and FLU was associated with lower mean fungal clearance rate compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (MD 0.13 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.20; 169 participants, 1 study, Analysis 12.2) and a higher mean fungal clearance compared to two weeks of 5FC and FLU (MD -0.10 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.16 to -0.04; 263 participants, 1 study, Analysis 13.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

One week of AmBd and FLU was associated with no difference in grade three or four toxicities compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (225 participants, 1 study, Analysis 11.3) or AmBd and FLU (226 participants, 1 study, Analysis 12.3). The risk of anaemia was higher with one week of AmBd and FLU compared to two weeks of 5FC and FLU (RR 4.42, 95% CI 2.25 to 8.70; 336 participants, 1 study, Analysis 13.3: subgroup 1), but no difference was found for other laboratory adverse events.

Fluconazole monotherapy

Two small trials compared two weeks of 5FC and FLU with FLU monotherapy (Mayanja-Kizza 1998; Nussbaum 2010). One small study at a single centre in Malawi compared two weeks of 5FC 100 mg/kg/day in four divided doses and FLU 1200 mg/day to two weeks of FLU 1200 mg/day (Nussbaum 2010). A second study from a

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

single centre in Uganda compared two weeks of 5FC 150 mg/kg/day in three divided doses and FLU 200 mg/day for two months with FLU 200 mg/day for two months, a suboptimal FLU dose (Mayanja-Kizza 1998; Bicanic 2007; Longley 2008). A high proportion of participants were lost to follow-up in the Uganda study (8/58) by 10 weeks.

Mortality

Mortality was higher with FLU monotherapy compared to combination 5FC and FLU at two weeks (RR 3.04, 95% Cl 1.31 to 7.06; 98 participants, 2 studies, Analysis 14.1: subgroup 1) and 10 weeks (RR 1.46, 95% Cl 0.96 to 2.23; 98 participants, 2 studies, Analysis 14.1, Summary of findings 14: subgroup 2; very low-certainty evidence). Six-month mortality estimates were not available, as follow-up did not extend beyond 10 weeks.

Early fungicidal activity

One study measured EFA (Nussbaum 2010), and showed a significantly lower mean rate of fungal clearance with FLU monotherapy compared to combination FLU and 5FC (MD 0.17 \log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.26; 37 participants, 1 study, Analysis 14.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

One small study found no significant difference in grade three or four events between groups (40 participants, 1 study, Analysis 14.3) (Nussbaum 2010).

Liposomal amphotericin B regimens

Two studies evaluated induction therapy with liposomal AmB (L-AmB) (Leenders 1997; Jarvis 2018). One small study from the Netherlands and Australia randomized 28 participants to three weeks of L-AmB 4 mg/kg/day or three weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/ day followed by FLU 400 mg/day consolidation (Leenders 1997).

One recently completed trial in Botswana and Tanzania randomized participants to two weeks of L-AmB 3 mg/kg/day or one of three short courses of high-dose L-AmB, a single dose of L-AmB 10 mg/kg on day one, L-AmB 10 mg/kg on day one and 5 mg/kg on day three, or L-AmB 10 mg/kg on day one and 5 mg/kg on days three and seven (Jarvis 2018). All participants received FLU 1200 mg/day for two weeks followed by 800 mg/day consolidation. This phase two study was powered to detect a non-inferior EFA with short course versus two weeks of L-AmB and was discontinued early when interim analysis found it had reached the prespecified non-inferiority margin.

Mortality

No deaths were reported within two weeks in the study comparing L-AmB to AmBd (Leenders 1997). Mortality up to 10 weeks and at six months was low in both arms, with no significant difference between groups (28 participants, 1 study, Analysis 15.1, Summary of findings 15: subgroups 2 and 3; very low-certainty evidence).

In the study comparing two weeks of L-AmB and FLU with shortcourse L-AmB with FLU, mortality up to two weeks and 10 weeks (79 participants, 1 study, Analysis 16.1, Summary of findings 16: subgroups 1 and 2; low-certainty evidence) did not differ between groups. A larger phase three study is underway that will compare a single 10 mg/kg dose of L-AmB and two weeks of 5FC 100 mg/kg/ day and FLU 1200 mg/day with one week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day followed by FLU 1200 mg/day on days 8 to 14.

Early fungicidal activity

The Leenders 1997 study did not compare EFA between treatment regimens. A faster mean rate of fungal clearance was observed in participants who received short-course L-AmB with FLU compared to those who received two weeks of L-AmB with FLU in the Jarvis 2018 study (MD -0.10 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.21 to 0.01; 67 participants, 1 study, Analysis 16.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

The Leenders 1997 study did not report DAIDS grade three or four laboratory events. The risk of hypokalaemia was lower in participants who received short-course L-AmB and FLU compared to those who received two weeks of L-AmB and FLU in the Jarvis 2018 study (RR 0.07, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.58; 79 participants, 1 study, Analysis 16.3: subgroup 4).

Amphotericin B deoxycholate with flucytosine and fluconazole

One small study conducted at a single centre in Thailand compared a three-drug induction regimen of two weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/ kg/day, 5FC 100 mg/kg/day, and FLU 400 mg/kg/day with: (i) two weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day, (ii) two weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and FLU 400 mg/day, and (iii) two weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day (Brouwer 2004).

One small study conducted at a single centre in Malawi compared a three-drug induction regimen of one week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day with two weeks of 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/day, and one week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day with two weeks of FLU 1200 mg/ day (Jackson 2012). Both regimens were followed by FLU 800 mg/ day consolidation.

Mortality

In the Brouwer 2004 study, mortality was very low at two and 10 weeks in all arms with no significant difference in survival observed between two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC (31 participants, 1 study, Analysis 17.1, Summary of findings 17: subgroups 1 and 2; low-certainty evidence), two weeks of AmBd with FLU (32 participants, 1 study, Analysis 18.1, Summary of findings 18: subgroups 1 and 2; very low-certainty evidence), and two weeks of AmBd alone (32 participants, 1 study, 1 study, Analysis 19.1, Summary of findings 19: subgroups 1 and 2; very low-certainty evidence).

In the Jackson 2012 study, no difference in mortality was observed between groups within two weeks or 10 weeks (40 participants, 1 study, Analysis 20.1, Summary of findings 20: subgroups 1 and 2; low-certainty evidence).

Early fungicidal activity

In the Brouwer 2004 study, mean rate of fungal clearance was lower in the AmBd and 5FC and FLU group compared to the AmBd and 5FC group (MD 0.16 log₁₀ CFU/mL/day, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.29; 27 participants, 1 study, Analysis 17.2). No difference was observed in mean EFA between the AmBd and 5FC and FLU group and either the AmBd with FLU (26 participants, 1 study, Analysis 18.2) or AmBd alone group (29 participants, 1 study, Analysis 19.2).

In the Jackson 2012 study, mean rate of fungal clearance was greater in the one week of AmBd and 5FC and FLU group compared to the one week of AmBd and FLU group (MD -0.12 log₁₀ CFU/mL/ day, 95% CI -0.23 to -0.01; 37 participants, 1 study, Analysis 20.2).

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)


DAIDS grade three/four events

The Brouwer 2004 study did not report DAIDS grade three or four events. The risk of events did not differ between the one week of AmBd and 5FC and FLU group and the one week of AmBd and FLU group in the Jackson 2012 study (40 participants, 1 study, Analysis 20.3).

Adjunctive interferon-gamma therapy

One small study from South Africa evaluated adjunctive interferon gamma 1b (IFNg) with two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day compared to two weeks of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day without IFNg (Jarvis 2012). The study included two IFNg groups: one group received IFNg 100 μ g subcutaneously on days 1 and 3, and one group received IFNg 100 μ g subcutaneously on days 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, and 12. The study was powered to evaluate improvement in EFA associated with adjunctive IFNg rather than mortality benefit with IFNg.

Mortality

No difference was observed in mortality between groups at two and 10 weeks (88 participants, 1 study, Analysis 21.1, Summary of findings 21: subgroups 1 and 2; low-certainty evidence).

Early fungicidal activity

The mean EFA was greater for participants in the adjunctive IFNg groups (MD -0.15 log_{10} CFU/mL/day, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.06; 88 participants, 1 study, Analysis 21.2).

DAIDS grade three/four events

No difference was observed between groups in DAIDS grade three or four events (88 participants, 1 study, Analysis 21.3).

GRADE assessment for pairwise comparisons

We conducted GRADE assessment for 10-week mortality for all comparisons.

Risk of bias

We downgraded few comparisons for risk of bias. We downgraded one comparison (two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC and FLU) due to high loss to follow-up in one of two studies included in the comparison. We also downgraded a second comparison (two weeks of AmBd versus two weeks of L-AmB) for risk of bias. The single study for this comparison was sponsored by a study drug manufacturer, with the role of the sponsor not stated. Additionally, mortality was not stated as a primary outcome of interest.

Imprecision

We downgraded all but one comparison for imprecision due to insufficient participants and events (fewer than 200 observed deaths by 10 weeks).

Inconsistency

Due to the limited number of studies for most comparisons, there was limited assessment of heterogeneity. Of those comparisons for which heterogeneity could be assessed, no studies demonstrated statistical heterogeneity.

Indirectness

We downgraded six comparisons for using lower doses of fluconazole than recommended in current treatment guidelines (two weeks of AmBd and FLU versus two weeks of AmBd; two weeks of AmBd and 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd and FLU; two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC and FLU; two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU versus two weeks of AmBd and 5FC; two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU versus two weeks of AmBd and FLU; and two weeks of AmBd and 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd).

Publication bias

We did not detect publication bias.

Ten-week mortality network meta-analysis

Overview

A network plot for 10-week mortality is shown in Figure 10. The nodes (circles) represent the treatment combinations, while the edges (lines) represent direct comparisons between treatment combinations. The size of the node is proportional to the number of participants randomized to the treatment combination, and the width of the edge is proportional to the number of trials comparing the treatment combinations. We excluded a pair of treatment combinations (two weeks of L-AmB with FLU and short-course L-AmB with FLU from Jarvis 2018) from the network meta-analyses, as these interventions were unconnected to the rest of the network.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.







Ten-week mortality was reported for 12 treatment combinations in 11 trials involving 1961 participants (Leenders 1997; Mayanja-Kizza 1998; Brouwer 2004; Pappas 2009; Nussbaum 2010; Jackson 2012; Jarvis 2012; Loyse 2012; Day 2013; Beardsley 2016; Molloy 2018). Trials assessed 20 direct comparisons with 16 comparisons (80%) based on one trial (Molloy 2018). The most common comparators in the network were two weeks of AmBd with FLU and AmBd with 5FC, with both examined in six trials (55%). Eight trials (73%) were two-arm studies, one (9%) was a three-arm trial, one (9%) was a four-arm trial, and one (9%) was a five-arm trial. Due to the limited number of studies and participants contributing to each node, the results of the NMA and associated SUCRA rankings should be interpreted with caution.

Relative effects of treatment combinations derived from network meta-analysis

The league table shows the relative effects of each treatment combination derived from NMA (Figure 11). Treatment effects derived from NMA were in general similar to those derived from pairwise meta-analysis.



Figure 11. Ten-week mortality treatment effect estimates. Values in the table are risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals. Bolded values represent statistically significant effects. The bottom half of the table represents network meta-analysis-derived treatment effects, while the top half represents results from direct comparisons alone. For network meta-analysis-derived effects, RR < 1 favours the treatment combination in the column. For effects derived from pairwise meta-analysis, RR < 1 favours the treatment combination in the row. Treatment combinations are ordered by surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) rankings.

shortAmBd+5FC		0.68 (0.47,0.99)			0.625 (0.42,0.93)	0.59 (0.39,0.86)			0.49 (0.34,0.72)		
0.94 (0.29,3.06)	AmBd+FLU+5FC				2.78 (0.33,25)	0.43 (0.13,1.37)					1.00 (0.24,4.17)
0.68 (0.47,0.99)	0.73 (0.23,2.31)	FLU+5FC			0.92 (0.68, 1.23)	0.85 (0.64, 1.12)		0.70 (0.46, 1.06)	0.72 (0.56,0.93)		
1.05 (0.10,10.75)	1.12 (0.09,14.42)	1.54 (0.15,15.54)	L-AmB								0.43 (0.04,4.17)
0.68 (0.32,1.45)	0.73 (0.20,2.71)	1.00 (0.50,2.02)	0.65 (0.06,7.11)	AmBd+5FC+IFNg	0.93 (0.48, 1.75)						
0.63 (0.43,0.93)	0.67 (0.21,2.11)	0.92 (0.71,1.21)	0.60 (0.06,6.01)	0.92 (0.48,1.77)	AmBd+5FC				0.91 (0.69, 1.20)		0.66 (0.46,0.95)
0.56 (0.38,0.82)	0.60 (0.19,1.85)	0.83 (0.63,1.07)	0.54 (0.05,5.35)	0.82 (0.41,1.64)	0.89 (0.70,1.13)	AmBd+FLU	1.17 (0.48,2.86)		0.85 (0.64, 1.15)	0.87 (0.70,1.08)	0.94 (0.55, 1.61)
0.57 (0.22,1.52)	0.61 (0.14,2.65)	0.84 (0.33,2.14)	0.55 (0.05,6.53)	0.84 (0.27,2.63)	0.91 (0.36,2.33)	1.02 (0.40, 2.61)	shortAmBd+FLU+5FC				
0.48 (0.27,0.84)	0.51 (0.15, 1.74)	0.70 (0.46,1.07)	0.45 (0.04,4.76)	0.70 (0.31,1.59)	0.76 (0.46, 1.25)	0.85 (0.52, 1.40)	0.83 (0.30,2.32)	FLU			
0.49 (0.34,0.72)	0.53 (0.17,1.67)	0.72 (0.56,0.94)	0.47 (0.05,4.73)	0.72 (0.36,1.46)	0.78 (0.59, 1.03)	0.87 (0.67, 1.15)	0.86 (0.35, 2.10)	1.03 (0.63, 1.70)	shortAmBd+FLU		
0.49 (0.32,0.75)	0.52 (0.17,1.64)	0.72 (0.51,1.00)	0.47 (0.05,4.69)	0.72 (0.35,1.47)	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)	0.87 (0.71,1.07)	0.85 (0.33, 2.23)	1.03 (0.60, 1.76)	0.99 (0.71, 1.40)	AmBd+FLU+St	
0.45 (0.29,0.72)	0.49 (0.15,1.53)	0.67 (0.46,0.96)	0.43 (0.04,4.25)	0.67 (0.33,1.37)	0.72 (0.53,0.98)	0.81 (0.61,1.08)	0.79 (0.30,2.09)	0.95 (0.55, 1.67)	0.92 (0.64, 1.34)	0.93 (0.65, 1.33)	AmBd

Most treatment effects had low precision for the 10-week mortality estimates. Using two weeks of AmBd and FLU as reference, the RR (95% CI) of 10-week mortality of each treatment combination in order of SUCRA ranking is: one week of AmBd and 5FC 0.56 (0.38 to 0.82), two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU 0.60 (0.19 to 1.85), two weeks of 5FC and FLU 0.83 (0.63 to 1.07), two weeks of L-AmB 0.54

(0.05 to 5.35), two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and IFNg 0.82 (0.41 to 1.64), two weeks of AmBd and 5FC 0.89 (0.70 to 1.13), one week of AmBd and 5FC and FLU 0.98 (0.38 to 2.50), FLU 1.18 (0.72 to 1.94), one week of AmBd and FLU 1.14 (0.87 to 1.50), AmBd and FLU and steroids 1.15 (0.93 to 1.42), and AmBd 1.24 (0.92 to 1.65) (Figure 12).

Figure 12. Ten-week mortality risk for each treatment combination compared to reference of two weeks of AmBd and FLU.



Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



SUCRA rankings

The top three ranked treatment combinations (with SUCRA values) at 10 weeks were one week of AmBd and 5FC (88%), two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU (74%), and two weeks of 5FC and FLU (67%), while the bottom three ranked treatment combinations were one week of AmBd and two weeks of FLU (26%), two weeks of AmBd

and FLU and steroids (26%), and two weeks of AmBd (19%) (Figure 13). Of the top ranked treatment combinations, both one week of AmBd and 5FC and two weeks of 5FC and FLU show significant and clinically meaningful reductions in 10-week mortality risk compared to the bottom ranked treatment combinations. The cumulative ranking probabilities for all treatment combinations are presented in SUCRA plots below.

Figure 13. Cumulative ranking probabilities for each treatment combination for 10-week mortality. The SUCRA value represents the surface underneath the cumulative ranking curve and is the probabilities for each treatment combination to be among the n-best options. The larger the SUCRA value, the higher the ranking probability for the treatment combination in the network. The SUCRA values for each treatment combination are as follows: AmBd (19%), AmBd + 5FC (59%), AmBd + 5FC + IFNg (59%), AmBd + FLU (45%), AmBd + FLU + 5FC (74%), AmBd + FLU + St (26%), FLU (27%), FLU + 5FC (67%), L-Amb (67%), shortAmBd + 5FC (88%), shortAmBd + FLU (26%), shortAmBd + FLU + 5FC (45%).



Although the AmBd and 5FC and FLU node ranked highly in the NMA, the direct evidence for this node consisted of a single study that included only 16 participants in one arm of one study, Brouwer 2004, with low mortality in all treatment groups and no significant difference in 10-week mortality by direct, pairwise comparisons. This result should be interpreted with caution due to the very high likelihood of bias. Similarly, the L-AmB node included 15 participants from one study in a high-income setting, Leenders 1997, where mortality was low in both the three weeks of L-AmB and three weeks of AmBd arms with no significant difference in mortality between arms within 10 weeks in the direct comparison.

Evaluation of transitivity and inconsistency

We did not find evidence that the assumption of transitivity was violated. The distribution of prespecified potential effect modifiers, including ART status, timing of ART, inclusion of participants with abnormal mental status, therapeutic lumbar puncture, dosing of AmBd, and dosing of FLU, were generally similar across comparisons. We examined study setting as a potential source of intransitivity in a subgroup analysis excluding studies conducted in high-income countries for 10-week mortality. The findings were similar to the primary analyses. However, our ability to thoroughly examine transitivity was limited by the small number of studies

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



included per comparison, and therefore we cannot be entirely confident that the assumption of transitivity is plausible in our networks.

We found no evidence of inconsistency for any outcome using the design-by-treatment interaction modelling, node-splitting, and loop-specific approaches. However, due to the limited amount of data available we are not able to draw firm conclusions regarding the presence of inconsistency in our networks.

Modified GRADE for network meta-analysis

The certainty of the evidence for all comparisons using modified GRADE for NMA was low or very low. Assessment for key comparisons is shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14.	Modified GRADE	for network meta-analysis
------------	----------------	---------------------------

						Inconsi	stency				
Intervention A	Intervention B	Nature of evidence	Number of Studies	Risk of bias	Imprecision	Heterogeneity	Incoherence	Indirectness	Other considerations	Confidence rating	Relative effect (A vs B)
AmBd + FLU	AmBd + 5FC	Mixed	4	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.12 (0.89 to 1.42)
AmBd + FLU	AmBd	Mixed	3	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 0.81 (0.61 to 1.08)
AmBd + FLU	short AmBd + FLU	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 0.87 (0.67 to 1.15)
AmBd + 5FC	AmBd	Mixed	2	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 0.72 (0.53 to 0.98)
AmBd + 5FC	short AmBd + FLU	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 0.78 (0.59 to 1.03)
AmBd + FLU	FLU + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.21 (0.93 to 1.58)
AmBd + 5FC	FLU + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.08 (0.83 to 1.41)
short AmBd + FLU	FLU + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.39 (1.07 to 1.80)
FLU + SFC	FLU	Mixed	2	Serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Very low	RR 0.70 (0.46 to 1.07)
FLU + 5FC	short AmBd + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.47 (1.01 to 2.14)
AmBd + FLU	short AmBd + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.78 (1.22 to 2.61)
AmBd + 5FC	short AmBd + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.59 (1.08 to 2.34)
short AmBd + FLU	short AmBd + 5FC	Mixed	1	No serious	No serious	Very Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 2.04 (1.39 to 2.98)
AmBd + FLU	FLU	Indirect		No serious	Very Serious	No serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Very low	RR 0.85 (0.52 to 1.40)
AmBd + 5FC	FLU	Indirect	<u></u>	No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 0.76 (0.46 to 1.25)
AmBd	short AmBd + FLU	Indirect		No serious	Very Serious	No serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Very low	RR 1.08 (0.75 to 1.57)
AmBd	FLU + 5FC	Indirect		No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 1.50 (1.04 to 2.16)
AmBd	FLU	Indirect		No serious	Very Serious	No serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Very low	RR 1.05 (0.60 to 1.83)
AmBd	short AmBd + 5FC	Indirect	77	No serious	No serious	Very Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 2.20 (1.39 to 3.48)
short AmBd + FLU	FLU	Indirect		No serious	Very Serious	No serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Very low	RR 0.97 (0.59 to 1.59)
FLU	short AmBd + 5FC	Indirect		No serious	Serious	Serious	No serious	Serious	No serious	Low	RR 2.10 (1.19 to 3.69)

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

This review included 13 studies of 2426 participants comparing 21 interventions used for induction therapy for first episode of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. Based on moderate-certainty evidence from one study, mortality within 10 weeks was lower with shortened one week of AmBd and 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC, which was previously considered the gold standard, as well as compared to oral 5FC and FLU. Based on moderate-certainty evidence, no difference in mortality was observed with two weeks of oral 5FC and FLU compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC. It is unclear if the addition of FLU to AmBd improves mortality given the low certainty of the evidence contributing to this comparison. Few studies provided data on FLU monotherapy. Low-certainty evidence suggests that FLU monotherapy may be inferior to the combination of 5FC and FLU, and there were no direct comparisons with AmBd-based regimens.

Results from the 10-week NMA complemented results from the pairwise meta-analyses. Pairwise meta-analyses alone allowed us to examine 21 treatment combination comparisons for 10-week mortality, and with NMA we were able to estimate the relative effects for an additional 46 comparisons. The NMA supports the role of a short-course treatment combination of one week of AmBd and 5FC as an initial option in resource-limited settings due to its high ranking in the network for mortality outcomes in the context of its statistically and clinically significant effects compared to some other combinations. All-oral induction therapy with 5FC and FLU also performed well in the NMA. Two weeks of AmBd and 5FC and FLU performed well in the 10-week NMA. However, this treatment arm included only 16 participants from a single study in which mortality was very low in all four treatment arms. The SUCRA ranking for this treatment is highly likely to be biased and should be interpreted cautiously.

With respect to early fungicidal activity, we found no difference in the rate of CSF fungal clearance with short-course AmBd and 5FC compared to two weeks of AmBd and 5FC. Our findings support improved fungal clearance of regimens with 5FC in addition to AmBd in comparison to induction regimens containing AmBd with FLU or AmBd alone. Amphotericin B deoxycholate-based regimens had greater fungal clearance than all-oral induction therapy with 5FC and FLU.

With respect to DAIDS grade three or four toxicities, traditional two-week AmBd-based therapy was associated with higher occurrence of AmBd-related toxicities compared to short-course therapy. All-oral 5FC and FLU therapy was associated with less toxicity (including anaemia, hypokalaemia, and renal dysfunction) compared to several AmBd-containing regimens.

Regarding emerging adjunctive therapies, there is strong evidence that adjunctive steroid therapy is harmful and should not be used in clinical practice. One study found that adjunctive IFNg used in combination with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC resulted in a faster rate of CSF fungal clearance compared to AmBd and 5FC alone, but this study was not adequately powered to assess differences in mortality associated with the use of adjunctive IFNg.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The evidence provided in this review offers important and timely insights into the best management to reduce mortality from HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis in resource-limited settings where the large majority of disease occurs (Rajasingham 2017). In resource-limited settings, moderate-certainty evidence from one study supports the use of one week of AmBd with 5FC followed by high-dose fluconazole, with improved mortality up to 10 weeks, similar CSF fungal clearance, and reduced treatment toxicity (Molloy 2018). These findings are consistent with non-RCT data from animal studies demonstrating that near maximal antifungal

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



activity with AmBd therapy can be achieved within three days (Livermore 2014). The findings in this review are widely applicable to the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in resource-limited settings.

This review has several important limitations, as follows.

First, 11 out of 13 studies were conducted in resource-limited settings, and therefore our findings provide limited guidance for management of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in high-income settings where mortality is significantly lower with traditional AmB-based induction therapy (Saag 1991; van der Horst 1997). No short-course (less than two weeks of AmB) or all-oral (5FC and FLU) regimen was evaluated in high-income country settings, although there is no reason to believe that these regimens would be inferior in these settings. Furthermore, in high-income settings more expensive but less toxic liposomal AmB is commonly used instead of AmBd, and frequency of and ability to manage treatment-related toxicities differs between high-income and resource-limited settings.

Second, we did not find any RCTs on treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in children, for whom therapy is guided by RCT evidence in adult populations. Nevertheless, there is no reason to consider that these regimens would be inferior for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in children.

Third, relatively few studies overall met the inclusion criteria for this review, which was a limitation for both pairwise meta-analysis and NMA. Importantly, we excluded observational studies as well as studies with high loss to follow-up (> 20%) or significant baseline imbalances between comparator groups. We also excluded trials with any non-HIV-related cases of cryptococcal meningitis or retreatment cases, as these cases may be clinically distinct from HIV-associated disease with respect to patient comorbidities, *Cryptococcus spp.* drug resistance, and disease severity, among other factors. Few deaths were observed per treatment arm, and the certainty of evidence for most pairwise comparisons was downgraded for imprecision due to few events (< 200).

Fourth, our review provides limited insight into emerging adjunctive therapies being evaluated for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. Interferon gamma 1b was associated with improved early fungicidal activity with AmBd and 5FC in one phase two RCT, but this study was underpowered to compare differences in mortality up to 10 weeks (Jarvis 2012).

Fifth, the SUCRA rankings should be interpreted cautiously, especially when they are not accompanied by statistically or clinically meaningful effects. Due to the imprecision of treatment effects on mortality throughout the network, the rankings presented should not be considered definitive.

Finally, although commonly used in resource-limited settings due to low cost and oral administration, oral FLU was compared with other regimens in few included studies. However, observational studies and data from RCTs not meeting the inclusion criteria for this review have consistently found very high mortality associated with the use of FLU monotherapy (Makadzange 2010; Rothe 2013).

Certainty of the evidence

For pairwise comparisons, most comparisons had moderate- or low-certainty evidence. We downgraded the evidence for all but

one comparison for imprecision due to few participants and events within 10 weeks (< 200). We also downgraded the evidence for several comparisons with therapies containing FLU for indirectness due to evaluation of regimens containing doses of FLU lower than currently recommended (< 800 mg/day).

For the NMA, the certainty of the evidence was low or very low, due primarily to imprecision, heterogeneity (inconsistency), and indirectness of comparisons.

Potential biases in the review process

We did not identify any major biases in the review process. One author of this Cochrane Review (JNJ) was a principal investigator or co-investigator in several trials, but did not participate in data extraction or interpretation of results for these studies. We further limited bias during the review process by conducting an extensive search using a wide range of search terms and databases. Two review authors reviewed the search outputs, evaluated eligibility, and extracted data independently. Changes made to the study protocol after publication and after the review process began are detailed in the Differences between protocol and review section.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

Several influential studies in this review were recently completed and not included in previous reviews of best treatment for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis (Beardsley 2016; Jarvis 2018; Molloy 2018). A previous NMA did not find evidence for mortality benefit with two weeks of AmBd and 5FC compared to AmBd alone (Campbell 2015). This review had important methodological differences, such as inclusion of both RCTs and observational studies and inclusion of studies with re-treatment cases.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

This review has important implications for clinical practice in resource-limited settings. We found reduced 10-week mortality with shortened amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmBd) and flucytosine (5FC) induction therapy compared to the current gold standard of two weeks of AmBd and 5FC, based on moderate-certainty evidence. Moderate-certainty evidence also indicated that an all-oral regimen of two weeks of 5FC and fluconazole may be a good alternative to two weeks of AmBd-based therapy, with no difference in mortality observed between arms. This all-oral regimen may be a good treatment option in settings where intravenous therapy cannot be safely administered or AmBd is not available. There is a need to expand access to 5FC in resource-limited settings where HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis is most common.

Implications for research

Our review highlights that limited RCT evidence exists comparing treatment regimens for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. There is a lack of data on short-course regimens for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in high-income settings, where the lower mortality and low burden of disease compared to resource-limited settings, as well as the positive findings from low-income settings, will likely preclude such studies. Emerging evidence, including from ongoing phase three studies, will provide

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

further evidence on the efficacy of adjunctive therapies as well as short-course liposomal amphotericin regimens. Finally, there is very limited evidence supporting treatment choice for children, and as trials are unlikely, results can be extrapolated from adults, albeit downgraded to a lower certainty of evidence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Academic Editor of this review update was Professor George Rutherford.

We thank Tihana Bicanic, Anna Chaimani, Paul Garner, and Angela Loyse for providing valuable feedback on the protocol; Vittoria Lutje and Joy Oliver for their assistance with development of the review search; and Anne-Marie Stephani and Deirdre Walshe with assistance as former and current Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group (CIDG) Managing Editors.

Ingrid Eshun-Wilson is supported by the Effective Health Care Research Consortium. This Consortium and the CIDG editorial base is funded by UK aid from the UK Government for the benefit of lowand middle-income countries (Grant: 5242). The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect UK government policy.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Beardsley 2016 {published data only}

Beardsley J, Wolbers M, Kibengo FM, Ggayi AB, Kamali A, Cuc NT, et al. Adjunctive dexamethasone in HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2016;**374**(6):542-54.

Brouwer 2004 {published data only}

Brouwer AE, Rajanuwong A, Chierakul W, Griffin GE, Larsen RA, White NJ, et al. Combination antifungal therapies for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004;**363**(9423):1764-7.

Day 2013 {published data only}

Day JN, Chau TT, Wolbers M, Mai PP, Dung NT, Mai NH, et al. Combination antifungal therapy for cryptococcal meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2013;**368**(14):1291-302.

Jackson 2012 {published data only}

Jackson AT, Nussbaum JC, Phulusa J, Namarika D, Chikasema M, Kanyemba C, et al. A phase II randomized controlled trial adding oral flucytosine to high-dose fluconazole, with short-course amphotericin B, for cryptococcal meningitis. *AIDS* 2012;**26**(11):1363-70.

Jarvis 2012 {published data only}

Jarvis JN, Meintjes G, Rebe K, Williams GN, Bicanic T, Williams A, et al. Adjunctive interferon-γ immunotherapy for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS* 2012;**26**(9):1105-13.

Jarvis 2018 {published data only}

Jarvis JN, Leeme TB, Molefi M, Chofle AA, Bidwell G, Tsholo K, et al. Short course high-dose liposomal amphotericin B for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis: a phase-II randomised controlled trial. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2018 June 26 [Epub ahead of print]. [DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciy515]

Leenders 1997 {published data only}

Leenders AC, Reiss P, Portegies P, Clezy K, Hop WC, Hoy J, et al. Liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome) compared with amphotericin B both followed by oral fluconazole in the treatment of AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *AIDS* 1997;**11**(12):1463-71.

Loyse 2012 {published data only}

Loyse A, Wainwright H, Jarvis JN, Bicanic T, Rebe K, Meintjes G, et al. Histopathology of the arachnoid granulations and brain in HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: correlation with cerebrospinal fluid pressure. *AIDS* 2010;**24**(3):405-10.

Mayanja-Kizza 1998 {published data only}

Mayanja-Kizza H, Oishi K, Mitarai S, Yamashita H, Nalongo K, Watanabe K, et al. Combination therapy with fluconazole and flucytosine for cryptococcal meningitis in Ugandan patients with AIDS. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1998;**26**(6):1362-6.

Molloy 2018 {published data only}

Molloy S, Kanyama C, Heyderman R, Loyse A, Kouanfack C, Chanda D, et al. Antifungal combinations for treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in Africa. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2018;**378**(11):1004-17.

Nussbaum 2010 {published data only}

Nussbaum JC, Jackson A, Namarika D, Phulusa J, Kenala J, Kanyemba C, et al. Combination flucytosine and high-dose fluconazole compared with fluconazole monotherapy for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis: a randomized trial in Malawi. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2010;**50**(3):338-44.

Pappas 2009 {published data only}

Pappas PG, Chetchotisakd P, Larsen RA, Manosuthi W, Morris MI, Anekthananon T. A phase II randomized trial of amphotericin B alone or combined with fluconazole in the treatment of HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2009;**48**(12):1775-83.

van der Horst 1997 {published data only}

van der Horst CM, Saag MS, Cloud GA, Hamill RJ, Graybill JR, Sobel JD, et al. Treatment of cryptococcal meningitis associated with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group and AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997;**337**(1):15-21.

References to studies excluded from this review

Bicanic 2008 {published data only}

Bicanic T, Wood R, Meintjes G, Rebe K, Brouwer A, Loyse A, et al. High-dose amphotericin B with flucytosine for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-infected patients: a randomized trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2008;**47**(1):123-30.

Bisson 2013 {published data only}

Bisson GP, Molefi M, Bellamy S, Thakur R, Steenhoff A, Tamuhla N, et al. Early versus delayed antiretroviral therapy and cerebrospinal fluid fungal clearance in adults with HIV and cryptococcal meningitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2013;**56**(8):1165-73.

Boulware 2014b {published data only}

Boulware DR, Meya DB, Muzoora C, Rolfes MA, Huppler Hullsiek K, Musubire A, et al. Timing of antiretroviral therapy after diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2014;**370**(26):2487-98.

Bozzette 1991 {published data only}

Bozzette SA, Larsen RA, Chiu J, Leal MAE, Jacobsen J, Rothman P, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of maintenance therapy with fluconazole after treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1991;**324**(9):580-4.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Brouwer 2007 {published data only}

Brouwer AE, Van Kan HJM, Johnson E, Rajanuwong A, Teparrukkul P, Wuthiekanun V, et al. Oral versus intravenous flucytosine in patients with human immunodeficiency virusassociated cryptococcal meningitis. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2007;**51**(3):1038-42.

Chariyalertsak 2002 {published data only}

Chariyalertsak S, Supparatpinyo K, Sirisanthana T, Nelson KE. A controlled trial of itraconazole as primary prophylaxis for systemic fungal infections in patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection in Thailand. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;**32**(2):277-84.

Chetchotisakd 2004 {published data only}

Chetchotisakd P, Sungkanuparph S, Thinkhamrop B, Mootsikapun P, Boonyaprawit P. A multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of primary cryptococcal meningitis prophylaxis in HIV-infected patients with severe immune deficiency. *HIV Medicine* 2004;**5**(3):140-3.

Chotmongkol 1997 {published data only}

Chotmongkol V, Sukeepaisarncharoen W, Thavornpitak Y. Comparison of amphotericin B, flucytosine and itraconazole with amphotericin B and flucytosine in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in AIDS. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 1997;**80**(7):416-25.

Chotmongkol 2005 {published data only}

Chotmongkol V, Arayawichanont A, Sawanyawisuth K, Thavornpitak Y. Initial treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in AIDS. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 2005;**36**(1):170-3.

de Gans 1992 {published data only}

De Gans J, Portegies P, Tiessens G, Schattenkerk JKME, Van Boxtel CJ, Van Ketel RJ, et al. Itraconazole compared with amphotericin B plus flucytosine in AIDS patients with cryptococcal meningitis. *AIDS* 1992;**6**(2):185-90.

Hamill 2010 {published data only}

Hamill RJ, Sobel JD, El-Sadr W, Johnson PC, Graybill JR, Javaly K, et al. Comparison of 2 doses of liposomal amphotericin B and conventional amphotericin B deoxycholate for treatment of AIDS-associated acute cryptococcal meningitis: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial of efficacy and safety. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2010;**51**(2):225-32.

Jadhav 2010 {published data only}

Jadhav MP, Bamba A, Shinde VM, Gogtay N, Kshirsagar NA, Bichile LS, et al. Liposomal amphotericin B (Fungisome) for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV/AIDS patients in India: a multicentric, randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine* 2010;**56**(2):71-5.

Joly 1996a {published data only}

Joly V, Aubry P, Ndayiragide A, Carriere I, Kawa E, Mlika-Cabanne N, et al. Randomized comparison of amphotericin B deoxycholate dissolved in dextrose or Intralipid for the treatment of AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1996;**23**(3):556-62.

Joly 1996b {published data only}

Joly V, Geoffray C, Reynes J, Goujard C, Méchali D, Maslo C, et al. Amphotericin B in a lipid emulsion for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in AIDS patients. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 1996;**38**(1):117-26.

Larsen 1990 {published data only}

Larsen RA, Leal MAE, Chan LS. Fluconazole compared with amphotericin B plus flucytosine for cryptococcal meningitis in AIDS. A randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1990;**113**(3):183-7.

Makadzange 2010 {published data only}

Makadzange AT, Ndhlovu CE, Takarinda K, Reid M, Kurangwa M, Gona P, et al. Early versus delayed initiation of antiretroviral therapy for concurrent HIV infection and cryptococcal meningitis in sub-saharan Africa. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2010;**50**(11):1532-8.

Mfinanga 2015 {published data only}

Mfinanga S, Chanda D, Kivuyo SL, Guinness L, Bottomley C, Simms V, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis screening and community-based early adherence support in people with advanced HIV infection starting antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania and Zambia: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015;**385**(9983):2173-82.

Mootsikapun 2003 {published data only}

Mootsikapun P, Chetchotisakd P, Anunnatsiri S, Choksawadphinyo K. The efficacy of fluconazole 600 mg/day versus itraconazole 600 mg/day as consolidation therapy of cryptococcal meningitis in AIDS patients. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2003;**86**(4):293-8.

Newton 2002 {published data only}

Newton PN, Thai le H, Tip NQ, Short JM, Chierakul W, Rajanuwong A, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of acetazolamide for the treatment of elevated intracranial pressure in cryptococcal meningitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;**36**(5):769-72.

Pappas 2004 {published data only}

Pappas PG, Bustamante B, Ticona E, Hamill RJ, Johnson PC, Reboli A. Recombinant interferon-gamma 1b as adjunctive therapy for AIDS-related acute cryptococcal meningitis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2004;**189**(12):2185-91.

Powderly 1992 {published data only}

Powderly WG, Saag MS, Cloud GA, Robinson P, Meyer RD, Jacobson JM, et al. A controlled trial of fluconazole or amphotericin B to prevent relapse of cryptococcal meningitis in patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. The NIAID AIDS Clinical Trials Group and Mycoses Study Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1992;**326**(12):783-8.

Powderly 1995 {published data only}

Powderly WG, Finkelstein D, Feinberg J, Frame P, He W, van der Horst C, et al. A randomized trial comparing fluconazole with clotrimazole troches for the prevention of fungal infections in patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

infection. NIAID AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1995;**332**(11):700-5.

Rhein 2016 {published data only}

Rhein J, Morawski BM, Hullsiek KH, Nabeta HW, Kiggundu R, Tugume L, et al. Efficacy of adjunctive sertraline for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an open-label dose-ranging study. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2016;**16**(7):809-18.

Saag 1991 {published data only}

Saag MS, Powderly WG, Cloud GA, Robinson P, Grieco MH, Sharkey PK, et al. Comparison of amphotericin B with fluconazole in the treatment of acute AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. The NIAID Mycoses Study Group and the AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1992;**326**(2):83-9.

Saag 1999 {published data only}

Saag MS, Cloud GA, Graybill JR, Sobel JD, Tuazon CU, Johnson PC, et al. A comparison of itraconazole versus fluconazole as maintenance therapy for AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1999;**28**(2):291-6.

Sharkey 1996 {published data only}

Sharkey PK, Graybill JR, Johnson ES, Hausrath SG, Pollard RB, Kolokathis A. Amphotericin B lipid complex compared with amphotericin B in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in patients with AIDS. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1996;**22**(2):315-21.

Tansuphaswadikul 2006 {published data only}

Tansuphaswadikul S, Maek-a-Nantawat W, Phonrat B, Boonpokbn L, Mctm AG, Pitisuttithum P. Comparison of one week with two week regimens of amphotericin B both followed by fluconazole in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis among AIDS patients. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2006;**89**(10):1677-85.

Techapornroong 2007 {published data only}

Techapornroong M, Suankratay C. Alternate-day versus once-daily administration of amphotericin B in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis: a randomized controlled trial. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2007;**39**(10):896-901.

Vaidhya 2015 {published data only}

Vaidhya SA, Gupta BB, Jha RK, Kumar R. Combination versus monotherapy for the treatment of HIV associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2015;**9**(2):OC14-6.

Vibhagool 2003 {published data only}

Vibhagool A, Sungkanuparph S, Mootsikapun P, Chetchotisakd P, Tansuphaswaswadikul S, Bowonwatanuwong C. Discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis for cryptococcal meningitis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: a prospective, multicenter, randomized study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2003;**36**(10):1329-31.

Villanueva-Lozano 2018 {published data only}

Villanueva-Lozano H, Trevino-Rangel RJ, Gonzalez GM, Hernandez-Rodriguez PA, Camacho-Ortiz A, Castillo-Reyna L, et al. Clinical evaluation of the antifungal effect of sertraline in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV patients: a single Mexican center experience. *Infection* 2018;**46**(1):25-30.

References to ongoing studies

ISRCTN72509687 {unpublished data only}

ISRCTN72509687. High dose AMBISOME on a fluconazole backbone for cryptococcal meningitis induction therapy in sub-Saharan Africa. www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN72509687 (first received 23 June 2017).

NCT00885703 {unpublished data only}

NCT00885703. High-dose fluconazole for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-infected individuals (HiFLAC) [A phase I/II dose-finding study of high-dose fluconazole treatment in AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis]. clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00885703 (first received 22 April 2009).

NCT01802385 {unpublished data only}

NCT01802385. Adjunctive sertraline for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (ASTRO-CM). clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01802385 (first received 1 March 2013).

Additional references

Anderegg 2017

Anderegg N, Kirk O on behalf of IeDEA-Global Adults and COHERE. Immunodeficiency at the start of combination antiretroviral therapy in low-, middle- and high-income countries. 9th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science; 2017 July 23-26; Paris, France. 2017.

Bahr 2014

Bahr NC, Rolfes MA, Musubire A, Nabeta H, Williams DA, Rhein J, et al. Standardized electrolyte supplementation and fluid management improves survival during amphotericin therapy for cryptococcal meningitis in resource-limited settings. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* 2014;**1**(2):ofu070.

Bicanic 2006

Bicanic T, Harrison T, Niepieklo A, Dyakopu N, Meintjes G. Symptomatic relapse of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis after initial fluconazole monotherapy: the role of fluconazole resistance and immune reconstitution. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2006;**43**(8):1069-73.

Bicanic 2007

Bicanic T, Meintjes G, Wood R, Hayes M, Rebe K, Bekker LG, et al. Fungal burden, early fungicidal activity, and outcome in cryptococcal meningitis in antiretroviral-naive or antiretroviral-

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



experienced patients treated with amphotericin B or fluconazole. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;**45**(1):76-80.

Bicanic 2009

Bicanic T, Brouwer AE, Meintjes G, Rebe K, Limmathurotsakul D, Chierakul W, et al. Relationship of cerebrospinal fluid pressure, fungal burden and outcome in patients with cryptococcal meningitis undergoing serial lumbar punctures. *AIDS* 2009;**23**(6):701-6.

Bicanic 2015

Bicanic T, Bottomley C, Loyse A, Brouwer AE, Muzoora C, Taseera K, et al. Toxicity of amphotericin B deoxycholate-based induction therapy in patients with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2015;**59**(12):7224-31.

Boulware 2014a

Boulware DR, Rolfes MA, Rajasingham R, von Hohenberg M, Qin Z, Taseera K, et al. Multisite validation of cryptococcal antigen lateral flow assay and quantification by laser thermal contrast. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2014;**20**(1):45-53.

Campbell 2015

Campbell JI, Kanters S, Bennett JE, Thorlund K, Tsai AC, Mills EJ, et al. Comparative effectiveness of induction therapy for human immunodeficiency virus-associated cryptococcal meningitis: a network meta-analysis. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* 2015;**2**(1):ofv010.

Chaimani 2013

Chaimani A, Higgins JPT, Mayridis D, Spyridonos P, Salanti G. Graphical tools for network meta-analysis in STATA. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**(10):e76654.

Chen 2000

Chen S, Sorrell T, Nimmo G, Speed B, Currie B, Ellis D, et al. Epidemiology and host- and variety-dependent characteristics of infection due to Cryptococcus neoformans in Australia and New Zealand. Australasian Cryptococcal Study Group. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2000;**31**(2):499-508.

CINeMA 2017

CINeMA. Confidence in Network Meta-Analysis [Software]. Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern 2017. Available from cinema.ispm.ch.

DAIDS 2014

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Division of AIDS. Division of AIDS (DAIDS) table for grading the severity of adult and pediatric adverse events, Version 2.0. rsc.tech-res.com/docs/default-source/safety/ daids_ae_grading_table_v2_nov2014.pdf 2014 (accessed prior to 10 June 2018).

Dias 2010

Dias S, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Ades AE. Checking consistency in mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine* 2010;**29**(7-8):932-44.

Dromer 2004

Dromer F, Mathoulin-Pélissier S, Fontanet A, Ronin O, Dupont B, Lortholary O, et al. Epidemiology of HIV-associated cryptococcosis in France (1985-2001): comparison of the preand post-HAART eras. *AIDS* 2004;**18**(3):555-62.

EndNote 2013 [Computer program]

Clavirate Analytics. EndNote. Version Endnote X7 for Mac. Clavirate Analytics, July 2013.

Higgins 2011

Higgins JP, Green S, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from handbook.cochrane.org.

Higgins 2012

Higgins JP, Jackson D, Barrett JK, Lu G, Ades AE, White IR. Consistency and inconsistency in network meta-analysis: concepts and models for multi-arm studies. *Research Synthesis Methods* 2012;**3**(2):98-110.

Jarvis 2014

Jarvis JN, Bicanic T, Loyse A, Namarika D, Jackson A, Nussbaum JC, et al. Determinants of mortality in a combined cohort of 501 patients with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: implications for improving outcomes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2014;**58**(5):736-45.

Livermore 2014

Livermore J, Howard SJ, Sharp AD, Goodwin J, Gregson L, Felton T, et al. Efficacy of an abbreviated induction regimen of amphotericin B deoxycholate for cryptococcal meningoencephalitis: 3 days of therapy is equivalent to 14 days. *mBio* 2014;**5**(1):e00725-13.

Longley 2008

Longley N, Muzoora C, Taseera K, Mwesigye J, Rwebembera J, Chakera A, et al. Dose response effect of high-dose fluconazole for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in southwestern Uganda. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2008;**47**(12):1556-61.

Loyse 2010

Loyse A, Wainwright H, Jarvis JN, Bicanic T, Rebe K, Meintjes G, et al. Histopathology of the arachnoid granulations and brain in HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: correlation with cerebrospinal fluid pressure. *AIDS* 2010;**24**(3):405-10.

Loyse 2013a

Loyse A, Dromer F, Day J, Lortholary O, Harrison TS. Flucytosine and cryptococcosis: time to urgently address the worldwide accessibility of a 50-year-old antifungal. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2013;**68**(11):2435-44.

Loyse 2013b

Loyse A, Thangaraj H, Easterbrook P, Ford N, Roy M, Chiller T, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis: improving access to essential antifungal medicines in resource-poor countries. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2013;**13**(7):629-37.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Lu 2006

Lu G, Ades AE. Assessing evidence inconsistency in mixed treatment comparisons. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 2006;**101**:447-59.

Meda 2014

Meda J, Kalluvya S, Downs JA, Chofle AA, Seni J, Kidenya B, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis management in Tanzania with strict schedule of serial lumber punctures using intravenous tubing sets: an operational research study. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2014;**66**(2):e31-6.

Milefchik 2008

Milefchik E, Leal MA, Haubrich R, Bozzette SA, Tilles JG, Leedom JM, et al. Fluconazole alone or combined with flucytosine for the treatment of AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Medical Mycology* 2008;**46**(4):393-5.

Moher 2009

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009;**339**:b2535.

Moosa 1997

Moosa MY, Coovadia YM. Cryptococcal meningitis in Durban, South Africa: a comparison of clinical features, laboratory findings, and outcome for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1997;**24**(2):131-4.

Muzoora 2012

Muzoora CK, Kabanda T, Ortu G, Ssentamu J, Hearn P, Mwesigye J, et al. Short course amphotericin B with high dose fluconazole for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Journal of Infection* 2012;**64**(1):76-81.

Perfect 2010

Perfect JR, Dismukes WE, Dromer F, Goldman DL, Graybill JR, Hamill RJ, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease: 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2010;**50**(3):291-322.

Pyrgos 2013

Pyrgos V, Seitz AE, Steiner CA, Prevots DR, Williamson PR. Epidemiology of cryptococcal meningitis in the US: 1997-2009. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**(2):e56269.

Rajasingham 2017

Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Bark BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2017;**17**(8):873-81.

RevMan 2014 [Computer program]

Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Rolfes 2014

Rolfes MA, Hullsiek KH, Rhein J, Nabeta HW, Taseera K, Schutz C, et al. The effect of therapeutic lumbar punctures on acute mortality from cryptococcal meningitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2014;**59**(11):1607-14.

Rothe 2013

Rothe C, Sloan DJ, Goodson P, Chikafa J, Mukaka M, Denis B, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of the clinical outcomes of cryptococcal meningitis following treatment induction with 800 mg oral fluconazole in Blantyre, Malawi. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**(6):e67311.

Salanti 2011

Salanti G, Ades AE, Ioannidis JP. Graphical methods and numerical summaries for presenting results from multiple-treatment meta-analysis: an overview and tutorial. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(2):163-71.

Salanti 2014

Salanti G, Del Giovane C, Chaimani A, Caldwell DM, Higgins JP. Evaluating the quality of evidence from a network metaanalysis. *PLoS ONE* 2014;**9**(7):e99682.

Schaars 2006

Schaars CF, Meintjes GA, Morroni C, Post FA, Maartens G. Outcome of AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis initially treated with 200 mg/day or 400 mg/day of fluconazole. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2006;**6**:118.

Scriven 2016

Scriven JE, Lalloo DG, Meintjes G. Changing epidemiology of HIV-associated cryptococcosis in sub-Saharan Africa. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2016;**16**(8):891-2.

Sloan 2008

Sloan D, Dlamini S, Paul N, Dedicoat M. Treatment of acute cryptococcal meningitis in HIV infected adults, with an emphasis on resource-limited settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005647.pub2]

Stata 2013 [Computer program]

StataCorp. Stata. Version 13.0. StataCorp, June 2013.

Vermes 2000

Vermes A, Guchelaar HJ, Dankert J. Flucytosine: a review of its pharmacology, clinical indications, pharmacokinetics, toxicity and drug interactions. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2000;**46**(2):171-9.

White 2011

White IR. Multivariate random-effects meta-regression: updates to mvmeta. *Stata Journal* 2011;**11**(2):255-70.

White 2012

White IR, Barrett JK, Jackson D, Higgins JPT. Consistency and inconsistency in network meta-analysis: model estimation using multivariate meta-regression. *Research Synthesis Methods* 2012;**3**(2):111-25.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



White 2015

White IR. Network meta-analysis. *Stata Journal* 2015;**15**(4):951-85.

WHO 2011

World Health Organization. Rapid advice: diagnosis, prevention and management of cryptococcal disease in HIV-infected adults, adolescents and children. www.who.int/hiv/pub/ cryptococcal_disease2011/en/ (accessed 19 June 2018).

WHO 2018

World Health Organization. Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and management of cryptococcal disease in HIVinfected adults, adolescents and children. www.who.int/hiv/ pub/guidelines/cryptococcal-disease/en/ (accessed 19 June 2018).

Zhai 2012

Zhai B, Wu C, Wang L, Sachs MS, Lin X. The antidepressant sertraline provides a promising therapeutic option for

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Beardsley 2016

neurotropic cryptococcal infections. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2012;**56**(7):3758-66.

References to other published versions of this review

Sloan 2006

Sloan D, Dlamini S, Paul N, Dedicoat M. Treatment of acute cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-infected adults in resourcelimited settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005647]

Sloan 2011

Sloan D, Dlamini S, Paul N, Dedicoat M. Treatment of acute cryptococcal meningitis in HIV infected adults, with an emphasis on resource-limited settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 10. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005647.pub2]

Methods	Study type: blinded RCT				
	Setting: 13 hospitals in Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Laos, Uganda, and Malawi				
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ≥ 18 years of age; diagnosed with cryptococcal meningitis by CSF India ink or CrAg or blood or CSF culture				
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant; more than 7 days of anticryptococcal therapy; renal failure; receiving glu- cocorticoids or glucocorticoids indicated for a pre-existing condition; gastrointestinal bleeding				
	Number randomized: 451 (1 excluded after randomization due to administrative error)				
	Age: median 35 years				
	Gender: 62% male				
	CD4 T-cell count: median 18 cells/μL (AmBd and fluconazole with dexamethasone); 20 cells/μL (AmBd and fluconazole with placebo)				
	Baseline ART: 40% with prior ART use				
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GCS < 15 in 19% of participants				
Interventions	Intervention				
	 AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks with tapered dexamethasone (0.3 mg/kg/day for 1 week, then 0.2 mg/kg/day for 1 week, then 0.1 mg/kg/day for 1 week, then 3 mg/day for 1 week, then 2 mg/day for 1 week, then 1 mg/day for 1 week) 				
	2. AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks with placebo therapy for 6 weeks				
	Consolidation: Fluconazole 800 mg/day for 8 weeks then 200 mg/day maintenance dose				
	Postdiagnosis ART: median time to ART 46 days (AmBd and fluconazole with dexamethasone); 42 days (AmBd and fluconazole with placebo)				
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled on days 1, 3, 7, and 14 and performed as clinically indicated				

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Beardsley 2016 (Continued)

Laboratory monitoring: haemoglobin, white blood cells, platelet count days 1, 7, and 14; Na, K, urea, Cr days 1, 3, 7, 11, and 14

Outcomes	Primary outcome					
	Mortality at 10 weeks					
	Secondary outcomes					
	Mortality at 6 months					
	Level of disability at 10 weeks and 6 months					
	Visual acuity at 10 weeks					
	Rate of decrease in cryptococcal counts in CSF during first 2 weeks					
	Change in opening pressure during first 2 weeks					
	Outcome assessment schedule: Daily while hospitalized and scheduled assessments on days 21, 28, 42, 80, and 182					
Notes	Date of study: 2013 to 2014 (study stopped early after data and safety monitoring committee deter- mined dexamethasone therapy was causing harm across key outcomes)					
	Funding: UK Department for International Development; The Wellcome Trust (UK); Medical Research Council (UK) Joint Global Health Trials Scheme					

Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: None reported.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1 randomization with variable block size of 4 and 6 with computer-generated sequence, stratified by study site
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Computer-generated randomization accessible only to central pharmacists
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Participants and clinicians blinded to intervention group.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors blinded to treatment group.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	6-month outcome available for all participants.
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Brouwer 2004

Collaboration.

Methods Study type: Unblinded RCT Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review) Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane

Brouwer 2004 (Continued)	Setting: 1 hospital in Thailand						
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; diagnosed by CSF India ink or CrAg test						
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant; previous cryptococcal meningitis; ALT > 5 times upper limit of normal; ANC < 500 x 10 ⁶ /L; platelet count < 50 x 10 ⁶ /L; previous serious reaction to study drugs						
	Number randomized: 64						
	Age: median 33 years						
	Gender: 60% male						
	CD4 T-cell count: median 9 cells/µL						
	Baseline ART: no						
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GC	S < 15 or seizures in 19% of participants					
Interventions	Intervention						
	 AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 400 mg/day for 2 weeks AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 400 mg/day for 2 weeks 						
	Consolidation: fluconazole 400 mg/day for 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose						
	Postdiagnosis ART: no participants started on ART within 10 weeks of follow-up						
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled on days 3, 7, and 14 and as clinically indicated						
	Laboratory monitoring: not specified						
Outcomes	Primary outcome						
	Rate of decrease in cryptococcal counts in CSF during first 2 weeks						
	Secondary outcome						
	Mortality at 2 and 10 weeks						
	Outcome assessment schedule: daily while hospitalized and scheduled assessments on days 21, 28, 42, 80, and 182						
Notes	Date of study: 2002						
	Funding: Wellcome Trust; Lancet international fellowship; St George's Hospital; Netherlands Founda- tion for the Advancement of Tropical Medicine						
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: None reported.						
Risk of bias							
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement					
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1:1:1 randomization with blocks of 16 with pre-study generation of sealed envelopes prepared by an independent person					
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Sealed envelopes prepared by independent person					

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Brouwer 2004 (Continued)

Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes up to 10 weeks for all participants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Day 2013

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT				
	Setting: 1 hospital in Vietnam				
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; > 14 years of age; positive CSF India ink or CrAg, CSF or blood culture, or blood CrAg with titre > 1:10				
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant; previous cryptococcosis; antifungal therapy for more than 3 days; renal or liver failure; receiving rifampicin; did not provide written informed consent				
	Number randomized: 299				
	Age: median 28 years (AmBd); 28 years (AmBd and flucytosine); 27 years (AmBd and fluconazole)				
	Gender: 82% male (AmBd); 80% male (AmBd and flucytosine); 85% male (AmBd and fluconazole)				
	CD4 T-cell count: median 18 cells/μL (AmBd); 17 cells/μL (AmBd and flucytosine); 14 cells/μL (AmBd and fluconazole)				
	Baseline ART: 3% on ART at baseline				
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GCS < 15 in 28% of participants				
Interventions	Intervention				
	1. AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks				
	2. AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks				
	3. AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks				
	Consolidation: fluconazole 400 mg/day up to 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose				
	Postdiagnosis ART: ART initiation at discretion of physicians at follow-up (89/299 of participants start- ed ART within 6 months and 8/299 within 2 weeks of randomization)				
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled weekly for 1 month and as clinically indicated				
	Laboratory monitoring: weekly CBC, urea, electrolytes, LFTs				
Outcomes	Primary outcome				

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Day 2013 (Continued)	Mortality at 2 and 10 weeks						
	 Secondary outcomes Mortality at 6 months Disability status at 10 weeks and 6 months Changes in CSF fungal counts in first 2 weeks Time to CSF sterilization Adverse events during first 10 weeks 						
	Outcome assessment	schedule: Daily while hospitalized, then monthly until 6 months					
Notes	Date of study: 2004 to	2010					
	Funding: Wellcome Tr	ust and British Infection Society					
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: None reported.						
Risk of bias							
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement					
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1:1 randomization with computer-generated sequence in blocks of 9					
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomization list held securely at trial centre					
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.					
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors					
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Vital status at 6 months missing in few (7/299) participants					
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.					
Other bias	Low risk	None noted; pharmaceutical companies supplied reduced study drug but had no role in design or interpretation of study.					

Jackson 2012

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT				
	Setting: 1 referral hospital in Malawi				
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ART-naive; diagnosed by CSF India ink confirmed by CrAg test or cul- ture or by CSF CrAg test				

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Jackson 2012 (Continued)	Exclusion criteria: pre 500 x 10 ⁶ /L; platelets <	gnant or breastfeeding; previous cryptococcal meningitis; ALT > 1200 IU/L; ANC < 50 x 10 ⁶ /L; contraindication to any study medication				
	Number randomized: 43 (3 excluded after randomization for false-positive India ink)					
	Age: median 35 years					
	Gender: 65% male					
	CD4 T-cell count: med	ian 41 cells/μL				
	Baseline ART: none (ex	cluded if prior ART)				
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GCS < 15 in 25% of participants					
Interventions	Intervention					
	1: AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/da	y for 1 week and fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks				
	2: AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day for 1 week and fluconazole 1200 mg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks					
	Consolidation: fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks, then 400 mg/day for 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose					
	Postdiagnosis ART: ART initiated at 4 weeks.					
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled on days 1, 3, 7, and 14 and as clinically indicated					
	Laboratory monitoring: CBC, ALT, AST, K, Cr 3 times per week for first 2 weeks					
Outcomes	Primary outcome					
	Rate of CSF fungal clearance in first 2 weeks					
	Secondary outcomes					
	• Mortality at 2 and 10) weeks				
	Drug safety Society solutions					
	Serious adverse events					
	Outcome assessment schedule: daily while hospitalized, then scheduled for visit at 4 weeks to initiate ART, then followed until 10 weeks					
Notes	Date of study: 2009 to 2010					
	Funding: Medical Research Council (UK); Department for International Development in Malawi (UK)					
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.					
Risk of bias						
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement				
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1 randomization stratified by GCS < 15 using random computer-generated list				
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not specified				
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias)	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.				

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Jackson 2012 (Continued) All outcomes

Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Vital status known for most participants (39/40) at 10 weeks
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Jarvis 2012

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT		
	Setting: 1 hospital in South Africa		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ART-naive; ≥ 21 years of age; positive CSF India ink or CrAg test		
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; previous cryptococcal meningitis; ALT > 200 IU/mL; ANC < 500 x 10 ⁶ cells/L; platelets < 50 x 10 ⁶ cells/L; previous serious reaction to study drugs or contraindication to study drugs		
	Number randomized: 90 (2 excluded after randomization due to prior episode of cryptococcal menin- gitis and low platelets)		
	Age: median 32 years		
	Gender: 44% male		
	CD4 T-cell count: median 27 cells/µL		
	Baseline ART: none (excluded if prior ART)		
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GCS < 15 in 37% of participants		
Interventions	Intervention		
	1. AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks		
	 AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks with interferon gamma 100 μg days 1 and 3 		
	3. AmBd 1.0 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks with interferon gamma 100 μg days 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, and 12		
	Consolidation: fluconazole 400 mg/day up to 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose		
	Postdiagnosis ART: protocol for ART initiation between 2 and 4 weeks		
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled days 1, 3, 7, and 14 and as clinically indicated		
	Laboratory monitoring: alternate-day renal function and electrolyte testing and twice-weekly CBC and LFTs during first 2 weeks		
Outcomes	Primary outcome		
	Rate of CSF fungal clearance in the first 2 weeks		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Jarvis 2012 (Continued)

Secondary outcomes

- (Mortality at 2 and 10 weeks
- Serious adverse events
- Laboratory toxicities

Outcome assessment schedule: daily while hospitalized, then up to 1 year after enrolment

Notes

Date of study: 2007 to 2010

Funding: Wellcome Trust

Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1:1 randomization with random computer-generated lists with block sizes of 8, stratified by GCS of 15 or GCS < 15
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Numbers maintained in sealed envelopes prepared by independent individu- als.
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Vital status at 10 weeks complete in all groups
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Jarvis 2018	
Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT
	Setting: 1 referral hospital in Botswana and 2 in Tanzania
Participants	Inclusion criteria: ≥ 18 years of age; positive CSF India ink or cryptococcal antigen
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; prior cryptococcal meningitis or more than 48 hours of antifungal treatment; previous serious reaction to study drugs
	Number randomized: 81 (1 participant excluded after randomization due to prior episode of crypto- coccal meningitis)
	Age: median 38 years

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Jarvis 2018 (Continued)	Gender: 54% male		
	CD4 T-cell count: med	ian 32 cells/μL	
	Baseline ART: 32% on	ART at enrolment	
	Baseline GCS/AMS: 28	% with GCS < 15	
Interventions	Intervention		
	 Liposomal AmB 10 Liposomal AmB 10 Liposomal AmB 10 Liposomal AmB 3 m 	mg/kg day 1 with fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks mg/kg day 1 and 5 mg/kg day 3 with fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks mg/kg day 1 and 5 mg/kg days 3 and 7 with fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks ng/kg/day for 14 days	
	Consolidation: flucon	azole 800 mg/day for 8 weeks then 200 mg/day maintenance	
	Postdiagnosis ART: in	itiated at 4 to 6 weeks in ART-naive participants	
	Lumbar puncture sch ing pressure > 30 cm H	edule: scheduled at days 3, 7, 14, and 21; additional therapeutic LP if CSF open- ₂ O or symptoms of raised intracranial pressure	
	Laboratory monitorir CBC and ALT for first 2	ng: alternate day renal function and electrolyte assessment and twice-weekly weeks	
Outcomes	Primary outcome		
	• Mean rate of decrea	se in CSF cryptococcal colony forming units	
	Secondary outcomes		
	 Mortality at 2 and 1 Pharmacokinetic/p DAIDS grade 3/4 adv 	0 weeks harmacodynamic parameters verse events	
	Outcome assessment er was later); clinic foll	schedule: clinical monitoring daily for first 2 weeks or until discharge (whichev- ow-up visits weeks 3, 4, 6, and 10	
Notes	Date of study: 2014 to 2016		
	Funding: Gilead investigator-initiated award		
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized by means of random computer-generated lists with allocation ra- tio of 1:1 and block sizes of 8; stratified by GCS < 15 and ART status	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomization lists were accessible to an independent statistician who pre- pared sealed envelopes that were sent to study sites	
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded	
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias)	High risk	Unblinded	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Jarvis 2018 (Continued) All outcomes

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes reported for all participants.
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	Research funded by a pharmaceutical company through an investigator-initi- ated grant; sponsors played no part in the design, conduct, data analysis, or publication.

Leenders 1997

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT		
	Setting: academic hospitals in the Netherlands and Australia		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ≥ 18 years of age; positive CSF India ink or CrAg with confirmation by positive CSF culture or CSF CrAg with positive blood culture		
	Exclusion criteria: previous cryptococcal meningitis; Cr > 250 μmol/L		
	Number randomized: 30 (2 excluded after randomization including comatose patient without written informed consent from family and patient with negative CSF culture)		
	Age: median 41 years (AmBd); 40 years (liposomal AmB)		
	Gender: not specified		
	CD4 T-cell count: median 35 cells/µL (AmBd); 35 cells/µL (liposomal AmB)		
	Baseline ART: 85% (AmBd); 47% (liposomal AmB)		
	Baseline GCS/AMS: altered mental status 15% (AmBd); 40% (liposomal AmB)		
Interventions	Intervention		
	 AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day for 3 weeks Liposomal AmB (AmBisome) 4 mg/kg/day for 3 weeks 		
	Consolidation: fluconazole 400 mg/day up to 10 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose		
	Postdiagnosis ART: not specified		
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled days 7, 14, and 21, after 10 weeks, and as clinically indicated		
	Laboratory monitoring: twice-weekly BUN, Cr, and K; weekly CBC and LFTs		
Outcomes	Primary outcome		
	 Clinical and mycological response at the completion of 10 weeks (including mortality and sterile CSF culture) 		
	Secondary outcomes		
	Mortality up to 6 months		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Leenders 1997 (Continued)

Notes

Date of study: 1992 to 1995

Funding: NeXstar Pharmaceuticals

further follow-up until 6 months

Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: industry-sponsored (role of pharmaceutical company, which manufactured study drug AmBisome, not specified)

Outcome assessment schedule: daily for first 3 weeks, then at least every 2 weeks until 10 weeks, with

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1 randomization stratified by clinical site; performed by means of sealed en- velopes generated centrally at Dutch and Australian co-ordination sites
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Used sealed envelopes maintained separately at study co-ordinating centres
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Little loss to follow-up in (1 of 25 participants)
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	Primary and secondary outcomes not specific, reported on multiple clinical and mycological outcomes
Other bias	Unclear risk	Sponsored by drug manufacturer, involvement in study not clearly stated

Loyse 2012

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT
	Setting: 2 hospitals in South Africa
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ART-naive; ≥ 18 years of age; positive CSF India ink confirmed by culture
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; previous cryptococcal meningitis; ALT > 200 IU/mL; ANC < 500 x 10 ⁶ cells/L; platelets < 50 x 10 ⁶ cells/L; previous serious reaction to study drugs or contraindication to study drugs
	Number randomized: 80 (1 excluded after randomization for prior history of cryptococcal meningitis)
	Age: median 34 years
	Gender: 49% male
	CD4 T-cell count: median 37 cells/µL

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Lovse 2012 (Continued)				
	Baseline ART: none (excluded if prior ART)			
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GC	CS < 15 in 15% of participants		
Interventions	Intervention	Intervention		
	 AmBd 0.7 to 1.0 mg, 	/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks /kg/day and fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks /kg/day and fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks /kg/day and voriconazole 600 mg/day for 2 weeks		
	Consolidation: flucon	azole 400 mg/day up to 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose		
	Postdiagnosis ART: pr	rotocol for ART initiation > 2 weeks after starting antifungal therapy		
	Lumbar puncture sch	edule: scheduled days 3, 7, and 14 and as clinically indicated		
	Laboratory monitorin	ng: alternate-day renal function, twice-weekly CBC and LFTs		
Outcomes	Primary outcome			
	• Rate of CSF fungal c	learance in the first 2 weeks		
	Secondary outcomes			
	Mortality at 2 and 10Drug-related clinica	0 weeks Il and laboratory adverse events		
	Outcome assessment to 6 months from enro	schedule: daily while hospitalized, started on ART at 2 weeks, and followed up Iment		
Notes	Date of study: 2006 to 2008 Funding: Wellcome Trust; Medical Research Council (UK) Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.			
Risk of bias				
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement		
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1:1:1 randomization using computer-generated sequence, stratified by base- line altered mental status		
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not specified		
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.		
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors		
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Vital status at 10 weeks in most (75/79) participants		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Loyse 2012 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Mayanja-Kizza 1998 Methods Study type: unblinded RCT Setting: 1 hospital in Uganda Participants Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ≥ 16 years of age; positive CSF India ink or CrAg Exclusion criteria: pregnant; antifungal therapy in the previous month; comatose Number randomized: 58 Age: median 34 years Gender: 43% male CD4 T-cell count: mean 77 cells/µL Baseline ART: no Baseline GCS/AMS: excluded if comatose; 52% of participants noted to have normal sensorium (no stupor or somnolence) Interventions Intervention • Fluconazole 200 mg/day and flucytosine 150 mg/kg/day for 2 months Fluconazole 200 mg/day for 2 months ٠ Consolidation: fluconazole 200 mg 3 times weekly Postdiagnosis ART: no Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled after 2 months and 6 months Laboratory monitoring: laboratory monitoring schedule not specified

Outcomes	Primary outcome
	Participant improved clinically or complete resolution of symptoms after 2 months
	Secondary outcome
	Mortality up to 6 months
	Outcome assessment schedule: Participants assessed by primary medicine service while hospitalized, with clinic follow-up at 2, 4, 8, and 10 weeks, then monthly up to 3 years.
Notes	Date of study: 1994
	Funding: Pfizer; Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: Not specified; role of pharmaceutical sponsor not specified
Risk of bias	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Mayanja-Kizza 1998 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	1:1 randomization; method of randomization not specified
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Used sealed envelopes for allocation concealment
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Attrition of 8/58 participants by 6 months
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on primary and secondary outcome measures.
Other bias	Unclear risk	Sponsored by pharmaceutical company; role of company in conduct of study not specified

Molloy 2018

Methods	ds Study type: unblinded RCT		
	Setting: 9 hospitals in Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania, and Cameroon		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: ≥ 18 years of age; positive CSF India ink or cryptococcal antigen		
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; prior cryptococcal meningitis; > 1 dose of AmBd or > 1 treatment dose (1200 mg) or > 7 low doses (200 mg) of fluconazole in the 2 weeks before screening; previous adverse reaction to study drugs; taking contraindicated concomitant drugs; ALT > 5 times upper limit of normal or ANC < 500×10^6 /L included as late exclusion criteria after screening; patients with elevated creatinine > 220μ mol/L the day after enrolment despite hydration were withdrawn from the study		
	Number randomized: 721 (43 excluded from all analyses because of predefined late exclusion crite- ria (30), immediately withdrew consent (3), cryptococcal meningitis negative (7), or prior cryptococcal meningitis (3))		
	Age: median 36 years (fluconazole and flucytosine), 38 years (combined 1-week AmBd arms), 37 years (combined 2-week AmBd arms)		
	Gender: 53% male (fluconazole and flucytosine), 61% (combined 1-week AmBd arms), 58% (combined 2-week AmBd arms)		
	CD4 T-cell count: median 25 cells/μL (fluconazole and flucytosine), 26 cells/μL (combined 1-week AmBd arms), 26 cells/μL (combined 2-week AmBd arms)		
	Baseline ART: 59% were taking or had previously taken ART overall		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.



Molloy 2018 (Continued)

Baseline GCS/AMS: 24% with GCS < 15 (fluconazole and flucytosine), 20% (combined 1-week AmBd arms), 28% (combined 2-week AmBd arms)

Interventions	Intervention
	1. Fluconazole 1200 mg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks
	2. AmBd 1 mg/kg/day for 1 week and fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks
	3. AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 7 days with fluconazole 1200 mg/day on days 8 to 14
	4. AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks
	5. AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks
	Consolidation: fluconazole 800 mg/day for 4 weeks then 400 mg/day for 4 weeks then 200 mg/day thereafter
	Postdiagnosis ART: initiated at 4 weeks in ART-naive participants or participants who had discontin- ued therapy
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled at days 7 and 14; additional daily therapeutic LPs for participants with high CSF pressure until pressure was controlled
	Laboratory monitoring: laboratory blood tests monitored regularly during the first 2 weeks.
Outcomes	Primary outcome
	10-week mortality
	Secondary outcomes
	Mortality at 2 and 4 weeks
	Rate of decrease in log CSF fungal counts over 2 weeks
	DAIDS grade 3/4 adverse events
	Outcome assessment schedule: participants were followed daily in hospital and up to 10 weeks.
Notes	Date of study: 2013 to 2016
	Funding: Medical Research Council (UK); France REcherche Nord & Sud Sida-HIV et Hépatites (France)
	Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Block randomization in 2:1:1:1:1 ratio (2 for fluconazole and flucytosine arm) using computer-generated randomization with block sizes of 18, 24, and 30 at each site
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Trial pharmacist and clinician responsible for randomising participants by tak- ing sealed envelopes containing randomized treatment sequentially
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Molloy 2018 (Continued)

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Low loss to follow-up (4/678)
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	No other bias

Nussbaum 2010

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT		
	Setting: 1 referral hospital in Malawi		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: HIV infected; ART-naive; diagnosed by CSF India ink or CrAg test Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; previous cryptococcal meningitis; ALT > 200 IU/mL; ANC < 500 x 10 ⁶ /L; platelets < 50 x 10 ⁶ /L; contraindication to any study medication		
	Number randomized: 43 (3 excluded after randomization for breastfeeding, false diagnosis due to sampling error, and positive CSF CrAg but negative confirmatory culture)		
	Age: median 36 years		
	Gender: 66% male		
	CD4 T-cell count: median 21 cells/µL		
	Baseline ART: none (excluded if prior ART)		
	Baseline GCS/AMS: GCS < 15 in 39% of participants		
Interventions	Intervention		
	 Fluconazole 1200 mg/day for 2 weeks Fluconazole 1200 mg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks 		
	Consolidation: fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks, then 400 mg/day for 8 weeks, then 200 mg/day maintenance dose		
	Postdiagnosis ART: ART initiated at 4 weeks.		
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled on days 1, 3, 7, and 14 and as clinically indicated		
	Laboratory monitoring: at least 3 blood counts per week and AST, ALT, and chemistries once weekly for 2 weeks, with ALT and AST repeated at weeks 4, 6, and 10		
Outcomes	Primary outcome		
	Rate of CSF fungal clearance in first 2 weeks		
	Secondary outcomes		
	 Mortality at 2 and 10 weeks Drug safety Serious adverse events 		
	Outcome assessment schedule: daily while hospitalized, then scheduled for visit at 4 weeks to initiate ART, then followed until 10 weeks		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Nussbaum 2010 (Continued)

Notes

Date of study: 2008 (study terminated early when data safety monitoring committee found primary endpoint was reached in interim analysis)

Funding: Medical Research Council (UK); UNC Center for AIDS Research

Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: none reported.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	1:1 randomization stratified by GCS < 15 using random computer-generated list with block size of 8
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not specified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment was not blinded.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes unblinded by assessors
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Vital status known for most participants (39/40) at 10 weeks
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes.
Other bias	Low risk	None noted.

Pappas 2009

Methods	Study type: unblinded RCT		
	Setting: 8 hospitals in the USA and 5 hospitals in Thailand		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: ≥ 13 years of age; positive CSF culture		
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; prior cryptococcal meningitis; concomitant CNS illness that would interfere with assessment of response; creatinine clearance < 50 mL/min; ALT > 5 x ULN; coma; anticipated survival < 14 days; previous antifungal therapy > 3 days; untreated active tuberculosis		
	Number randomized: 143 (8 excluded for negative CSF culture, withdrawal of consent before treat- ment, poor renal function, prior episode of cryptococcosis, or receipt of substantial antifungal therapy or rifampicin before study enrolment)		
	Age: mean 37 years (AmBd); 36 years (AmBd and fluconazole 400 mg); 36 years (AmBd and fluconazole 800 mg)		
	Gender: 65% male (AmBd); 65% male (AmBd and fluconazole 400 mg); 64% male (AmBd and flucona- zole 800 mg)		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Pappas 2009 (Continued)	CD4 T-cell count: median 18 cells/μL (AmBd); 17 cells/μL (AmBd and fluconazole 400 mg/day); 15 cells/		
	μL (AmBd and fluconaz	cole 800 mg/day)	
	Baseline ART: 8% of pa	articipants on ART at baseline	
	Baseline GCS/AMS: exe	cluded if in coma	
Interventions	Intervention		
	• AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/da	y for 2 weeks	
	 AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/da 	y and fluconazole 400 mg/day for 2 weeks	
	 AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/da 	y and fluconazole 800 mg/day for 2 weeks	
	Consolidation: flucona 800 mg/day for 8 week	azole 400 mg/day for 8 weeks in intervention 1 and intervention 2; fluconazole s in intervention 3	
	Postdiagnosis ART: pa ART before 42 days; at and fluconazole 800 m	rticipants on baseline ART continued; investigators discouraged initiation of day 42, 17% (AmBd), 4% (AmBd and fluconazole 400 mg/day), and 22% (AmBd g/day) had initiated ART.	
	Lumbar puncture scho LP; scheduled on days	edule: encouraged aggressive management of raised intracranial pressure with 14 and, if still positive, repeated on days 42 or 70	
	Laboratory monitorin	g: monitoring schedule not specified	
Outcomes	Primary outcomes		
	Composite of surviv	al, neurological stability, and negative CSF culture at 2 weeks	
	Severe or life-threat	ening treatment-related toxicities by day 100	
	Secondary outcomes:	none	
	Outcome assessment through 100 days.	schedule: participants evaluated regularly while hospitalized, then followed	
Notes	Date of study: 2005 to	2007	
	Funding: National Inst	itutes of Health (USA); fluconazole donated by Pfizer	
	Declaration of conflic maceutical companies	t of interest by authors: Authors declared research support from several phar- including manufacturer of study drug.	
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera-	Low risk	1:1:1 randomization via adaptive randomization system stratified by country	

tion (selection bias)		and CSF opening pressures (≤ 250 mm versus > 250 mm)
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not specified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinded data review committee adjudicated study data related to clinical and safety outcomes.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Pappas 2009 (Continued)

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes reported for all participants.
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Authors reported on all primary clinical and safety outcomes.
Other bias	Unclear risk	Several authors received research support from pharmaceutical companies, including manufacturer of study drug.

van der Horst 1997

Methods	Study type: 2-step, double-blinded RCT		
	Setting: Multiple hospitals in the USA		
Participants	Inclusion criteria: ≥ 13 years of age; positive CSF culture		
	Exclusion criteria: pregnant or breastfeeding; prior cryptococcal meningitis or more than 1 mg/kg amphotericin B or 1200 mg fluconazole, itraconazole, or ketoconazole for current episode; taking medications that affected metabolism or decreased absorption of itraconazole; receiving ≥ 50 mg/day hydrocortisone; history of acute hepatitis or moderate-to-severe haematologic, renal, or hepatic dysfunction; comatose; unable to take medications by mouth or nasogastric tube; had a history of other opportunistic infections requiring treatment during the first 2 weeks		
	Number randomized: 408 randomized in step 1 randomization to AmBd and flucytosine or AmBd (27 ineligible due to negative CSF culture (19), receiving corticosteroids or rifampicin (4), prior cryptococcal disease (2), HIV-negative (1), or pregnant (1)); 306 randomized in step 2 to fluconazole or itraconazole maintenance of stable or improved in step 1, receipt minimal dose of 7.5 mg/kg AmBd, ability to take oral medications, hepatic enzymes less than 10 times ULN and bilirubin less than 2 times ULN		
	Age: median 37 years		
	Gender: 87% male (AmBd and flucytosine); 91% male (AmBd)		
	CD4 T-cell count: median 20 cells/ μ L (AmBd and flucytosine); 18 cells/ μ L (AmBd)		
	Baseline ART: 27% (AmBd and flucytosine); 26% (AmBd)		
	Baseline GCS/AMS: 89% with normal mental status		
Interventions	Step 1 induction		
	 AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day and flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day 		
	Step 2 consolidation		
	 Fluconazole 400 mg/day for 8 weeks with 800 mg/day loading dose for first 2 days Itraconazole 400 mg/day for 8 weeks with 600 mg/day loading dose for first 3 days 		
	Postdiagnosis ART: not specified		
	Lumbar puncture schedule: scheduled lumbar punctures at weeks 2, 4, and 10		
	Laboratory monitoring: monitoring twice weekly for 2 weeks; weekly for next 2 weeks; then every 2 weeks until 10 weeks		
Outcomes	Primary outcomes		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Notes

van der Horst 1997 (Continued)

- Negative CSF culture at 2 weeks and 10 weeks
- Stable or improved fever, headache, and meningismus at 2 weeks and 10 weeks

Secondary outcome

• Drug toxicity

Outcome assessment schedule: clinical monitoring twice weekly for 2 weeks; weekly for next 2 weeks; then every 2 weeks until 10 weeks

Date of study: 1991 to 1994

Funding: National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, AIDS Clinical Trial Group, National Center for Research Services, and Janssen Research Foundation; drugs provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb (AmBd), Roche Laboratories (flucytosine), Roerig-Pfizer (fluconazole), and Janssen Research Foundation (itraconazole)

Declaration of conflict of interest by authors: research supported by several pharmaceutical companies as well as public sources

Risk of bias Bias Support for judgement Authors' judgement Random sequence genera-Unclear risk Method of randomization not specified; stratified by altered mental status and tion (selection bias) institution in step 1 and baseline mental status and therapy received in step 2 Allocation concealment Unclear risk Not specified (selection bias) **Blinding of participants** Low risk Double-blinded and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes Unclear risk Not specified Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes Incomplete outcome data Low risk Outcomes reported for all participants. (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re-I ow risk Authors reported on all primary and secondary outcomes. porting bias) Other bias Unclear risk Research funded in part by pharmaceutical companies, including manufacturer of study drug.

Abbreviations: ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; AMS: altered mental status; ANC: absolute neutrophil count; ART: antiretroviral therapy; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; CBC: complete blood count; CD4: cluster of differentiation 4; CNS: central nervous system; CrAg: cryptococcal antigen; Cr: creatinine; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; DAIDS: Division of AIDS; GCS: Glasgow coma scale; K: potassium; LFT: liver function test; LP: lumbar puncture; Na: sodium; RCT: randomized controlled trial

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Study	Reason for exclusion				
Bicanic 2008	Compared 2 interventions of the same combination antifungal drugs, 2 weeks of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/ day or AmBd 1 mg/kg/day both combined with flucytosine 100 mg/kg/day				
Bisson 2013	Small trial evaluating early (within 7 days) versus delayed (after 4 weeks) ART initiation following diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis; all participants received standard induction treatment of AmBd 0.7 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks				
Boulware 2014b	RCT comparing early versus delayed ART initiation in participants treated with AmBd and flucona- zole; patients were enrolled 1 week after initiating therapy, before which an estimated 12% of pa- tients had already died				
Bozzette 1991	RCT with participants randomized to maintenance therapy				
Brouwer 2007	Subanalysis of included RCT, Brouwer 2004, comparing participants treated with IV versus oral for- mulations of flucytosine				
Chariyalertsak 2002	RCT with participants randomized to consolidation therapy, not induction therapy				
Chetchotisakd 2004	RCT of primary prophylaxis against cryptococcal meningitis, not induction therapy				
Chotmongkol 1997	RCT of consolidation therapy with itraconazole versus no therapy after CSF culture clearance				
Chotmongkol 2005	Small study of 40 participants in which participants in study arms were not well matched for sever- ity of disease (45% in AmBd plus rifampicin arm versus 0% in AmBd arm had positive <i>Cryptococcus</i> blood cultures at baseline); important baseline characteristics including CD4 T-cell count were not described				
de Gans 1992	Small study of 25 participants randomized to itraconazole versus AmBd and flucytosine for induc- tion therapy where 2/14 participants in itraconazole group crossed over within 4 hours and an ad- ditional 2 participants were lost to follow-up				
Hamill 2010	Included re-treatment cases of cryptococcal meningitis				
Jadhav 2010	Excluded patients who had not received a minimum of 8 doses of liposomal amphotericin treat- ment, introducing immortality bias				
Joly 1996a	Included patients with relapsed cryptococcal meningitis				
Joly 1996b	Included patients with relapsed cryptococcal meningitis				
Larsen 1990	Small study of 20 participants comparing fluconazole to AmBd and flucytosine, which was exclud- ed for several reasons: did not do intention-to-treat analysis; excluded significant number of par- ticipants (6/26) from analysis after enrolment; enrolled non-HIV-positive patient; final comparison groups poorly matched on CD4 count				
Makadzange 2010	Evaluated timing of ART (within 72 hours of cryptococcal meningitis diagnosis or after 10 weeks); all participants received fluconazole induction therapy; a large proportion of patients died before enrolment, introducing a risk of immortality bias				
Mfinanga 2015	RCT with participants randomized to intervention including cryptococcal antigen screening for pre- vention of cryptococcal meningitis				
Mootsikapun 2003	RCT with participants randomized to consolidation therapy, not induction therapy				
Newton 2002	Small RCT of adjunctive acetazolamide that did not limit inclusion to patients with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis				

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study	Reason for exclusion					
Pappas 2004	Included patients with relapsed cryptococcal meningitis					
Powderly 1992	RCT of secondary prophylaxis for prevention of recurrent cryptococcal meningitis					
Powderly 1995	RCT of primary prophylaxis for fungal infections					
Rhein 2016	Initially was a dose escalation study of adjunctive sertraline as therapy for cryptococcal meningitis; investigators compared outcomes to historic controls					
Saag 1991	RCT that included patients with relapsed cryptococcal meningitis					
Saag 1999	RCT of consolidation therapy for cryptococcal meningitis					
Sharkey 1996	Small RCT comparing AmBd with different doses of liposomal amphotericin B in which CD4 count and other baseline characteristics were not well matched between groups					
Tansuphaswadikul 2006	Small RCT comparing 1-week and 2-week induction therapy with AmBd with very high loss to fol- low-up (33%) by 10 weeks, which could bias mortality results					
Techapornroong 2007	Small RCT comparing daily and alternate-day AmBd induction therapy with very high loss to fol- low-up (25%) by 3 months, which could bias mortality results					
Vaidhya 2015	RCT comparing AmBd with AmBd and fluconazole that did not include any primary or secondary outcomes (mortality, early fungicidal activity, or adverse events)					
Vibhagool 2003	RCT of secondary prophylaxis for cryptococcal meningitis					
Villanueva-Lozano 2018	Small RCT of 12 participants comparing amphotericin and fluconazole with adjunctive sertraline and amphotericin and fluconazole with placebo that had significant imbalance in CD4 count be- tween treatment arms; also excluded one patient from analysis for not receiving per-protocol treat- ment					

Abbreviations: AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; ART: antiretroviral therapy; CD4: cluster of differentiation 4; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; IV: intravenous; RCT: randomized controlled trial

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

ISRCTN72509687 High dose AMBISOME on a fluconazole backbone for cryptococcal meningitis induction therapy in Trial name or title sub-Saharan Africa Methods Phase 3 non-inferiority RCT from 6 sites in South Africa, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Malawi, and Uganda with planned enrolment of 850 participants Participants Age ≥ 18 years with first episode of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis Interventions (i) Single dose of 10 mg/kg IV liposomal AmB with 2 weeks 5FC 100 mg/kg/day and FLU 1200 mg/ day (ii) 1 week of AmBd 1 mg/kg/day and 5FC 100 mg/kg/day followed by FLU 1200 mg/day days 8 to 14 Outcomes Primary: 10-week mortality Starting date 2017

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



ISRCTN72509687 (Continued)

Contact information	joseph.jarvis@lshtm.ac.uk
Notes	Original protocol was to compare short-course L-AmB and 2 weeks of AmBd with FLU as a second drug for both regimens; however, protocol was modified after results from Molloy 2018 study be- came available showing mortality benefit with 1 week of AmBd and 5FC followed by FLU days 8 to 14.

NCT00885703						
Trial name or title	High-dose fluconazole for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-positive individuals					
Methods	Phase 1/2 dose-finding RCT of high-dose fluconazole for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis with or without AmBd 0.7 to 1.0 mg/kg/day					
Participants	Age ≥ 16 years with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis					
Interventions	Multistage study of high-dose FLU with or without AmBd					
Outcomes	Primary: discontinuation of study-provided FLU or AmBd; qualitative and quantitative CSF culture results at entry, 2 weeks, and through 24 weeks; survival up to 24 weeks					
Starting date	2010					
Contact information	umeshlalloo@gmail.com					
Notes	Completed study with results not published in peer-reviewed journal but presented at Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2018					

NCT01802385

Trial name or title	Adjunctive sertraline for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (ASTRO-CM)				
Methods	Phase 3 RCT				
Participants	≥ 18 years of age with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis				
Interventions	2 weeks of AmBd 0.7 to 1.0 mg/kg/day and FLU 800 to 1200 mg/day plus sertraline 400 mg/day for 2 weeks then sertraline 200 mg/day for 12 weeks then tapered over 3 weeks versus 2 weeks of AmBd 0.7 to 1.0 mg/kg/day and FLU 800 to 1200 mg/day				
Outcomes	Primary: 18-week survival				
Starting date	2015				
Contact information	david.meya@gmail.com				
Notes	Study terminated during interim monitoring for futility, results not published in peer-reviewed journal but presented at Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2018.				

Abbreviations: 5FC: flucytosine; AmBd: amphotericin B deoxycholate; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; FLU: fluconazole; IV: intravenous; L-AmB: liposomal amphotericin; RCT: randomized controlled trial

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

DATA AND ANALYSES

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size	
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
1.1 2 weeks	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.55 [0.30, 1.03]	
1.2 10 weeks	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.62 [0.42, 0.93]	
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	186	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.05 [-0.02, 0.12]	
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
3.1 Anaemia	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.31 [0.16, 0.60]	
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.58 [0.18, 1.93]	
3.3 Neutropenia	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.93 [0.43, 2.03]	
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.31 [0.50, 3.39]	
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	228	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.05 [0.63, 14.81]	

Comparison 1. One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	AmBd+5FC		Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M	H, Random, 95%	CI		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 2 weeks							
Molloy 2018	13/113	24/115	_			100%	0.55[0.3,1.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115	-			100%	0.55[0.3,1.03]
Total events: 13 (short AmBd+5FC), 24	(AmBd+5FC)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.87(P=0.06)							
1.1.2 10 weeks							
Molloy 2018	27/113	44/115		- 		100%	0.62[0.42,0.93]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115		•		100%	0.62[0.42,0.93]
Total events: 27 (short AmBd+5FC), 44	(AmBd+5FC)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.29(P=0.02)							
	Favours	s short AmBd+5FC	0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5 10 F	avours AmBd+5FC	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)
Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+5FC AmBd+5FC		Bd+5FC	Mean D	Mean Difference		t Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Randor	n, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
Molloy 2018	98	-0.4 (0.3)	88	-0.5 (0.3)			1009	% 0.05[-0.02,0.12]
Total ***	98		88			•	100	% 0.05[-0.02,0.12]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.33(P=0.18)								
		Far	vours sho	rt AmBd+5FC	-0.4 -0.2	0 0.2	0.4 Favou	rs AmBd+5FC

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.3.1 Anaemia					
Molloy 2018	10/113	33/115		100%	0.31[0.16,0.6]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115	$\overline{\bullet}$	100%	0.31[0.16,0.6]
Total events: 10 (short AmBd+5FC), 33	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=3.5(P=0)					
1.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Molloy 2018	4/113	7/115		100%	0.58[0.18,1.93]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115		100%	0.58[0.18,1.93]
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC), 7 (A	mBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.88(P=0.38)					
1.3.3 Neutropenia					
Molloy 2018	11/113	12/115	<mark></mark>	100%	0.93[0.43,2.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115		100%	0.93[0.43,2.03]
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+5FC), 12	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.18(P=0.86)					
1.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	9/113	7/115	— <mark>—</mark> —	100%	1.31[0.5,3.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115	-	100%	1.31[0.5,3.39]
Total events: 9 (short AmBd+5FC), 7 (A	mBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.55(P=0.58)					
1.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Molloy 2018	6/113	2/115	——————————————————————————————————————	100%	3.05[0.63,14.81]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	115		100%	3.05[0.63,14.81]
Total events: 6 (short AmBd+5FC), 2 (A	mBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.39(P=0.17)					
	Favours	s short AmBd+5FC	02 0.1 1 10 50	Favours AmBd+5FC	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

-

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.52 [0.28, 0.97]
1.2 10 weeks	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.58 [0.39, 0.86]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	192	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.07 [-0.14, -0.00]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.36 [0.18, 0.71]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.29 [0.10, 0.85]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.49, 2.51]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.42, 2.45]
3.5 LFT abnormality	1	227	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.34, 3.03]

Comparison 2. One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 2 weeks					
Molloy 2018	13/113	25/114	— <u> </u>	100%	0.52[0.28,0.97]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114		100%	0.52[0.28,0.97]
Total events: 13 (short AmBd+5FC), 2	5 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.05(P=0.04)					
2.1.2 10 weeks					
Molloy 2018	27/113	47/114		100%	0.58[0.39,0.86]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114	◆	100%	0.58[0.39,0.86]
Total events: 27 (short AmBd+5FC), 4	7 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.7(P=0.01)					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0.	07, df=1 (P=0.79), I ² =	=0%			
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.05 0.2 1 5 20	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Cochrane

Library

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+5FC AmBd+FLU			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rand	lom, 95% CI			Random, 95% CI
Molloy 2018	98	-0.4 (0.3)	94	-0.4 (0.2)		-			100%	-0.07[-0.14,-0]
Total ***	98		94						100%	-0.07[-0.14,-0]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=1.98(P=0.05)										
		Fav	vours sho	rt AmBd+5FC	-0.4	-0.2	0 0.2	0.4	Favours AmBd+I	FLU

Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
2.3.1 Anaemia					
Molloy 2018	10/113	28/114	- <mark></mark> -	100%	0.36[0.18,0.71]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114	$\overline{\bullet}$	100%	0.36[0.18,0.71]
Total events: 10 (short AmBd+5FC), 28	8 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.97(P=0)					
2.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Molloy 2018	4/113	14/114	— <u>—</u> —	100%	0.29[0.1,0.85]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114		100%	0.29[0.1,0.85]
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC), 14	(AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.26(P=0.02)					
2.3.3 Neutropenia					
Molloy 2018	11/113	10/114	<mark></mark>	100%	1.11[0.49,2.51]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114	-	100%	1.11[0.49,2.51]
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+5FC), 10) (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.25(P=0.8)					
2.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	9/113	9/114	— <mark>—</mark> —	100%	1.01[0.42,2.45]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114		100%	1.01[0.42,2.45]
Total events: 9 (short AmBd+5FC), 9 (A	AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.02(P=0.98)					
2.3.5 LFT abnormality					
Molloy 2018	6/113	6/114	— <mark>—</mark> —	100%	1.01[0.34,3.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	114	-	100%	1.01[0.34,3.03]
Total events: 6 (short AmBd+5FC), 6 (A	AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.02(P=0.99)					
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC 0.01	. 0.1 1 10 10	^{D0} Favours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

-



Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl					M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Test for subgroup differences: Chi	_			1					
	Favours short AmBd+5FC			0.1	1	10	100	Favours AmBd+ELL	

Comparison 3. One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.20, 0.63]
1.2 10 weeks	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.49 [0.34, 0.72]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	179	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.15, -0.01]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.41 [0.21, 0.82]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.36 [0.12, 1.09]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.54 [0.62, 3.84]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.77 [0.61, 5.11]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	224	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.95 [0.61, 14.29]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	short AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 2 weeks						
Molloy 2018	13/113	36/111	- <mark></mark> -		100%	0.35[0.2,0.63]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111	•		100%	0.35[0.2,0.63]
Total events: 13 (short AmBd+5FC), 36	6 (short AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=3.52(P=0)						
3.1.2 10 weeks						
Molloy 2018	27/113	54/111			100%	0.49[0.34,0.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111	•		100%	0.49[0.34,0.72]
Total events: 27 (short AmBd+5FC), 54	(short AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.05 0.2 1	5 20	Favours short AmBd+	FLU

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	short AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio					Weight Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Random, 95% CI				M-H, Random, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=3.66(P=0)								
	Favou	0.05	0.2	1	5	20	Favours short AmBd+FLU	

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+5FC short AmBd+FLU			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ran	dom, 95% CI			Random, 95% Cl
Molloy 2018	98	-0.4 (0.3)	81	-0.4 (0.2)		-			100%	-0.08[-0.15,-0.01]
Total ***	98		81						100%	-0.08[-0.15,-0.01]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=2.23(P=0.03)										
		Fav	ours sho	rt AmBd+5FC	-0.4	-0.2	0 0.2	0.4	Favours sho	ort AmBd+FLU

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	short AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI	
3.3.1 Anaemia						
Molloy 2018	10/113	24/111		100%	0.41[0.21,0.82]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111	•	100%	0.41[0.21,0.82]	
Total events: 10 (short AmBd+5FC), 24	l (short AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=2.54(P=0.01)						
3.3.2 Renal dysfunction						
Molloy 2018	4/113	11/111		100%	0.36[0.12,1.09]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111		100%	0.36[0.12,1.09]	
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC), 11	(short AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.81(P=0.07)						
3.3.3 Neutropenia						
Molloy 2018	11/113	7/111		100%	1.54[0.62,3.84]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111	-	100%	1.54[0.62,3.84]	
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+5FC), 7	(short AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.35)						
3.3.4 Hypokalaemia						
Molloy 2018	9/113	5/111		100%	1.77[0.61,5.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111		100%	1.77[0.61,5.11]	
Total events: 9 (short AmBd+5FC), 5 (s	hort AmBd+FLU)					
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.01 0.1 1 10 100	Favours short AmBd	+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	short AmBd+FLU		Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Random, 9	5% CI		Ν	1-H, Random, 95% Cl
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.05(P=0.29)									
3.3.5 ALT abnormality									
Molloy 2018	6/113	2/111						100%	2.95[0.61,14.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	111						100%	2.95[0.61,14.29]
Total events: 6 (short AmBd+5FC), 2 (s	short AmBd+FLU)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.34(P=0.18)									
	Favour	s short AmBd+5FC	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours short AmBd+FI	U

Comparison 4. One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size	
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
1.1 2 weeks	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.35, 1.13]	
1.2 10 weeks	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.68 [0.47, 0.99]	
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	280	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.18 [-0.24, -0.12]	
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
3.1 Anaemia	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.81 [0.79, 4.13]	
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.72 [0.24, 2.22]	
3.3 Neutropenia	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.48, 1.88]	
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	5.97 [1.65, 21.63]	
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.99 [0.66, 6.03]	

Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	5FC+FLU		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Random, 9	5% CI			M-H, Random, 95% Cl
4.1.1 2 weeks									
Molloy 2018	13/113	41/225		_				100%	0.63[0.35,1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225						100%	0.63[0.35,1.13]
Total events: 13 (short AmBd+5F0	C), 41 (5FC+FLU)								
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.05	0.2	1	5	20	Favours 5FC+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	5FC+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.55(P=0.12)					
4.1.2 10 weeks					
Molloy 2018	27/113	79/225		100%	0.68[0.47,0.99]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225	◆	100%	0.68[0.47,0.99]
Total events: 27 (short AmBd+5FC), 79	(5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.02(P=0.04)					
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.05 0.2 1 5	²⁰ Favours 5FC+FLU	

Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short /	AmBd+5FC	5F	C+FLU	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% Cl
Molloy 2018	98	-0.4 (0.3)	182	-0.3 (0.2)		100%	-0.18[-0.24,-0.12]
Total ***	98		182		•	100%	-0.18[-0.24,-0.12]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=6.3(P<0.0001)							
		Fav	ours sho	rt AmBd+5FC	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours 5FC	+FLU

Favours short AmBd+5FC

Favours 5FC+FLU

Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 One week of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	5FC+FLU	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Random, 95	5% CI			M-H, Random, 95% CI
4.3.1 Anaemia								
Molloy 2018	10/113	11/225			-		100%	1.81[0.79,4.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225		-	•		100%	1.81[0.79,4.13]
Total events: 10 (short AmBd+5FC), 11	L (5FC+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.41(P=0.16)								
4.3.2 Renal dysfunction								
Molloy 2018	4/113	11/225		— <mark>—</mark> —			100%	0.72[0.24,2.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225					100%	0.72[0.24,2.22]
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC), 11	(5FC+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l ² =100%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.56(P=0.57)								
4.3.3 Neutropenia								
Molloy 2018	11/113	23/225					100%	0.95[0.48,1.88]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225		•			100%	0.95[0.48,1.88]
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.01	0.1 1	10	100	Favours 5FC+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	short AmBd+5FC	5FC+FLU		Risk F	Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Rando	om, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+5FC), 2	3 (5FC+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.14(P=0.89))							
4.3.4 Hypokalaemia								
Molloy 2018	9/113	3/225					100%	5.97[1.65,21.63]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225					100%	5.97[1.65,21.63]
Total events: 9 (short AmBd+5FC), 3 (5FC+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.72(P=0.01))							
4.3.5 ALT abnormality								
Molloy 2018	6/113	6/225					100%	1.99[0.66,6.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	113	225		-	$\overline{\bullet}$		100%	1.99[0.66,6.03]
Total events: 6 (short AmBd+5FC), 6 (5FC+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.22(P=0.22)	1							
	Favours	short AmBd+5FC	0.01	0.1 1	10	100	Favours 5EC+ELU	

Comparison 5. Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.87 [0.56, 1.37]
1.2 10 weeks	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.69, 1.23]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	270	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.23 [0.17, 0.29]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.17 [0.09, 0.32]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.32, 2.02]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.98 [0.51, 1.90]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.22 [0.06, 0.83]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	340	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.53 [0.31, 7.48]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
5.1.1 2 weeks					
Molloy 2018	41/225	24/115	_ <mark></mark> _	100%	0.87[0.56,1.37]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115	-	100%	0.87[0.56,1.37]
Total events: 41 (5FC+FLU), 24 (AmBd+	-5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.59(P=0.56)					
5.1.2 10 weeks					
Molloy 2018	79/225	44/115		100%	0.92[0.69,1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115		100%	0.92[0.69,1.23]
Total events: 79 (5FC+FLU), 44 (AmBd+	-5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.58(P=0.56)					
			01 02 05 1 2 5 10		

Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Favours 5FC+FLU 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours AmBd+5FC

Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	51	5FC+FLU		Bd+5FC	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% CI
Molloy 2018	182	-0.3 (0.2)	88	-0.5 (0.3)	-	100%	0.23[0.17,0.29]
Total ***	182		88		•	100%	0.23[0.17,0.29]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=7.48(P<0.000	01)						
			Fav	ours 5FC+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Ar	nBd+5FC

Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU	AmBd+5FC		Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H	l, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
5.3.1 Anaemia						
Molloy 2018	11/225	33/115		-	100%	0.17[0.09,0.32]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115	-	►	100%	0.17[0.09,0.32]
Total events: 11 (5FC+FLU), 33 (AmBd+5	SFC)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=5.38(P<0.0001)						
5.3.2 Renal dysfunction						
Molloy 2018	11/225	7/115			100%	0.8[0.32,2.02]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115		•	100%	0.8[0.32,2.02]
Total events: 11 (5FC+FLU), 7 (AmBd+5F	FC)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.47(P=0.64)						
		Favours 5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1	1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours AmBd+5FC	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU	AmBd+5FC		Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	М-Н, І	Random, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% CI
5.3.3 Neutropenia							
Molloy 2018	23/225	12/115		- <mark></mark> -		100%	0.98[0.51,1.9]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115		+		100%	0.98[0.51,1.9]
Total events: 23 (5FC+FLU), 12 (AmBd	+5FC)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)							
5.3.4 Hypokalaemia							
Molloy 2018	3/225	7/115				100%	0.22[0.06,0.83]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115				100%	0.22[0.06,0.83]
Total events: 3 (5FC+FLU), 7 (AmBd+5	FC)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.23(P=0.03)							
5.3.5 ALT abnormality							
Molloy 2018	6/225	2/115		_		100%	1.53[0.31,7.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	115				100%	1.53[0.31,7.48]
Total events: 6 (5FC+FLU), 2 (AmBd+5	FC)						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	P<0.0001); I²=100%						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.53(P=0.6)							
		Favours 5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1	1 10	100 F	avours AmBd+5FC	

Comparison 6. Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.53, 1.29]
1.2 10 weeks	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.85 [0.64, 1.13]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	276	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.11 [0.05, 0.17]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.20 [0.10, 0.39]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.40 [0.19, 0.85]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.57, 2.36]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.17 [0.05, 0.61]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.51 [0.17, 1.54]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU	AmBd+FLU	I	Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	М-Н, Б	andom, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% Cl
6.1.1 2 weeks							
Molloy 2018	41/225	25/114				100%	0.83[0.53,1.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114				100%	0.83[0.53,1.29]
Total events: 41 (5FC+FLU), 25 (AmBd+	FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.82(P=0.41)							
6.1.2 10 weeks							
Molloy 2018	79/225	47/114	-	 -		100%	0.85[0.64,1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114	-	◆		100%	0.85[0.64,1.13]
Total events: 79 (5FC+FLU), 47 (AmBd+	FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.12(P=0.26)							
		Favours 5FC+FLU	0.2 0.5	1 2	5 Fav	vours AmBd+FLU	

Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU		AmBd+FLU		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
Molloy 2018	182	-0.3 (0.2)	94	-0.4 (0.2)		100%	0.11[0.05,0.17]
Total ***	182		94		•	100%	0.11[0.05,0.17]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.91(P<0.00	01)						
			Fav	ours 5FC+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0	.2 Favours A	\mBd+FLU

Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 Two weeks of 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU	AmBd+FLU	FLU Risk Ratio		Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Ranc	lom, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% CI
6.3.1 Anaemia								
Molloy 2018	11/225	28/114					100%	0.2[0.1,0.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114		•			100%	0.2[0.1,0.39]
Total events: 11 (5FC+FLU), 28 (AmBd+	FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=4.79(P<0.0001))							
6.3.2 Renal dysfunction								
Molloy 2018	11/225	14/114					100%	0.4[0.19,0.85]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114		-			100%	0.4[0.19,0.85]
Total events: 11 (5FC+FLU), 14 (AmBd+	FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable				1				
		Favours 5FC+FLU	0.01	0.1	1 10	100	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	5FC+FLU AmBd+FLU		Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=2.39(P=0.02)					
6.3.3 Neutropenia					
Molloy 2018	23/225	10/114		100%	1.17[0.57,2.36]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114	+	100%	1.17[0.57,2.36]
Total events: 23 (5FC+FLU), 10 (AmBc	I+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.42(P=0.67)					
6.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	3/225	9/114	—— <mark>—</mark> ——	100%	0.17[0.05,0.61]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114		100%	0.17[0.05,0.61]
Total events: 3 (5FC+FLU), 9 (AmBd+F	EU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.71(P=0.01)					
6.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Molloy 2018	6/225	6/114		100%	0.51[0.17,1.54]
Subtotal (95% CI)	225	114		100%	0.51[0.17,1.54]
Total events: 6 (5FC+FLU), 6 (AmBd+F	LU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.2(P=0.23)					
		Favours 5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1 1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours AmBd+FLU	

Comparison 7. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd

Outcome or sub- group title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	3	612	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.70 [0.44, 1.11]
1.2 10 weeks	2	231	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.46, 0.95]
1.3 6 months	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.64 [0.46, 0.88]
2 Early fungicidal ac- tivity	2	225	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.15 [-0.26, -0.04]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 tox- icities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.54, 1.06]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.14, 6.89]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	4.46 [0.99, 20.10]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.64, 1.87]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Study or subgroup	AmBd +5FC	AmBd	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
7.1.1 2 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	1/16	2/16	+ +	4.08%	0.5[0.05,4.98]
Day 2013	15/100	25/99		64.81%	0.59[0.33,1.06]
van der Horst 1997	10/179	11/202	_	31.11%	1.03[0.45,2.36]
Subtotal (95% CI)	295	317		100%	0.7[0.44,1.11]
Total events: 26 (AmBd +5FC),	, 38 (AmBd)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1	21, df=2(P=0.55); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)				
7.1.2 10 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	1/16	3/16	↓ ↓ ↓	2.89%	0.33[0.04,2.87]
Day 2013	30/100	44/99	- <mark></mark> -	97.11%	0.68[0.47,0.98]
Subtotal (95% CI)	116	115	\bullet	100%	0.66[0.46,0.95]
Total events: 31 (AmBd +5FC),	, 47 (AmBd)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0	0.4, df=1(P=0.52); l ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.21(P=0.03)				
7.1.3 6 months					
Day 2013	34/100	53/99		100%	0.64[0.46,0.88]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	99	\bullet	100%	0.64[0.46,0.88]
Total events: 34 (AmBd +5FC),	, 53 (AmBd)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.7(P	=0.01)				

Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Favours AmBd+5FC 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours AmBd

Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	Am	Bd +5FC	4	AmBd		Mea	n Differer	ice		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ran	dom, 95%	CI			Random, 95% CI
Brouwer 2004	12	-0.5 (0.2)	14	-0.3 (0.2)		-				32.66%	-0.23[-0.37,-0.09]
Day 2013	100	-0.4 (0.1)	99	-0.3 (0.2)			.			67.34%	-0.11[-0.15,-0.07]
Total ***	112		113							100%	-0.15[-0.26,-0.04]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.55, df=1(P=0.11); I ² =60.75%											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.65(P=0.01)										
			Favou	rs AmBd+5FC	-0.4	-0.2	0	0.2	0.4	Favours AmBd	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	AmBd +5FC	AmBd	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
7.3.1 Anaemia					
Day 2013	35/100	46/99		100%	0.75[0.54,1.06]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	99	•	100%	0.75[0.54,1.06]
Total events: 35 (AmBd +5FC), 46 (Am	Bd)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.63(P=0.1)					
7.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Day 2013	2/100	2/99		100%	0.99[0.14,6.89]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	99		100%	0.99[0.14,6.89]
Total events: 2 (AmBd +5FC), 2 (AmBd)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.01(P=0.99)					
7.3.3 Neutropenia					
Day 2013	9/100	2/99		100%	4.46[0.99,20.1]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	99		100%	4.46[0.99,20.1]
Total events: 9 (AmBd +5FC), 2 (AmBd)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.94(P=0.05)					
7.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Day 2013	22/100	20/99		100%	1 09[0 64 1 87]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	20,00		100%	1.09[0.64.1.87]
Total events: 22 (AmBd +5EC), 20 (Am	Bd)		T	/	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	,				
Test for overall effect: 7=0 31(P=0 76)					
	Fai	ours AmBd+5FC	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	100 Favours AmBd	

Comparison 8. Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd

Outcome or sub- group title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	3	371	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.90 [0.45, 1.77]
1.2 10 weeks	3	371	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.55, 1.62]
1.3 6 months	1	198	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.85 [0.64, 1.13]
2 Early fungicidal ac- tivity	2	223	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.02 [-0.06, 0.02]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 tox- icities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Outcome or sub- group title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3.1 Anaemia	1	198	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.43, 0.91]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	198	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.14, 6.96]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	198	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	4.5 [1.00, 20.30]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	198	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.65 [0.34, 1.23]

Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+FLU	AmBd	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
8.1.1 2 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	5/16	2/16		17.55%	2.5[0.57,11.05]
Day 2013	20/99	25/99		66.29%	0.8[0.48,1.34]
Pappas 2009	3/96	3/45	← →	16.16%	0.47[0.1,2.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	211	160		100%	0.9[0.45,1.77]
Total events: 28 (AmBd+FLU), 30 (AmB	d)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.11; Chi ² =2.64, d	f=2(P=0.27); I ² =24.21	%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.32(P=0.75)					
8.1.2 10 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	7/16	3/16		16.85%	2.33[0.73,7.45]
Day 2013	33/99	44/99	— <u>—</u> —	56.73%	0.75[0.53,1.07]
Pappas 2009	13/96	7/45		26.42%	0.87[0.37,2.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	211	160		100%	0.94[0.55,1.62]
Total events: 53 (AmBd+FLU), 54 (AmB	d)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.1; Chi ² =3.39, df=	=2(P=0.18); I ² =41.06%	6			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.21(P=0.84)					
8.1.3 6 months					
Day 2013	45/99	53/99		100%	0.85[0.64,1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99	99	-	100%	0.85[0.64,1.13]
Total events: 45 (AmBd+FLU), 53 (AmBe	d)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.13(P=0.26)					
	Fav	ours AmBd+FLU	0.2 0.5 1 2 5	Favours AmBd	

Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	Am	Bd+FLU	1	AmBd	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% Cl
Brouwer 2004	11	-0.4 (0.2)	14	-0.3 (0.2)		8.88%	-0.08[-0.21,0.05]
Day 2013	99	-0.3 (0.1)	99	-0.3 (0.2)		91.12%	-0.01[-0.05,0.03]
			Favou	rs AmBd+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0	2 Favours AmBd	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	An	nBd+FLU	A	mBd		Mean	Differ	ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rand	om, 95	% CI			Random, 95% Cl
Total ***	110		113			•				100%	-0.02[-0.06,0.02]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.04, c	lf=1(P=0.3	1); l ² =4.29%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.81(P=0.4	2)										
			Favour	s AmBd+FLU	-0.2	-0.1	0	0.1	0.2	Favours AmBd	

Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+FLU	AmBd	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
8.3.1 Anaemia					
Day 2013	29/99	46/99	_ + _	100%	0.63[0.43,0.91]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99	99	•	100%	0.63[0.43,0.91]
Total events: 29 (AmBd+FLU), 46 (AmBc	1)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.43(P=0.02)					
8.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Day 2013	2/99	2/99		100%	1[0.14,6.96]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99	99		100%	1[0.14,6.96]
Total events: 2 (AmBd+FLU), 2 (AmBd)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
8.3.3 Neutropenia					
Day 2013	9/99	2/99	—— <mark>——</mark> ——	100%	4.5[1,20.3]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99	99		100%	4.5[1,20.3]
Total events: 9 (AmBd+FLU), 2 (AmBd)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.96(P=0.05)					
8.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Day 2013	13/99	20/99		100%	0.65[0.34,1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99	99		100%	0.65[0.34,1.23]
Total events: 13 (AmBd+FLU), 20 (AmBc	1)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.32(P=0.19)					
	Fay	ours AmBd+FLU	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	L ⁰⁰ Eavours AmBd	

Comparison 9. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	4		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	4	538	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.55, 1.16]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1.2 10 weeks	4	538	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.90 [0.69, 1.17]
1.36 months	1	199	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.75 [0.53, 1.06]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	4	474	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.09 [-0.14, -0.05]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	3	507	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.17 [0.89, 1.55]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	3	507	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.65 [0.31, 1.37]
3.3 Neutropenia	3	507	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.10 [0.61, 1.98]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	3	507	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.20 [0.63, 2.27]
3.5 ALT abnormality	2	308	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.33 [0.07, 1.60]

Analysis 9.1. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
9.1.1 2 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	1/15	5/16	↓ → ↓ →	3.36%	0.21[0.03,1.62]
Day 2013	15/100	20/99		37.24%	0.74[0.4,1.37]
Loyse 2012	1/21	8/58	┥───	3.39%	0.35[0.05,2.6]
Molloy 2018	24/115	25/114	— — —	56.01%	0.95[0.58,1.56]
Subtotal (95% CI)	251	287		100%	0.8[0.55,1.16]
Total events: 41 (AmBd+5FC), 58 (AmB	3d+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.88, df=	3(P=0.41); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.19(P=0.23)					
9.1.2 10 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	1/15	7/16	↓	1.81%	0.15[0.02,1.1]
Day 2013	30/100	33/99		35.3%	0.9[0.6,1.35]
Loyse 2012	6/21	16/58		10.7%	1.04[0.47,2.29]
Molloy 2018	44/115	47/114		52.18%	0.93[0.67,1.28]
Subtotal (95% CI)	251	287	•	100%	0.9[0.69,1.17]
Total events: 81 (AmBd+5FC), 103 (Am	nBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.01; Chi ² =3.35, o	df=3(P=0.34); I ² =10.3	34%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.78(P=0.43)					
9.1.3 6 months					
Day 2013	34/100	45/99		100%	0.75[0.53,1.06]
Subtotal (95% CI)	100	99		100%	0.75[0.53,1.06]
Total events: 34 (AmBd+5FC), 45 (AmB	3d+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l ² =100%				
	Fa	avours AmBd+5FC	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC n/N	AmBd+FLU n/N		Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.64(P=0.1)			1	i					1		
		Favours AmBd+5FC	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Analysis 9.2. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	Am	Bd+5FC	Am	Bd+FLU	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
Brouwer 2004	12	-0.5 (0.2)	11	-0.4 (0.2)		8.41%	-0.15[-0.29,-0.01]
Day 2013	100	-0.4 (0.1)	99	-0.3 (0.1)	-	50.8%	-0.1[-0.13,-0.07]
Loyse 2012	19	-0.4 (0.1)	51	-0.4 (0.3)		17.96%	-0.01[-0.1,0.08]
Molloy 2018	88	-0.5 (0.3)	94	-0.4 (0.2)		22.83%	-0.12[-0.19,-0.05]
Total ***	219		255		•	100%	-0.09[-0.14,-0.05]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =4.84, df=3(P=0.18); I ² =38.02%							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.19(P<0.0	001)						
Favours AmBo		rs AmBd+5FC	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Am	Bd+FLU		

Analysis 9.3. Comparison 9 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
9.3.1 Anaemia					
Day 2013	35/100	29/99		46.74%	1.19[0.8,1.79]
Loyse 2012	6/21	15/58		11.92%	1.1[0.49,2.47]
Molloy 2018	33/115	28/114	- a -	41.35%	1.17[0.76,1.8]
Subtotal (95% CI)	236	271	•	100%	1.17[0.89,1.55]
Total events: 74 (AmBd+5FC), 72 (Am	nBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.03, df	=2(P=0.99); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.12(P=0.26)				
9.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Day 2013	2/100	2/99		14.76%	0.99[0.14,6.89]
Loyse 2012	2/21	7/58		25.02%	0.79[0.18,3.5]
Molloy 2018	6/115	11/114		60.22%	0.54[0.21,1.41]
Subtotal (95% CI)	236	271		100%	0.65[0.31,1.37]
Total events: 10 (AmBd+5FC), 20 (Am	nBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.39, df	=2(P=0.82); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.13(P=0.26)				
9.3.3 Neutropenia					
Day 2013	9/100	9/99		45.07%	0.99[0.41,2.39]
Loyse 2012	0/21	0/58			Not estimable
Molloy 2018	12/115	10/114	— <mark>—</mark> —	54.93%	1.19[0.54,2.64]
Subtotal (95% CI)	236	271	•	100%	1.1[0.61,1.98]
Total events: 21 (AmBd+5FC), 19 (Am	nBd+FLU)				
	E	avours AmBd+5FC	0.05 0.2 1 5 20	Eavours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.09, c	df=1(P=0.76); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.3(P=0.76	5)				
0.2.4.lbmakalaamia					
9.3.4 нуроказаетта					
Day 2013	22/100	13/99	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	61.03%	1.68[0.9,3.14]
Loyse 2012	0/21	3/58	↓	4.6%	0.38[0.02,7.12]
Molloy 2018	7/115	9/114		34.37%	0.77[0.3,2]
Subtotal (95% CI)	236	271	-	100%	1.2[0.63,2.27]
Total events: 29 (AmBd+5FC), 25 (A	mBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.07; Chi ² =2.4	7, df=2(P=0.29); l ² =18.9	9%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.56(P=0.5	58)				
9.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Loyse 2012	0/21	0/58			Not estimable
Molloy 2018	2/115	6/114		100%	0.33[0.07,1.6]
Subtotal (95% CI)	136	172		100%	0.33[0.07,1.6]
Total events: 2 (AmBd+5FC), 6 (AmB	Bd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.37(P=0.1	.7)				
	Fa	avours AmBd+5FC	0.05 0.2 1 5 20	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Comparison 10. Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.84 [0.57, 1.23]
1.2 10 weeks	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.15 [0.93, 1.42]
1.36 months	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.18 [0.99, 1.41]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	450	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.1 [0.06, 0.14]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.08 [0.90, 1.29]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.59 [1.18, 2.16]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.72 [0.51, 1.02]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.69, 0.98]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	450	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.36 [0.94, 12.06]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 10.1. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+FLU +steroids	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
10.1.1 2 weeks					
Beardsley 2016	39/224	47/226	— <mark>——</mark> —	100%	0.84[0.57,1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226		100%	0.84[0.57,1.23]
Total events: 39 (AmBd+FLU+steroids)), 47 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.91(P=0.36)					
10.1.2 10 weeks					
Beardsley 2016	106/224	93/226	- <mark>-+-</mark> -	100%	1.15[0.93,1.42]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226	◆	100%	1.15[0.93,1.42]
Total events: 106 (AmBd+FLU+steroid	s), 93 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.31(P=0.19)					
10.1.3 6 months					
Beardsley 2016	128/224	109/226		100%	1.18[0.99,1.41]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226	◆	100%	1.18[0.99,1.41]
Total events: 128 (AmBd+FLU+steroid	s), 109 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.88(P=0.06)					
	Favours Am	Bd+FLU+steroids	0.2 0.5 1 2	5 Favours AmBd+FLU	

Analysis 10.2. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+I	AmBd+FLU+steroids		Bd+FLU	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
Beardsley 2016	224	-0.2 (0.2)	226	-0.3 (0.2)	-	100%	0.1[0.06,0.14]
Total ***	224		226		•	100%	0.1[0.06,0.14]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.64(P<0.0	001)						
		Favou	rs AmBd+	FI U+steroids	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Eavours Am	3d+FLU

Favours AmBd+FLU+steroids Favours AmBd+FLU

Analysis 10.3. Comparison 10 Two weeks of AmBd + FLU + steroids versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+FLU +steroids	AmBd+FLU		l	Risk Ratio	•		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	n/N M-H, Rando			95% CI			M-H, Random, 95% CI
10.3.1 Anaemia									
Beardsley 2016	120/224	112/226			+			100%	1.08[0.9,1.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226			•			100%	1.08[0.9,1.29]
	Favours Am	Favours AmBd+FLU+steroids			1	5	20	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	AmBd+FLU +steroids	AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Total events: 120 (AmBd+FLU+ster	oids), 112 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.85(P=0.3	39)				
10.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Beardsley 2016	79/224	50/226		100%	1.59[1.18,2.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226	•	100%	1.59[1.18,2.16]
Total events: 79 (AmBd+FLU+stero	ids), 50 (AmBd+FLU)				- / -
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=	0(P<0.0001); I ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=3.02(P=0)					
10.3.3 Neutropenia					
Beardsley 2016	42/224	59/226		100%	0.72[0.51,1.02]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226	•	100%	0.72[0.51,1.02]
Total events: 42 (AmBd+FLU+stero	ids), 59 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.85(P=0.0	06)				
10.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Beardsley 2016	108/224	132/226		100%	0.83[0.69,0.98]
Subtotal (95% CI)	224	226	•	100%	0.83[0.69,0.98]
Total events: 108 (AmBd+FLU+ster	oids), 132 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.15(P=0.0	03)				
10.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Beardsley 2016	10/224	3/226		100%	3 36[0 94 12 06]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20,221	226		100%	3.36[0.94.12.06]
Total events: 10 (AmBd+FLU+stero	ids). 3 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0. df=	0(P<0.0001): ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.86(P=0.0)6)				
	- Favours Am	Bd+ELLI+steroids	0.05 0.2 1 5 20	Eavours AmBd+ELL	
	Favours All	Du II LUTSLEI UIUS			

Comparison 11. One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.48 [0.95, 2.29]
1.2 10 weeks	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.18 [0.88, 1.58]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	175	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.06, 0.08]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3.1 Anaemia	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.55, 1.42]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.81 [0.38, 1.70]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.72 [0.28, 1.82]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.57 [0.20, 1.65]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	225	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.34 [0.07, 1.66]

Analysis 11.1. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	AmBd+FLU	I	Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	M-H, R	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
11.1.1 2 weeks								
Molloy 2018	36/111	25/114		+- -		100%	1.48[0.95,2.29]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114				100%	1.48[0.95,2.29]	
Total events: 36 (short AmBd+FLU), 25	5 (AmBd+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.75(P=0.08)								
11.1.2 10 weeks								
Molloy 2018	54/111	47/114		- <mark></mark> -		100%	1.18[0.88,1.58]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114		-		100%	1.18[0.88,1.58]	
Total events: 54 (short AmBd+FLU), 47	7 (AmBd+FLU)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.12(P=0.26)								
	Favours	s short AmBd+FLU	0.2 0.5	1 2	5 Fa	avours AmBd+FLU		

Analysis 11.2. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+FLU	AmBd+FLU		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% CI
Molloy 2018	81	-0.4 (0.2)	94	-0.4 (0.2)		100%	0.01[-0.06,0.08]
Total ***	81		94		•	100%	0.01[-0.06,0.08]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.28(P=0.78)							
		Fav	Favours short AmBd+FLU		-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Am	Bd+FLU

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 11.3. Comparison 11 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	AmBd+FLU	AmBd+FLU Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
11.3.1 Anaemia					
Molloy 2018	24/111	28/114		100%	0.88[0.55,1.42]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114		100%	0.88[0.55,1.42]
Total events: 24 (short AmBd+FLU),	28 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.52(P=0.6))				
11.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Molloy 2018	11/111	14/114	- <mark></mark> -	100%	0.81[0.38,1.7]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114	-	100%	0.81[0.38,1.7]
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+FLU),	14 (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.56(P=0.57	7)				
11.3.3 Neutropenia					
Mollov 2018	7/111	10/114	<mark></mark>	100%	0 72[0 28 1 82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114		100%	0.72[0.28,1.82]
Total events: 7 (short AmBd+FLU) 1				20070	0112[0120,2102]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: 7=0.7(P=0.49))				
)				
11.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	5/111	9/114		100%	0.57[0.2,1.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	114		100%	0.57[0.2,1.65]
Total events: 5 (short AmBd+FLU), 9) (AmBd+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.04(P=0.3))				
11.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Mollov 2018	2/111	6/114		100%	0 34[0 07 1 66]
Subtotal (95% CI)	-,	114		100%	0.34[0.07.1.66]
Total events: 2 (short AmBd+FLLI)	(AmBd+FLU)			20070	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0: Chi ² =0. df=0	$(P<0.0001) \cdot l^2 = 1.00\%$				
Test for overall effect: 7=1 33/P=0 1	8)				
	<i>.</i> ,				

Comparison 12. One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.55 [1.00, 2.43]
1.2 10 weeks	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.27 [0.94, 1.72]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	169	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.13 [0.06, 0.20]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.48, 1.19]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.63 [0.65, 4.05]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.60 [0.25, 1.48]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.74 [0.24, 2.26]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.04 [0.15, 7.23]

Analysis 12.1. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
12.1.1 2 weeks					
Molloy 2018	36/111	24/115		100%	1.55[1,2.43]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	1.55[1,2.43]
Total events: 36 (short AmBd+FLU), 24	4 (AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.94(P=0.05)					
12.1.2 10 weeks					
Molloy 2018	54/111	44/115		100%	1.27[0.94,1.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	1.27[0.94,1.72]
Total events: 54 (short AmBd+FLU), 44	4 (AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)					
	Favours	s short AmBd+FLU	0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2	Favours AmBd+5FC	

Analysis 12.2. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+FLU	Am	Bd+5FC		Меа	n Difference		Weight M	lean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ran	dom, 95% CI		R	andom, 95% CI
Molloy 2018	81	-0.4 (0.2)	88	-0.5 (0.3)					100%	0.13[0.06,0.2]
Total ***	81		88				•		100%	0.13[0.06,0.2]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=3.45(P=0)										
		Fa	vours sho	rt AmBd+FLU	-0.4	-0.2	0 0.2	0.4	Favours AmBd+5	FC

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 12.3. Comparison 12 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
12.3.1 Anaemia					
Molloy 2018	24/111	33/115		100%	0.75[0.48,1.19]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115	•	100%	0.75[0.48,1.19]
Total events: 24 (short AmBd+FLU), 33	3 (AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.22(P=0.22)					
12.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Molloy 2018	11/111	7/115	<mark></mark>	100%	1.63[0.65,4.05]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	1.63[0.65,4.05]
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+FLU), 7	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.05(P=0.29)					
12.3.3 Neutropenia					
Mollov 2018	7/111	12/115		100%	0.6[0.25,1.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	0.6[0.25,1.48]
Total events: 7 (short AmBd+FLU), 12	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.1(P=0.27)					
12.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	5/111	7/115	— <mark>—</mark>	100%	0.74[0.24,2.26]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	0.74[0.24,2.26]
Total events: 5 (short AmBd+FLU), 7 (/	AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.53(P=0.6)					
12.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Molloy 2018	2/111	2/115		100%	1.04[0.15,7.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	115		100%	1.04[0.15,7.23]
Total events: 2 (short AmBd+FLU), 2 (AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.04(P=0.97)					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =2.	84, df=1 (P=0.58), I ²	=0%			
	Favours	short AmBd+FLU 0.01	L 0.1 1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours AmBd+5FC	

Comparison 13. One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.78 [1.21, 2.62]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1.2 10 weeks	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.39 [1.07, 1.80]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	263	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.10 [-0.16, -0.04]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	4.42 [2.25, 8.70]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	2.03 [0.91, 4.53]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.62 [0.27, 1.39]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	3.38 [0.82, 13.88]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	336	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.68 [0.14, 3.29]

Analysis 13.1. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	5FC+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
13.1.1 2 weeks					
Molloy 2018	36/111	41/225		100%	1.78[1.21,2.62]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225	•	100%	1.78[1.21,2.62]
Total events: 36 (short AmBd+FLU), 41	L (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.93(P=0)					
13.1.2 10 weeks					
Molloy 2018	54/111	79/225		100%	1.39[1.07,1.8]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225	◆	100%	1.39[1.07,1.8]
Total events: 54 (short AmBd+FLU), 79	9 (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.45(P=0.01)					
	Favours	short AmBd+FLU	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5	10 Eavours SEC+ELL	

Favours short AmBd+FLU 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours 5FC+FLU

Analysis 13.2. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+FLU 5FC+FLU			Mean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference			
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rai	ndom, 959	% CI			Random, 95% CI
Molloy 2018	81	-0.4 (0.2)	182	-0.3 (0.2)		_				100%	-0.1[-0.16,-0.04]
Total *** Heterogeneity: Not applicable	81		182							100%	-0.1[-0.16,-0.04]
		Fav	ours sho	rt AmBd+FLU	-0.4	-0.2	0	0.2	0.4	Favours 5FC+FL	J

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Study or subgroup	short	AmBd+FLU	5	FC+FLU	Mean Difference			Weight Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Random, 95% Cl			Random, 95% Cl	
Test for overall effect: Z=3.47(P=0)					_			I	-	
	Favours short AmBd+FLU			-0.4	-0.2	0	0.2	0.4	Favours 5FC+FLU	

Analysis 13.3. Comparison 13 One week of AmBd + FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd+FLU	5FC+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
13.3.1 Anaemia					
Molloy 2018	24/111	11/225		100%	4.42[2.25,8.7]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225	•	100%	4.42[2.25,8.7]
Total events: 24 (short AmBd+FLU), 12	1 (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	P<0.0001); I²=100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=4.31(P<0.000	1)				
13.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Molloy 2018	11/111	11/225		100%	2.03[0.91,4.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225	-	100%	2.03[0.91,4.53]
Total events: 11 (short AmBd+FLU), 12	1 (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.72(P=0.09)					
13.3.3 Neutropenia					
Molloy 2018	7/111	23/225	- -	100%	0.62[0.27,1.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225	\bullet	100%	0.62[0.27,1.39]
Total events: 7 (short AmBd+FLU), 23	(5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.16(P=0.25)					
13.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Molloy 2018	5/111	3/225		100%	3.38[0.82,13.88]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225		100%	3.38[0.82,13.88]
Total events: 5 (short AmBd+FLU), 3 (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.69(P=0.09)					
13.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Molloy 2018	2/111	6/225	<mark>+ _</mark>	100%	0.68[0.14,3.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	111	225		100%	0.68[0.14,3.29]
Total events: 2 (short AmBd+FLU), 6 (5FC+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.49(P=0.63)					
	Favours	short AmBd+FLU 0.01	0.1 1 10 10	⁰⁰ Favours 5FC+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Comparison 14. Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	2	98	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.04 [1.31, 7.06]
1.2 10 weeks	2	98	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.46 [0.96, 2.23]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	37	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.17 [0.08, 0.26]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.07, 16.47]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.21 [0.22, 22.47]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.22 [0.03, 1.73]
3.4 ALT abnormality	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Analysis 14.1. Comparison 14 Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	FLU	5FC+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
14.1.1 2 weeks					
Mayanja-Kizza 1998	10/28	4/30	<u> </u>	65.89%	2.68[0.95,7.57]
Nussbaum 2010	7/19	2/21		34.11%	3.87[0.91,16.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	47	51		100%	3.04[1.31,7.06]
Total events: 17 (FLU), 6 (5FC+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.16, df=1(F	P=0.68); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.58(P=0.01)					
14.1.2 10 weeks					
Mayanja-Kizza 1998	16/28	11/30	+	54.68%	1.56[0.88,2.75]
Nussbaum 2010	11/19	9/21	- +=	45.32%	1.35[0.72,2.52]
Subtotal (95% CI)	47	51	•	100%	1.46[0.96,2.23]
Total events: 27 (FLU), 20 (5FC+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.11, df=1(F	P=0.74); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.76(P=0.08)					
		Favours FLU	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	Favours 5FC+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 14.2. Comparison 14 Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup		FLU	5	FC+FLU		Mea	an Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rar	ndom, 95% CI			Random, 95% CI
Nussbaum 2010	17	-0.1 (0.1)	20	-0.3 (0.2)					100%	0.17[0.08,0.26]
Total ***	17		20				•		100%	0.17[0.08,0.26]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=3.82(P=0)										
				Favours FLU	-0.4	-0.2	0 0.2	0.4	Favours 5FC+FL	U

Analysis 14.3. Comparison 14 Two weeks of FLU versus two weeks of 5FC + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	FLU	5FC+FLU	F	lisk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, R	andom, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
14.3.1 Anaemia						
Nussbaum 2010	1/19	1/21			100%	1.11[0.07,16.47]
Subtotal (95% CI)	19	21			100%	1.11[0.07,16.47]
Total events: 1 (FLU), 1 (5FC+FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.07(P=0.94)						
14.3.2 Renal dysfunction						
Nussbaum 2010	2/19	1/21			100%	2.21[0.22,22.47]
Subtotal (95% CI)	19	21	_		100%	2.21[0.22,22.47]
Total events: 2 (FLU), 1 (5FC+FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.67(P=0.5)						
14.3.3 Neutropenia						
Nussbaum 2010	1/19	5/21		<u> </u>	100%	0.22[0.03,1.73]
Subtotal (95% CI)	19	21			100%	0.22[0.03,1.73]
Total events: 1 (FLU), 5 (5FC+FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P<0	.0001); l ² =100%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.44(P=0.15)						
14.3.4 ALT abnormality						
Nussbaum 2010	0/19	0/21				Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	19	21				Not estimable
Total events: 0 (FLU), 0 (5FC+FLU)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Not applicable						
		Favours FLU	0.01 0.1	1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours 5FC+FLU	

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Comparison 15. Two weeks of L-AmB versus two weeks of AmBd

Outcome or sub- group title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	28	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.2 10 weeks	1	28	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.04, 4.25]
1.36 months	1	28	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.58 [0.11, 2.94]

Analysis 15.1. Comparison 15 Two weeks of L-AmB versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	L-AmBd	AmB	F	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, R	andom, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
15.1.1 2 weeks						
Leenders 1997	0/15	0/13				Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	15	13				Not estimable
Total events: 0 (L-AmBd), 0 (AmB)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Not applicable						
15.1.2 10 weeks						
Leenders 1997	1/15	2/13		•	10	0.43[0.04,4.25]
Subtotal (95% CI)	15	13			10	0% 0.43[0.04,4.25]
Total events: 1 (L-AmBd), 2 (AmB)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)						
15.1.3 6 months						
Leenders 1997	2/15	3/13			10	0.58[0.11,2.94]
Subtotal (95% CI)	15	13			10	0% 0.58[0.11,2.94]
Total events: 2 (L-AmBd), 3 (AmB)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.66(P=0.51)						
		Favours L-AmBd	0.01 0.1	1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours AmB	

Comparison 16. Short-course L-AmB + FLU versus two weeks of L-AmB + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	79	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.81 [0.43, 7.59]
1.2 10 weeks	1	79	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.47, 2.25]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	67	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.10 [-0.21, 0.01]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	79	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.81 [0.22, 14.61]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	79	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	4.10 [0.24, 71.15]
3.3 Neutropenia	0	0	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	79	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.07 [0.01, 0.58]
3.5 ALT abnormality	0	0	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Analysis 16.1. Comparison 16 Short-course L-AmB + FLU versus two weeks of L-AmB + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short L- Amb+FLU	L-AmB+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
16.1.1 2 weeks					
Jarvis 2018	10/58	2/21		100%	1.81[0.43,7.59]
Subtotal (95% CI)	58	21		100%	1.81[0.43,7.59]
Total events: 10 (short L-Amb+FLU), 2	2 (L-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.81(P=0.42)	l.				
16.1.2 10 weeks					
Jarvis 2018	17/58	6/21		100%	1.03[0.47,2.25]
Subtotal (95% CI)	58	21		100%	1.03[0.47,2.25]
Total events: 17 (short L-Amb+FLU), 6	6 (L-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)	1				
	Favours	short L-Amb+FLU	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 1	0 Favours L-AmB+FLU	

Analysis 16.2. Comparison 16 Short-course L-AmB + FLU versus two weeks of L-AmB + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	short	L-Amb+FLU	L-A	mB+FLU	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
Jarvis 2018	50	-0.5 (0.4)	17	-0.4 (0.1)		100%	-0.1[-0.21,0.01]
Total ***	50		17			100%	-0.1[-0.21,0.01]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.78(P=0.08)							
		Fav	ours sho	rt L-Amb+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours L-Ar	nB+FLU

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 16.3. Comparison 16 Short-course L-AmB + FLU versus two weeks of L-AmB + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short L- Amb+FLU	L-AmB+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
16.3.1 Anaemia					
Jarvis 2018	5/58	1/21		100%	1.81[0.22,14.61]
Subtotal (95% CI)	58	21		100%	1.81[0.22,14.61]
Total events: 5 (short L-Amb+FLU), 1 (L	-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.56(P=0.58)					
16.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Jarvis 2018	5/58	0/21		100%	4.1[0.24,71.15]
Subtotal (95% CI)	58	21		100%	4.1[0.24,71.15]
Total events: 5 (short L-Amb+FLU), 0 (L	-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.97(P=0.33)					
16.3.3 Neutropenia					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimable
Total events: 0 (short L-Amb+FLU), 0 (L	-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
16.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Jarvis 2018	1/58	5/21		100%	0.07[0.01,0.58]
Subtotal (95% CI)	58	21		100%	0.07[0.01,0.58]
Total events: 1 (short L-Amb+FLU), 5 (L	-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.46(P=0.01)					
16.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimable
Total events: 0 (short L-Amb+FLU), 0 (L	-AmB+FLU)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
	Favours	short L-Amb+FLU	0.01 0.1 1 10 10	¹⁰ Favours L-AmB+FLU	

Comparison 17. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	31	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.06, 13.68]
1.2 10 weeks	1	31	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.81 [0.33, 24.16]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.16 [0.03, 0.29]

Analysis 17.1. Comparison 17 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC+FLU	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
17.1.1 2 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	1/16	1/15		100%	0.94[0.06,13.68]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	15		100%	0.94[0.06,13.68]
Total events: 1 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 1	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.05(P=0.9	96)				
17.1.2 10 weeks					
Brouwer 2004	3/16	1/15		100%	2.81[0.33,24.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	15		100%	2.81[0.33,24.16]
Total events: 3 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 1	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.94(P=0.3	5)				
	Favour	s AmBd+5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1 1 10 100	Favours AmBd+5FC	

Analysis 17.2. Comparison 17 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	AmBo	I+5FC+FLU	Am	Bd+5FC	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% Cl
Brouwer 2004	15	-0.4 (0.1)	12	-0.5 (0.2)		100%	0.16[0.03,0.29]
Total ***	15		12			100%	0.16[0.03,0.29]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.49(P=0.01)							
		Fa	vours An	Bd+5FC+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Am	Bd+5FC

Comparison 18. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	32	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.2 [0.03, 1.53]
1.2 10 weeks	1	32	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.13, 1.37]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	26	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.10, 0.12]

Analysis 18.1. Comparison 18 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC+FLU	AmBd+FLU	Risk I	Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Rando	om, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% CI
18.1.1 2 weeks						
Brouwer 2004	1/16	5/16		_	100%	0.2[0.03,1.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	16		-	100%	0.2[0.03,1.53]
Total events: 1 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 5	(AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.55(P=0.1	2)					
18.1.2 10 weeks						
Brouwer 2004	3/16	7/16		-	100%	0.43[0.13,1.37]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	16		-	100%	0.43[0.13,1.37]
Total events: 3 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 7	(AmBd+FLU)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.43(P=0.1	5)					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ²	=0.41, df=1 (P=0.52), I ² =	=0%				
	Favour	s AmBd+5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1 1	10 100	Favours AmBd+FLU	

Analysis 18.2. Comparison 18 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	AmBo	d+5FC+FLU AmBd+FLU		Bd+FLU	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% CI
Brouwer 2004	15	-0.4 (0.1)	11	-0.4 (0.2)		100%	0.01[-0.1,0.12]
Total ***	15		11			100%	0.01[-0.1,0.12]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.18(P=0.86)							
		Fa	vours An	nBd+5FC+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Am	3d+FLU

Comparison 19. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	32	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.5 [0.05, 4.98]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1.2 10 weeks	1	32	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.24, 4.23]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	29	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.07 [-0.18, 0.04]

Analysis 19.1. Comparison 19 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd+5FC+FLU	AmBd	F	Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, R	andom, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% CI
19.1.1 2 weeks							
Brouwer 2004	1/16	2/16		+		100%	0.5[0.05,4.98]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	16				100%	0.5[0.05,4.98]
Total events: 1 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 2	(AmBd)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.59(P=0.5	55)						
19.1.2 10 weeks							
Brouwer 2004	3/16	3/16	_			100%	1[0.24,4.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	16	16				100%	1[0.24,4.23]
Total events: 3 (AmBd+5FC+FLU), 3	s (AmBd)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicab	le				1		
	Favours	AmBd+5FC+FLU	0.01 0.1	1 10	100 Fav	ours AmBd	

Analysis 19.2. Comparison 19 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus two weeks of AmBd, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	AmBd	d+5FC+FLU		AmBd	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% CI
Brouwer 2004	15	-0.4 (0.1)	14	-0.3 (0.2)		100%	-0.07[-0.18,0.04]
Total ***	15		14			100%	-0.07[-0.18,0.04]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.19(P=0.23)							
		Fa	vours An	nBd+5FC+FLU	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours AmBd	

Comparison 20. One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.5 [0.10, 2.43]

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1.2 10 weeks	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.86 [0.35, 2.10]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	37	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.12 [-0.23, -0.01]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
3.1 Anaemia	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.57 [0.20, 1.65]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.8 [0.25, 2.55]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.25 [0.39, 3.99]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	40	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.67 [0.12, 3.57]

Analysis 20.1. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd +5FC+FLU	short AmBd+FLU	Risk R	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Rando	m, 95% Cl	M	H, Random, 95% Cl
20.1.1 2 weeks						
Jackson 2012	2/20	4/20			100%	0.5[0.1,2.43]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20			100%	0.5[0.1,2.43]
Total events: 2 (short AmBd+5FC+FL	J), 4 (short AmBd+FL	U)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39))					
20.1.2 10 weeks						
Jackson 2012	6/20	7/20	_ +		100%	0.86[0.35,2.1]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20			100%	0.86[0.35,2.1]
Total events: 6 (short AmBd+5FC+FL	J), 7 (short AmBd+FL	U)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.34(P=0.74))					
	Favours sho	ort AmBd+5FC+FL	0.1 0.2 0.5 1	2 5 10	Favours short AmBd+FLU	J

Analysis 20.2. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	sho +5	rt AmBd FC+FLU	short AmBd+FLU		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rando	m, 95% CI			Random, 95% CI
Jackson 2012	18	-0.5 (0.2)	19	-0.4 (0.2)			-		100%	-0.12[-0.23,-0.01]
Total ***	18		19			-	-		100%	-0.12[-0.23,-0.01]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=2.14(P=0.03)										
		Favou	s short A	mBd+5FC+FL	-0.4	-0.2	0 0.2	0.4	Favours shor	t AmBd+FLU

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)
Analysis 20.3. Comparison 20 One week of AmBd + 5FC + FLU versus one week of AmBd + FLU, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	short AmBd +5FC+FLU	short AmBd+FLU	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
20.3.1 Anaemia					
Jackson 2012	4/20	7/20		100%	0.57[0.2,1.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20		100%	0.57[0.2,1.65]
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC+FLU), 7 (short AmBd+FL	U)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.03(P=0.3)					
20.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Jackson 2012	4/20	5/20	— <mark>—</mark> —	100%	0.8[0.25,2.55]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20		100%	0.8[0.25,2.55]
Total events: 4 (short AmBd+5FC+FLU), 5 (short AmBd+FL	U)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.38(P=0.71)					
20.3.3 Neutropenia					
Jackson 2012	5/20	4/20	— <mark>++</mark> —	100%	1.25[0.39,3.99]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20		100%	1.25[0.39,3.99]
Total events: 5 (short AmBd+5FC+FLU), 4 (short AmBd+FL	U)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.38(P=0.71)					
20.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Jackson 2012	2/20	3/20		100%	0.67[0.12,3.57]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	20		100%	0.67[0.12,3.57]
Total events: 2 (short AmBd+5FC+FLU), 3 (short AmBd+FL	U)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.47(P=0.64)					
	Favours sho	ort AmBd+5FC+FL	0.01 0.1 1 10 10	⁰⁰ Favours short AmBd	+FLU

Comparison 21. Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 2 weeks	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.73 [0.28, 1.90]
1.2 10 weeks	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.48, 1.77]
2 Early fungicidal activ- ity	1	88	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.15 [-0.24, -0.06]
3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxic- ities	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3.1 Anaemia	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.14 [0.62, 2.11]
3.2 Renal dysfunction	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.85 [0.37, 1.98]
3.3 Neutropenia	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.36 [0.28, 6.60]
3.4 Hypokalaemia	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.86 [0.21, 72.44]
3.5 ALT abnormality	1	88	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Analysis 21.1. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Study or subgroup	AmBd +5FC+IFNg	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
21.1.1 2 weeks					
Jarvis 2012	8/57	6/31		100%	0.73[0.28,1.9]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31		100%	0.73[0.28,1.9]
Total events: 8 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 6 (A	mBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.65(P=0.51)					
21.1.2 10 weeks					
Jarvis 2012	17/57	10/31		100%	0.92[0.48,1.77]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31		100%	0.92[0.48,1.77]
Total events: 17 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 10	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P-	<0.0001); l ² =100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.24(P=0.81)					
	Favours	AmBd+5FC+IFNg	0.2 0.5 1 2 5	Favours AmBd+5FC	

Analysis 21.2. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 2 Early fungicidal activity.

Study or subgroup	AmBd	+5FC+IFNg	Am	Bd+5FC	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
Jarvis 2012	57	-0.6 (0.2)	31	-0.5 (0.2)		100%	-0.15[-0.24,-0.06]
Total ***	57		31		•	100%	-0.15[-0.24,-0.06]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.4(P=0)							
		Fav	ours Am	Bd+5FC+IFNg	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2	Favours Am	Bd+5FC

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Analysis 21.3. Comparison 21 Two weeks of AmBd + 5FC + IFNg versus two weeks of AmBd + 5FC, Outcome 3 DAIDS grade 3/4 toxicities.

Study or subgroup	AmBd +5FC+IFNg	AmBd+5FC	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl
21.3.1 Anaemia					
Jarvis 2012	21/57	10/31		100%	1.14[0.62,2.11]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31	•	100%	1.14[0.62,2.11]
Total events: 21 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 10	0 (AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.42(P=0.67)					
21.3.2 Renal dysfunction					
Jarvis 2012	11/57	7/31		100%	0.85[0.37.1.98]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31		100%	0.85[0.37.1.98]
Total events: 11 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 7	(AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	()				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.37(P=0.71)					
21.3.3 Neutropenia					
Jarvis 2012	5/57	2/31		100%	1.36[0.28,6.6]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31		100%	1.36[0.28,6.6]
Total events: 5 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 2 (A	AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.38(P=0.7)					
21.3.4 Hypokalaemia					
Jarvis 2012	3/57	0/31		- 100%	3.86[0.21,72.44]
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31		100%	3.86[0.21,72.44]
Total events: 3 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg), 0 (AmBd+5FC+IFNG),	AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001); l²=100%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.9(P=0.37)					
21.3.5 ALT abnormality					
Jarvis 2012	0/57	0/31			Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	57	31			Not estimable
Total events: 0 (AmBd+5FC+IFNg),	AmBd+5FC)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
	Favours	AmBd+5FC+IFNg	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	.00 Favours AmBd+5FC	

ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table 1. Overview of drugs evaluated for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis

Class	Mechanism of action	Drug examples for cryptococcal meningitis thera- Py	Major class side effects
Polyenes	Disrupt cell membranes	Amphotericin B (li- posomal or non-li-	Nephrotoxicity, electrolyte abnormalities, anaemia

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Table 1. Overview of drugs evaluated for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Continued)

posomal formula-

		tions)	
Azoles	Inhibit ergosterol biosynthesis	Fluconazole, voriconazole, itra- conazole	Hepatotoxicity, drug-drug interactions, GI symptoms, rash
Pyrimidine ana- logue	Inhibit fungal RNA and protein biosynthesis	Flucytosine	Bone marrow suppression, hepatotoxicity, GI symptoms
Glucocorticoids	Various anti-inflammatory effects	Dexamethasone	Hyperglycaemia, bleeding, psychiatric effects, secondary hypoaldosteronism, immunosup- pression
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI)	5-hydroxytryptamine transporter in- hibitor, antifungal mechanism of ac- tion unclear	Sertraline	Neurocognitive effects, GI symptoms
Carbonic anhydrase inhibitor	Reduces intracranial pressure by re- ducing cerebrospinal fluid produc- tion, likely through multiple mecha- nisms	Acetazolamide	Metabolic acidosis, nephrolithiasis, aplastic anaemia, GI symptoms, paraesthesias

Abbreviations: GI: gastrointestinal; RNA: ribonucleic acid

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Search strategy

PubMed

No.	Query
#4	Search (#1 AND #2 AND #3)
#3	Search (Antifungal agents[mh] OR azole*[tiab] OR fluconazole[tiab] OR amphotericin[tiab] OR flucytosine[tiab] OR sertraline[tiab] OR dexamethasone[tiab] OR voriconazole[tiab] OR acetazo- lamide[tiab] OR diflucan[tiab] OR itraconazole[tiab] OR rifampin[tiab] OR 5-FC[tiab])
#2	Search ("Meningitis, Cryptococcal"[Mesh] OR cryptococcal meningitis[tiab] OR cryptococcal meningitis[tiab] OR cryptococcal meningitides[tiab] OR cerebral cryptococcosis[tiab] OR cerebral cryptococcoses[tiab] OR toruloma*[tiab] OR cryptococcus neoforman[mh] OR cryptococcus neo- forman[tiab] OR ((cryptococcal[tiab] OR cryptococcal[tiab] OR cyptococcosis[tiab] OR cryptococ- coses[tiab] OR Cryptococcus[tiab]) AND (meningitis[tiab]))
#1	Search (HIV Infections[MeSH] OR HIV[MeSH] OR hiv[tiab] OR hiv-1*[tiab] OR hiv-2*[tiab] OR hiv1[tiab] OR hiv2[tiab] OR hiv infect*[tiab] OR human immunodeficiency virus[tiab] OR human immunedeficiency virus[tiab] OR human immuno-deficiency virus[tiab] OR human immune-de- ficiency virus[tiab] OR ((human immun*[tiab]) AND (deficiency virus[tiab])) OR acquired immun- odeficiency syndrome[tiab] OR acquired immunedeficiency syndrome[tiab] OR acquired im- muno-deficiency syndrome[tiab] OR acquired immune-deficiency syndrome[tiab] OR ((acquired immun*[tiab]) AND (deficiency syndrome[tiab])

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Embase

No.	Query
#11	#1 AND #7 AND #8 AND #9 AND [1980-2017]/py
#10	#1 AND #7 AND #8 AND #9
#9	ʻantifungal agent'/syn OR azole*:ab,ti OR fluconazole:ab,ti OR amphotericin:ab,ti OR flucyto- sine:ab,ti OR sertraline:ab,ti OR dexamethasone:ab,ti OR voriconazole:ab,ti OR acetazolamide:ab,ti OR diflucan:ab,ti OR itraconazole:ab,ti OR rifampin:ab,ti OR ʻ5-fc':ab,ti
#8	'cryptococcal meningitis'/de OR 'cryptococcal meningitis':ab,ti OR 'cryptococcus meningitis':ab,ti OR 'cryptococcal meningitis':ab,ti OR 'cryptococcal meningitides':ab,ti OR 'cerebral cryptococco- sis':ab,ti OR 'cerebral cryptococcoses':ab,ti OR toruloma*:ab,ti OR 'cryptococcus neoforman':ab,ti OR (cryptococcal:ab,ti OR cryptococcal:ab,ti OR cryptococcosis:ab,ti OR cryptococcoses:ab,ti AND meningitis:ab,ti)
#7	#2 NOT #6
#6	#3 NOT #5
#5	#3 AND #4
#4	'human'/de OR 'normal human'/de OR 'human cell'/de
#3	'animal'/de OR 'animal experiment'/de OR 'invertebrate'/de OR 'animal tissue'/de OR 'animal cell'/ de OR 'nonhuman'/de
#2	'randomised controlled trial'/de OR 'randomised controlled trial' OR random*:ab,ti OR trial:ti OR allocat*:ab,ti OR factorial*:ab,ti OR placebo*:ab,ti OR assign*:ab,ti OR volunteer*:ab,ti OR 'crossover procedure'/de OR 'crossover procedure' OR 'double-blind procedure'/de OR 'dou- ble-blind procedure' OR 'single-blind procedure'/de OR 'single-blind procedure' OR (doubl* NEAR/3 blind*):ab,ti OR (singl*:ab,ti AND blind*:ab,ti) OR crossover*:ab,ti OR cross+over*:ab,ti OR (cross NEXT/1 over*):ab,ti
#1	'human immunodeficiency virus infection'/exp OR 'human immunodeficiency virus infection' OR 'human immunodeficiency virus'/exp OR 'human immunodeficiency virus' OR 'human immun- odeficiency virus':ab,ti OR 'human immuno+deficiency virus':ab,ti OR 'human immunedeficiency virus':ab,ti OR 'human immune+deficiency virus':ab,ti OR hiv:ab,ti OR 'hiv-1':ab,ti OR 'hiv-2':ab,ti OR 'acquired immunodeficiency syndrome':ab,ti OR 'acquired immuno+deficiency syndrome':ab,ti OR 'acquired immunedeficiency syndrome':ab,ti OR 'acquired immune+deficiency syndrome':ab,ti OR

CENTRAL

ID	Search
#1	MeSH descriptor: [HIV Infections] explode all trees
#2	MeSH descriptor: [HIV] explode all trees
#3	hiv or hiv-1* or hiv-2* or hiv1 or hiv2 or (hiv near infect*) or (human immunodeficiency virus) or (hu- man immunedeficiency virus) or (human immune-deficiency virus) or (human immuno-deficien- cy virus) or (human immune deficiency virus) or (human immuno deficiency virus) or (acquired im-

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



(Continued)	munodeficiency syndrome) or (acquired immunedeficiency syndrome) or (acquired immuno-defi- ciency syndrome) or (acquired immune-deficiency syndrome) or (acquired immun* deficiency syn- drome)
#4	MeSH descriptor: [Lymphoma, AIDS-Related] this term only
#5	MeSH descriptor: [Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Viral] this term only
#6	#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5
#7	[mh "meningitis, cryptococcal"] or "cryptococcal meningitis":ti,ab,kw or "cryptococcal menin- gitis":ti,ab,kw or "cryptococcal meningitides":ti,ab,kw or "cerebral cryptococcosis":ti,ab,kw or "cerebral cryptococcoses":ti,ab,kw or toruloma*:ti,ab,kw or [mh "cryptococcus neoforman"] or "cryptococcus neoforman":ti,ab,kw or ((cryptococcal:ti,ab,kw or cryptococcal:ti,ab,kw or cypto- coccosis:ti,ab,kw or cryptococcoses:ti,ab,kw or Cryptococcus:ti,ab,kw) and meningitis:ti,ab,kw) (Word variations have been searched)
#8	[mh "antifungal agents"] or azole*:ti,ab,kw or fluconazole:ti,ab,kw or amphotericin:ti,ab,kw or flucytosine:ti,ab,kw or sertraline:ti,ab,kw or dexamethasone:ti,ab,kw or voriconazole:ti,ab,kw or acetazolamide:ti,ab,kw or diflucan:ti,ab,kw or itraconazole:ti,ab,kw or rifampin:ti,ab,kw or "5- FC":ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
#9	#6 and #7 and #8 in Trials

LILACS, IMSEAR, African Medicus Index

cryptococc\$ [Words] and meningitis or brain or menin\$ [Words] and randomised or trial or controlled or placebo or double-blind\$ or singleblind\$ [Words]

Appendix 2. Sample study eligibility form

Review title	Treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis		
Reviewer ID			
Study ID (first author sur- name and year of publica- tion)			
Study characteristics	Review inclusion criteria	Yes/No/Unclear	Location in text
			(pg & ¶/fig/table)
1. Type of study	Randomized trial		
	Non-randomized trial		
	Cohort study		
	Quasi-experimental study		
	Other design (specify):		
2. Participants	HIV-infected with initial episode of cryptococcal meningitis?		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



(Continued)

3. Diagnosis	Diagnosis confirmed by CSF India ink stain, fungal culture, or CrAg testing?
4. Types of intervention	Two or more treatments compared?
5. Types of outcome measures	Included mortality, safety, or microbiological out- come(s)?
6. Decision:	(For inclusion, must answer YES for RCT for item 1 and YES for items 2-6)
7. Reason for exclusion	
8. Notes:	

Appendix 3. Data extraction form

Methods	Study design:		
	Randomization method:		
	Blinding:		
Participants	Country/countries:		
	Inclusion criteria:		
	Exclusion criteria:		
	Number of participants:		
	Number dropped out:		
	Age:		
	Gender:		
	Number on ART:		
	Baseline CD4 count:		
	Baseline Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score or altered mental status:		
Intervention	For each intervention group		
	Specific intervention		
	Number of participants:		
	 Drug(s) and dose(s): Duration of induction therapy: 		
	Maintenance therapy and duration:		
	General to all intervention groups		
	Protocol for LP after diagnostic LP:		
	Toxicity monitoring:		

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



(Continued)		
	ART started after initiation of treatment for CM:	
	Timing of ART initiation:	
Outcomes	Intention-to-treat or per-protocol outcomes:	
	Mortality	
	Up to two weeks:	
	Up to 10 weeks:	
	Up to six months:	
	Early fungicidal activity:	
	Serious adverse events:	
	Missing participant data:	
Notes	Years study conducted:	
	Sources of funding:	
	Conflicts of interest:	
	Potentially relevant studies in references:	

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
24 July 2018	New search has been performed	This is an update of a review last published in 2011 (Sloan 2011). The review author team updated the protocol extensively, and differences are highlighted in the 'Differences between protocol and review' section.
24 July 2018	New citation required and conclusions have changed	We included 13 eligible studies that enrolled 2426 participants and compared 21 interventions. Using random-effects mod- els we determined pooled risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous outcomes and mean differences (MD) and 95% CI for continuous outcomes. We performed a net- work meta-analysis using multivariate meta-regression. We mod- elled treatment differences (RR and 95% CI) and determined treatment rankings for two-week and 10-week mortality out- comes using surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SU- CRA). We assessed the certainty of the evidence using the GRADE approach.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Mark W Tenforde contributed to study design and protocol writing, performed literature reviews and data extraction, data analysis and interpretation, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript.

Adrienne E Shapiro contributed to study design and protocol writing, performed literature reviews and data extraction, and provided input on the manuscript.

Benjamin Rouse contributed to study design and protocol writing, performed data analysis for the network meta-analysis, and provided input on the manuscript.

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)

Joseph N Jarvis provided data interpretation and collection and provided input on the manuscript.

Tianjing Li contributed to study design, supervised data analysis for the network meta-analysis, and provided input on the manuscript.

Ingrid Eshun-Wilson contributed to study design, data interpretation, and provided input on the manuscript.

Nathan Ford contributed to study design and protocol writing, data and interpretation, and and provided input on the manuscript.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Mark W Tenforde declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

Adrienne E Shapiro has received salary compensation from the University of Washington, as a trainee postdoctoral fellow in Infectious Diseases. A portion of the salary derives from an NIH T32 training grant.

Benjamin Rouse declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

Joseph N Jarvis has been an investigator in several clinical trials of therapies for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. He has previously received grant support through his institution from Gilead Sciences Europe (investigator initiated award for the Ambition Phase II Trial) Tianjing Li declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

Ingrid Eshun-Wilson declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

Nathan Ford declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK.

External sources

• Department for International Development, UK.

Grant: 5242

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

This is an update of a published Cochrane review (Sloan 2011). The new review author team extensively revised the protocol, which is available on the CIDG website at cidg.cochrane.org/our-reviews under the subheading 'Related content'.

Differences between the revised protocol (10 August 2017) and review update

Types of studies

The protocol stated that, in addition to comparing different treatment regimens, we would also make comparisons between different doses of a single agent or the same induction therapy with different timing of antiretroviral therapy. However, as timing of ART initiation for HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis is a distinct clinical question, we excluded studies on timing of ART from our review (Makadzange 2010; Bisson 2013; Boulware 2014b). Additionally, we excluded one RCT that compared different doses of amphotericin B deoxycholate (0.7 mg/ kg/day or 1 mg/kg/day) with flucytosine, as this RCT was not subject to analysis in either pairwise comparison or NMA (Bicanic 2008).

Types of participants

The protocol stated that studies with a significant amount of missing outcomes data would either be excluded or presented with sensitivity analyses. Out of concern that participants lost to follow-up were not missing at random and were more likely to have died compared to participants with complete follow-up, in the review we instead excluded any study with missing outcomes data within six months on more than 20% of participants.

Methods

Secondary outcomes

For our secondary outcome of serious adverse events, the protocol did not provide the specific laboratory toxicities we would compare between induction regimens. In the review, we limited these to common toxicities from antifungal therapies used for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis, including anaemia, renal dysfunction, neutropenia, hypokalaemia, and liver function test abnormalities (specifically alanine aminotransferase).

Dealing with missing data

The protocol stated that we would perform sensitivity analyses for studies with significant missingness of outcomes data. Because we believed that missingness of outcomes data was unlikely to be missing at random, we excluded any study with missing outcomes data within six months on more than 20% of participants

Treatment for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis (Review)



Presentation of results

The protocol stated that we would perform GRADE assessments for pairwise meta-analysis and modified GRADE assessment for the network meta-analysis but did not state at which mortality time point (2 weeks, 10 weeks, or 6 months) assessments would be made. In the review, we limited GRADE assessment to 10-week mortality, as we believed this was the most clinically relevant time point, and all included studies followed participants at both two-week and 10-week mortality endpoints (with 10-week mortality for one study excluded due to secondary randomization after two weeks) (van der Horst 1997). Few studies followed participants up to six months, so we did not perform GRADE assessment at this time point or six-month network meta-analysis due to the limited number of network comparisons. Additionally, although not explicitly stated in the protocol, in the review we downgraded for imprecision studies with fewer than 200 deaths overall in pairwise comparisons. Most studies were at high risk of performance and detection bias according to the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' assessment. However, we decided not to downgrade studies in the GRADE risk of bias domain for performance and detection bias, as we believed that our primary outcome of interest, death, was unlikely to be influenced by performance or detection bias.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Acetazolamide [adverse effects]; Acute Disease; Amphotericin B [supply & distribution] [therapeutic use]; Antifungal Agents [supply & distribution] [*therapeutic use]; Developing Countries; Drug Administration Schedule; Drug Therapy, Combination; Fluconazole [supply & distribution] [therapeutic use]; Flucytosine [supply & distribution] [therapeutic use]; HIV Infections [*complications]; Health Resources [*supply & distribution]; Induction Chemotherapy [*methods]; Intracranial Hypertension [drug therapy]; Meningitis, Cryptococcal [*drug therapy] [mortality]; Network Meta-Analysis

MeSH check words

Adult; Humans